In-vitro Evaluation of the Fermentation Characters of Maize Stover and Rice Straw with Different Level from Bacillus coagulans

Liang CHEN ^{1,2,a} Hongdong JIE ^{2,b} Ao REN ^{1,2,c} Chuanshe ZHOU ^{2,3,d} Zhiliang TAN ^{2,3,e} Bin LI ^{4,f} Mohamed E, ABD EL-HACK ^{5,g} Mervat ABDEL-LATIF ^{6,h} Dalia H, SAMAK ⁷

- ¹ College of Animal Science and Technology, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha, Hunan 410125, P.R. CHINA
- ² Key Laboratory for Agro-Ecological Processes in Subtropical Region, and Hunan Research Center of Livestock & Poultry Sciences, and South-Central Experimental Station of Animal Nutrition and Feed Science in Ministry of Agriculture, Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, The Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changsha, Hunan 410125, CHINA
- ³ Hunan Co-Innovation Center of Animal Production Safety, CICAPS, Changsha 410128, CHINA
- ⁴ Institute of Animal Science of Tibet Academy of Agricultural and Animal Husbandry Seiences, Lhasa 850000, CHINA
- ⁵ Department of Poultry, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44511, EGYPT
- ⁶ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Damanhour University, Damanhour 22516, EGYPT
- Department of Veterinary Forensic Medicine and Toxicology Faulty of Veterinary Medicine, Damanhour University, EGYPT
- ° ORCID: 0000-0003-4629-4000, b ORCID: 0000-0002-5407-4211, c ORCID: 0000-0002-2556-414X, d ORCID: 0000-0001-8609-7402, c ORCID: 0000-0002-7483-1478, f ORCID: 0000-0003-3038-4853, c ORCID: 0000-0002-2831-8534, b ORCID: 0000-0003-1663-3382, c ORCID: 0000-0002-0317-2743

Article Code: KVFD-2017-18822 Received: 05.10.2017 Accepted: 09.01.2018 Published Online: 09.01.2018

How to Cite This Article

Chen L, Jie H, Ren A, Zhou C, Tan Z, Li B, Abd El-Hack ME, Abdel-Latif M, Samak DH: In-vitro evaluation of the fermentation characters of maize stover and rice straw with different level from Bacillus coagulans. Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg, 24 (2): 265-272, 2018. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2017.18822

Abstract

The study was carried out to investigate the impact of *Bacillus coagulans* supplementation with different concenteration $(0, 0.25 \times 10^7, 0.50 \times 10^7, and 0.75 \times 10^7)$ cfu/mL) on *in vitro* parameters as methane (CH₄) parameters, nutrients digestibility and the fermentation character of rumen of fibrous agriculture by-products (maize stover and rice straw) using probiotics preparations in ruminants. The results showed that, maximum gas production (V₁), gas production fraction (k) and the time when half of the maximum gas production was achieved (t_{0.5}) of maize stover were significantly increased when compared to group of the rice straw. Additionally, *in vitro* dry matter disappearance; (IVDMD), *in vitro* neutral detergent fiber disappearance (IVNDFD), individual volatile fatty acids (VFAs) and total VFA (TVFA) of maize stover were significantly in related to the rice straw group. Also, the gas production rate at the early incubation stage (FRD₀), pH value of rumine and the acetate: propionate ratio of maize stover is significantly lower than the rice straw. V_f of rice straw was quadratic (P<0.05) increased when adding *Bacillus coagulans*. These results indicate that, *in vitro* gas production (IVGP) was numerically increased when maize stover and rice straw were fermented using *Bacillus coagulans* at a level of 0.75×10° cfu/mL. Additionally, maize stover (CP 0.053, NDF 0.636, ADF 0.386) can be used as a superior roughage for ruminants compared to rice straw. The present *in vitro* positive results should be further testified using in vivo experiments in future.

Keywords: Bacillus coagulans, Maize strover, In vitro gas production, CH4, Volatile fatty acids

Farklı Miktarlarda *Bacillus coagulans'*ın Mısır Hasat Kalıntısı ve Pirinç Samanının Fermantasyon Özelliklerine Etkisinin *In Vitro* Değerlendirilmesi

Öz

Bu çalışma, farklı konsantrasyonlarda $(0, 0.25 \times 10^7, 0.50 \times 10^7 \text{ c} 0.75 \times 10^7 \text{ c} 10^7 \text$

Anahtar sözcükler: Bacillus coaqulans, Mısır hasat kalıntısı, İn vitro gaz üretimi, CH., Uçucu yağ asitleri



iletişim (Correspondence)



+86 731 84615236



zcs@isa.ac.cn

INTRODUCTION

Fibrous agricultural by-products such as maize stover, and crop straws of rice and wheat are abundantly available in many countries [1]. However, they are rich sources of crude fiber with high lignin contents, but their protein content is low, which decreases the dry matter and nutrient degradations in the animal rumen [2]. Different methods have been examined such as chemical and physical treatments to increase the nutritive value of such by-products. Although these methods were effective to improve feed intake and/or digestibility of these fibrous feedstuffs [1-3], they are expensive and harmful to both users and environment [4]. Furthermore, biological methods such as probiotics (microorganism preparations) were found to be a good economic and safe alternative to increse the digestibility of such fibrous by-products [5]. Probiotics are last recent biological methods by using alive and suitable microorganisms that can beneficial effects to the host health when consumed in appropriate and regular quantities [6]. This microorganisms have been used to improve the in vitro fermentation characteristics of the roughages with low-quality [7] The scientific interest to several bacillus strains have been screened for their potential probiotic functionalities with special regard to Bacillus natto and Bacillus subtilis due to their beneficial effects on ruminants [8]. However, these species were firstly used as probiotics product (Enterogermina®) in Italy since 1958 [9]. So, the data is limited regarding the effect of Bacillus coagulans on the improvement of low quality feeds in ruminant nutrition manipulation.

Therefore, this study was a first detailed report to identify the effects of *Bacillus coagulans* supplementation to fibrous agricultural by-products (maize stover and rice straw) on *in vitro* rumen fermentation parameters and nutrients degradation using gas production technique for further understanding their mode of action in the rumen, and providing more knowledge about their application to ruminants' nutrition.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Animal Care Committee, Institute of Subtropical Agriculture (ISA), the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Changsha, China was approved this experiment.

Fermented Substrates Probiotics and Experimental Design

Maize stover from Kexiangtian 1 (bred by ISA) and rice straw from Xiang 125s (a local popular breed) were selected as the fibrous agricultural by-products. They were oven dried (at 65°C for 24 h), ground (filterated through a 1 mm sieve) and stored for further analysis. They were analyzed on DM basis for crude protein (CP) (5.3% and 6.2%), NDF (63.6% and 63.2%) and ADF (38.6% and 43.4%) respectively.

Bacillus coagulans (NO. 20138) was purchased, reactivated and amplified to contain 1×10¹¹ cfu/g viable bacteria using the spread plate method by the China Center of Industrial Culture Collection (CICC). Bacillus coagulans preserved at 4°C after culture amplification and counting. The study was induced in completely block experimental design, and Bacillus coagulans was supplemented at four levels (0×10⁷ cfu/mL, 0.25×10⁷ cfu/mL, 0.50×10⁷ cfu/mL and 0.75×10⁷cfu/mL, respectively).

In vitro Gas Production and Sampling

The modified anaerobic (continuous CO_2 pumping for 2 h) artificial saliva was used for *in vitro* fermentation according to ^[10]. Around three Holstein dairy cows were housed individually and fed a rice straw based completely mixed ration with adlibtum water. Rumen content was collected through the ruminal fistula before the morning feeding and placed into thermos flasks pre-heated at 39°C and quickly transferred to the laboratory. After collection, the inoculum was strained through 4 layers of cheesecloth maintained anaerobic condition with CO_2 flux.

Approximately 0.5 g of each feedstuff was transferred into fermentation bottles 100 mL. Every sample was measured in triplicates at each point of incubation time. Bacillus coagulans was added with graded levels to the tested feedstuffs altogether with 45 mL artificial saliva and 5 mL rumen content as previously mentioned at the start of in vitro fermentation. All bottle required for fermentation process were sealed and incubated at 39°C. During the in vitro fermentation process, the pressure in the bottle was recorded at 0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24, 36 and 48 h. And after 12, 24 or 48h of incubation the fermentation was interrupted due to presence of undegraded residues which were filtered through two layers of nylon cloth. Around 5 mL from gas sample was collected by plastic syringe into the vacuum flask for detect CH₄. Finally, at 12, 24 and 48 h from the incubation, the incubated sample from each treatment was used to calculate NH₃-N level and VFAs concentrations respectively

Chemical Analysis

Dry matter (DM) (method 930.15) of the tested substrate and filtered residues were analyzed by drying at 105° C for 12 h and weighed for *in vitro* DM degradation (IVDMD) and they ground for further chemical analysis. CP was detected according to [11]. NDF and ADF values were estimated as described by [12] and all triplicate samples were analyzed. Alpha amylase (Sigma A-3306, Sigma, Aldrich, China), and sodium sulphite were added for NDF determination. The NDF of the dried residues was analyzed for *in vitro* NDF digestibility (IVNDFD). 2 mL of the fermented solutions were centrifuged at $10000 \times g$ and $4^{\circ}C$ for 15 min, then 1.5 mL of the supernatant were mixed with 0.15 mL metaphosphoric acid. Then another centrifugation at $10.000 \times g$ and $4^{\circ}C$ for 15 min, and the supernatant was taken to

analyze VFA content with a gas chromatograph (HP5890, Agilent 5890; Agilent Technologies Co. Ltd, USA). The peak of VFA was calculated using their standard concentration curve, which was prepared using 10 samples for each treatment. Total molar concentration was determined by the sum of individual VFA as 100% [13]. pH meter was used to mesure pH of the fermented fluids immediately. For ammonia level determination, around 5 mL of fermented solutions were centrifuged at 4000 xg at 4°C for 10 min, and 2 mL of the supernatant were mixed 8 ml 0.2 M HCl. 0.4 mL from mixed solution was subsequently mixed with 2 mL of sodium nitroprusside solutions (0.08 g sodium nitroprusside dissolved in 100 mL of 0.14 natrium salicylicum) and 2 mL of prepared solutions (2 mL sodium hypochlorite solution mixed with 100 mL 0.3 M sodium hydroxide solution), then homogenized at room temperature for 10 min. The absorption was determined at 700 nm using spectrophotometer. The NH₄Cl standard solutions were prepared as follows: 0.382 g of NH₄Cl was diluted with 0.2 M HCl to 100 mL as the preservation solutions kept at 4°C. After that, 10 mL of preservation solutions were diluted to 100 mL with distilled water as the working solution in which the concentration of N was 10 mg/dL. Subsequently, 0, 1, 2, 4, and 6 mL of working solutions were separately mixed with 10, 9, 8, 6, and 4 mL of distilled water and then all diluted with 0.2 M HCl to 50 mL as the NH₄Cl standard solutions in which the concentrations of N were 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 mg/dL, respectively. Finally, 0.4 mL of the NH₄Cl standard solution of each concentration was treated to obtain a standard curve as previously mentioned. CH₄ was analyzed using gas chromatography equipped with a Hayesep Q packing column (2.44 M × 1/8 in. \times 2.0 mm ID). The microbial crude protein production in rumen liquor was analyzed using the trichloroacetic acid. Firstly, 0.5 g ground dry sample was weighted into a 125 Erlenmeyer flask then around 50 mL from distilled water was added and wait for 30 min. 10 mL from 10% trichloroacetic acid was add to the mix and incubate the solution for 20-30 min then was filtrated on whatman #54 or 541 paper by gravity. Finally, the filter paper was washed twice with trichloroacetic acid solution followed by transfer the paper to Kjeldahl apparatus to calculate nitrogen percent. NPN was calculated by subtracting residual nitrogen from total nitrogen. NPN value may be expressed as crude protein value which equal to (N X 6.25) or percent of total feed nitrogen [14].

Statistical Analysis

The experimental data were analyzed separately using the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, 2001) Orthogonal polynomial contrasts was used for detect linear and quadratic effect. Cubic effects of dose were not analyzed due to the inexplicability in biology. The significance was detected at *P*<0.05 and the least squares means are reported throughout the text.

RESULTS

Effects of Bacillus coagulans supplementation levels on gas production parameters of fibrous agriculture byproducts are shown in Table 1. The supplementation of Bacillus coagulans had significantly lowered (P<0.01) the maximum gas production (V_i) of rice straw, while it had no (P>0.05)effect among the other three supplemental treatments. The supplementation levels of Bacillus coagulans had no significant effect (P > 0.05) V_f on maize stover, gas production fraction (k), the initial fractional rate of degradation (FRD₀) and the time when half of the maximum gas production was achieved ($t_{0.5}$) for both fermentation substrates. The value of $V_{\rm fr}$ k and $t_{0.5}$ of maize stover was significant (P<0.05) higher than that of rice straw, which was increased by 20.76, 7.86 and 35.48%, respectively. While FRD₀ of maize stover was significant (P<0.0001) lower than that of rice straw, which was decreased by 117.16%. Both the fermentation substrate and supplementation level had no significant effect (*P*>0.05) onCH₄ production. The combination between fibrous - by product and bacillus coagulans supplementation level had significant (P<0.05) effects on gas fermentation characteristics except V_f and CH₄ for both maize stover and rice straw.

Effect of *Bacillus coagulans* adding with different levels on IVDMD, IVNDFD and MCP production of both maize stover and rice straw are shown in *Table 2*. IVDMD, IVNDFD and MCP production of maize stover were significant (*P*<0.05) higher than that of rice straw, which was higher by 16.75, 40.04 and 1.50%, respectively while, this combination had no significant effect on IVDMD, IVNDFD and MCP production for the two fermentation substrates.

The impact of *Bacillus coagulans* supplementation at different levels on ruminal acidity and ammonia level of fermented substrates are recorded in *Table 3*. The pH value of maize stover was significantly (P<0.05) decreased when compared to that of rice straw, which was decreased by 0.89%. While the supplementation levels and the combination between substrate level have no statically affect (P>0.05) of ruminal NH₃-N concentration of maize stover and rice straw.

The effects of different supplementation levels of *Bacillus coagulans* on *in vitro* ruminal VFA contents of maize stover and rice straw are shown in *Table 4*. VFA of maize stover was significantly (P < 0.01) elevated in compared to the rice straw, which was increased by 28.86, 31.61, 60.79, 52.50, 89.23 and 76.28%, respectively. While A:P of maize stover was significant (P < 0.05) lower than that of rice straw, which was decreased by 25.45%. The TVFA content was not significantly affected (P > 0.05) by substrates, supplementation levels and the interaction between substrate and supplementation level. The supplementation levels and the combination between substrate and *bacillus coagulans* supplementation level not significantly effect (P > 0.05) on ruminal individual

Table 1. Effects of different supplementation levels of Bacillus coagulans on in vitro gas production kinetic parameters and CH₁ production of maize stover and rice straw.

and rice str	aw 									
Item	Substrate	S	upplementa	ntion Levels	(× 10 ⁷ cfu/m	CELL ²	Significance ³			
		Mean ¹	0	0.25	0.50	0.75	SEM ²	Substrate	Level	S×L
¹ V _f (mL)	Maize stover	67.43°	68.72	51.53	72.63	76.84	6.62	<0.05	NS	NS
	Rice straw	55.84 ^f	55.89ª	53.93 ^b	56.86 ^b	56.68b	6.63		Q (P<0.01)	
(IIIL)	SEM ⁴	3.32								
²k(10 ⁻²)	Maize stover	9.47e	9.31	9.34	9.38	9.84	0.07	<0.01	NS	<0.05
	Rice straw	8.78 ^f	8.58	11.20	8.05	7.29	0.87	<0.01	NS	
	SEM⁴	0.44								
³FRD₀ (10⁻²)	Maize stover	1.34e	1.50	1.29	1.25	1.32	0.10	<0.0001	NS	<0.05
	Rice straw	2.91 ^f	2.75	2.65	3.08	3.16	0.10		NS	
(mL/h)	SEM ⁴	0.05								
	Maize stover	22.11e	21.25	22.61	22.82	21.76	0.24	±0.0001	Q(P<0.01)	<0.0F
⁴ t _{0.5} (h)	Rice straw	16.32 ^f	16.53	16.46	15.99	16.32	0.34	<0.0001	NS	<0.05
(1.1)	SEM ⁴	0.18								
⁵CH₄ (mL/g)	Maize stover	9.02	8.98	8.93	11.47	6.69	1.50	NS	NS	- NS
	Rice straw	8.86	10.12	9.69	6.66	8.99	1.50		NS	
	SEM ⁴	0.76				,				

Item	Substrate	S	upplementa	ition Levels (× 10 ⁷ cfu/m	CT143	Significance ³			
		Means ¹	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	SEM ²	Substrate	Level	S×L
IVDMD (%)	Maize stover	50.18e	49.64	52.11	49.58	49.38	2.02	<0.05	NS	NS
	Rice straw	42.98 ^f	42.91	43.34	42.30	43.36	3.82		NS	
	SEM ⁴	1.91								
	Maize stover	38.58e	35.90	45.78	37.31	35.32	5.87	<0.05	NS	NS
IVNDFD (%)	Rice straw	27.55 ^f	28.14	27.32	27.84	26.91			NS	
(70)	SEM ⁴	2.98								
MCP (mg/mL)	Maize stover	3.39e	3.38	3.41	3.39	3.38	0.02	<0.001	NS	NS
	Rice straw	3.33 ^f	3.33	3.33	3.34	3.31			NS	
	SEM ⁴	0.01								

^{e,f} Means within a column for Bacillus coagulans do not have a common superscript differ (P<0.05); ¹ Mean = mean for individual Bacillus coagulans across supplementation levels including the level of 0; ² SEM for supplementation level×substrate; ³ NS = not significant (P>0.05); $S\times L$ = interaction between substrate and supplementation level; ⁴ SEM for pooled mean of substrate including the level of 0

VFA content and A:P for both fermentation substrates.

DISCUSSION

The *in vitro* fermentation cumulative gas production technique widely used [15]. It is an important technique

which used to evaluate rumen fermentation for ruminants, which provide valuable information for the kinetics of feed digestion in rumen, reflect the utilization efficiency of fermentation substrates [16]. The results showed that, V_f of maize stover was significantly elevated than the rice straw under different supplementation levels of *Bacillus*

Table 3. Effects of different supplementation levels of Bacillus coagulans on in vitro ruminal pH value and NH₃-N concentration of maize stover and rice straw Supplementation Levels (× 10⁷ cfu/mL) Significance³

	Itellia	Jupstiates						3 LIVI			
			Means ¹	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75		Substrate	Level	S×L
		Maize stover	6.75 ^f	6.78	6.74	6.75	6.73	0.04	<0.05	NS	NS
	рН	Rice straw	6.81e	6.82	6.84	6.82	6.77	0.04		NS	
		SEM ⁴	0.02								
		Maize stover	6.12	6.28	6.13	6.08	6.01	0.55	NS	NS	NS
	NH₃-N (mg/dL)	Rice straw	6.39	6.16	6.36	6.53	6.53			NS	
	(IIIg/uL)	SEM⁴	0.28								

ef Means within a column for Bacillus coagulans do not have a common superscript differ (P<0.05); ¹ Mean = mean for individual Bacillus Coagulans across supplementation levels including the level of 0; ² SEM for supplementation level×substrate; ³ NS = not significant (P>0.05); S×L = interaction between substrate and supplementation level; 4 SEM for pooled mean of substrate including the level of 0

Items	Substrates	Su	pplementa	tion Levels	(× 10 ⁷ cfu/n	CENA?	Significance ³			
		Mean ¹	0.00	0.25	0.50	0.75	SEM ²	Substrates	Level	S×L
Acetate (mmol/L)	Maize stover	21.21e	21.0	22.28	21.16	20.33	2.14	<0.01	NS	NS
	Rice straw	16.46 ^f	16.1	16.54	16.48	16.66	2.14		NS	
	SEM ⁴	1.08								
	Maize stover	7.87 ^e	7.68	8.19	7.71	7.88	0.87	<0.01	NS	NC
Propionate (mmol/L)	Rice straw	5.98 ^f	5.84	6.03	5.98	6.04	0.67	<0.01	NS	NS
(IIIIIOI/L)	SEM ⁴	0.44								
Isobutyrate (mmol/L) (10 ⁻²)	Maize stover	23.99°	24.00	25.16	23.94	22.88	2.82	<0.0001	NS	NS
	Rice straw	14.92 ^f	14.51	14.86	14.95	15.35	2.02		NS	INS
(SEM ⁴	1.42								
Butyrate (mmol/L)	Maize stover	2.44e	2.42	2.54	2.45	2.36	0.19	<0.0001	NS	NS
	Rice straw	1.60 ^f	1.56	1.61	1.59	1.62	0.19	0.0001	NS	145
(IIIIIIOI/L)	SEM ⁴	0.10								
	Maize stover	38.64°	38.82	39.94	37.96	37.82	6.14	<0.0001	NS	NS
Isovalerate (mmol/L) (10 ⁻²)	Rice straw	20.42 ^f	21.91	18.97	20.08	20.72	0.14	<0.0001	NS	
(SEM ⁴	3.11								
	Maize stover	26.83°	26.77	27.74	26.79	26.01	2.26	<0.0001	NS	NS
Valerate (mmol/L) (10 ⁻²)	Rice straw	15.22 ^f	14.94	15.18	14.87	15.89	2.20		NS	
(11111101/12) (10)	SEM ⁴	1.14								
TVFA (mmol/L)	Maize stover	32.61e	32.07	33.94	32.20	32.22	3.34	<0.01	NS	NS
	Rice straw	24.54 ^f	24.09	24.67	24.56	24.85	3.34	<0.01	NS	INO
	SEM ⁴	1.68								
	Maize stover	2.75 ^f	2.75	2.73	2.77	2.75	0.07	40.05	NS	NS
A:P	Rice straw	2.85 ^e	2.87	2.83	2.85	2.86	0.07	<0.05	NS	
	SEM ⁴	0.04								

ef Means within a column for Bacillus coagulans do not have a common superscript differ (P<0.05); ¹ Mean = mean for individual Bacillus coagulans across supplementation levels including the level of 0; ² SEM for supplementation level×substrate; ³ NS = not significant (P>0.05); S×L = interaction between substrate and supplementation level; ⁴ SEM for pooled mean of substrate including the level of 0

coagulans, it might result from the outcome that IVDMD of maize stover was significantly higher than that of rice straw (Table 2). The maximum gas production was positively related to readily fermentable substrates [17], hemicellulose and crude protein (CP) contents, and negatively related to the ADF and NDF contents, while other studies observed

an adverse relationship between the production of gas and nitrogen content [18,19].

The indices of FRD_0 and $t_{0.5}$ generally means the rate of deterioration in early incubation stages "<12 h" and the incubation time to reach half of the maximum gas production, respectively. In general, the faster FRD_0 the shorter $t_{0.5}$ become ^[20]. FRD_0 value of maize stover was significantly higher than that of rice straw, while it was reverse for $t_{0.5}$. It specified that the rate of degradation at early incubation period of maize stover was significantly higher than that of rice straw because of the supplementation of *Bacillus coagulans*. The reason might cause by the difference between maize stover and rice straw, because maize stover was C4 plant while rice straw was C3 plant, C4 plant could synthesize more carbohydrates than C3 plant during the process of photosynthesis and then resulted in faster fermentation rate for maize stover.

CH₄ is an inevitable product generated from dietary carbohydrates during anaerobic fermentation in the rumen, and methanogenesis possesses specific biological regulatory mechanism. Many researchers focused on ruminant CH₄ formation in recent years, due to its contribution to global climatic change [21]. During the ruminant metabolism process, Methane generation in the rumen is the main reason of energy loss in the rumen fermentation, about 6%-15% of the feed energy is loss by the form of methane [22]. CH₄ production may be affected by the composition of fermented carbohydrates, such as cellulose, hemicellulose, soluble residues and digestible ADF in the diets are also important fiber fractions enhancing CH₄ production ^[23]. CH₄ production had a stronger relationship with digestible NDF, ADF and cellulose intake [24,25]. However, in the current study, CH₄ production was not affected when supplemented with Bacillus coagulans, it might be caused by differences between in vitro and in vivo experiments, and different fermentation substrates (single fermented cell wall substrates VS total mixed ration substrates).

This study showed that IVDMD, IVNDFD and MCP of maize stover were significant higher than those of rice straw, this result might be associated with differences of CP contents and components (especially for rumen degradable protein) between two fermentation substrates. The ruminal microbial population might be another key reason caused this difference, because rumen is a very complex ecosystem, in which numerous microorganisms and factors play an important role and Bacillus coagulans do not possess any enzymatic capability to hydrolyze cell-wall constituents, and the activity of cellulolytic bacteria might be not affected by their supplementation administered [26]. Further investigations are needed to evaluate the mechanism of Bacillus coagulans supplementation on the activity of amylolytic, proteolytic and cellulolytic microorganisms in in vitro rumen fermentation. Our results also showed that IVDMD and IVNDFD were not affected by the supplementation of Bacillus coagulans, which was in line with the previous reported results [27].

As acidity is an important indicator for ruminal homeostasis, therefore maintenance of ruminal pH within a physiological range (about 5.5-7.0) is a key factor for efficient fermentation [28]. The results of the study showed

that *in vitro* ruminal pH value was kept at 6.73-6.84, which was suitable for fermentation, microbial activity, and fiber digestion in the rumen ^[29]. Our results also showed that the pH value of maize stover was significantly lower than that of rice straw under different supplementation levels of *Bacillus coagulans*, it might result from the outcome that TVFA contents of maize stover was significantly increased than rice straw (*Table 4*). There was no significant effect on *in vitro* ruminal pH value for both fermentation substrates after adding *Bacillus coagulans*, it indicated that the different supplementation levels of *Bacillus coagulans* possessed positive significance for ruminal stability manipulation.

Ruminal NH₃-N concentration reflects the equilibrium state for protein degradation and synthesis under specific dietary condition in a certain extent. It is consider an important nitrogen source for microbial growth and protein synthesis, ruminal NH3-N had a low efficiency for milk protein synthesis partially due to NH3-N losses in the rumen [30,31] stated that the optimum level of ruminal ammonia concentration should be above 5 mg/dL in order to maintain the microbial growth as well as the microbial protein synthesis, but excessive ammonia could adversely affect its microbial utilization [32]. The results showed that NH3-N concentration was not affected across four supplemented levels of Bacillus coagulans, and it ranged from 6.01 to 6.53 mg·dL⁻¹, indicating that the microbial activity was not affected when supplemented with Bacillus coagulans.

Ruminal VFAs are the main source of energy for ruminants, both its content and composition are important physiological indexes to reflect rumen digestion and metabolism. Ruminal microorganisms could transform carbohydrates (e.g. crude fiber, starch and soluble sugar) to pyruvic acid, which could be transferred into different VFAs by metabolic pathways. Many researches have been conducted to verify that VFAs produced from the rumen could provide 50-80% energy needed by ruminants [33]. Our result showed that individual VFA and TVFA contents of maize stover were significantly higher than that of rice straw, it might result from the differences of fiber content and its continents, starch content and other carbohydrates between two fermentation substrates, and then affected the microorganism activities or the activation of microbial enzyme to alter fermentation model. Wang et al.[34] reported that dietary supplementation of B. subtillis natto to lactating cows trended to decrease ruminal A:P. In this study, the A:P was not affected by different supplementation levels of Bacillus coagulans for both fermentation substrates, it might cause by the utilization of various bacterial stain and differences between in vivo and in vitro experiments. Additionally, the significant differences of A:P between two fermentation substrates when supplemented with Bacillus coagulans might result form or FROM difference in composition of carbohydrate between maize stover and rice straw.

The results concluded that, *Bacillus coagulans* numerically increased IVGP when crop straws were used as fermented substrates, and the optimal dose might be 0.75×10^7 cfu·mL⁻¹. Additionally, maize stover increased IVGP, the rate of gas production at early incubation stage, *in vitro* dry matter digestibility, *in vitro* neutral detergent fiber degradation and TVFA were increased when maize stover was supplemented with *Bacillus coagulans* compared to the results of rice straw. Finally, the present *in vitro* study should be repeated using *in vivo* experiments with different period in the future study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support received from National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.31001024, No. 31320103917), Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (No. 2012BAD14B17), Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. KFJ-EW-STS-071).

REFERENCES

- **1. Sarnklong C, Cone JW, Pellikaan W, Henariks WH:** Utilization of rice straw and different treatments to improve its feed value for ruminants: A review. *Asian-Austr J Anim Sci*, 23, 680-692, 2010. DOI: 10.5713/ajas.2010.80619
- **2. Wan Zahari M, Abu Hassan O, Wong HK, Liang JB:** Utilization of oil palm frond-based diets for beef and dairy production in Malaysia. *Asian-Austr J Anim Sci*, 16, 625-634, 2003. DOI: 10.5713/ajas.2003.625
- **3. Oliveros BA, Britton RA, Klopfenstein TJ:** Ammonia and/or calcium hydroxide treatment of maize stover: Intake, digestibility and digestion kinetics. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 44, 59-72, 1993. DOI: 10.1016/0377-8401(93)90037-k
- **4. Van Soest PJ:** Rice straw, the role of silica and treatments to improve quality. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 130, 137-171, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j. anifeedsci.2006.01.023
- **5. Bhuvnesh ST, Shilpi YP, Khasa G, Akshaya AK, Punniya RC, Kuhad:** White-rot fungal conversion of wheat straw to energy rich cattle feed. *Biodegradation*, 22, 823-831, 2011. DOI: 10.1007/s10532-010-9408-2
- **6. ASML A, Agazzi A, Invernizzi G, Bontempo V, Savoini G:** The beneficial role of probiotics in monogastric animal nutrition and health. *J Dairy Vet Anim Res*, 2 (4): 00041, 2015. DOI: 10.15406/jdvar.2015.02.00041
- **7. Tang SX, Tayo GO, Tan ZL, Sun ZH, Shen L:** Effects of yeast culture and fibrolytic enzyme supplementation on *in vitro* fermentation characteristics of low-quality cereal straws. *J Anim Sci,* 86, 1164-1172, 2008. DOI: 10.2527/jas.2007-0438
- **8. Bader J, Albin A, Stahl U:** Spore-forming bacteria and their utilisation as probiotics. Benef Microbes 3, 67-75, 2012. DOI: 10.3920/bm2011.0039
- **9. Hong HA, Duc le H, Cutting SM:** The use of bacterial spore formers as probiotics. *FEMS Microbiol Rev,* 2 (4): 813-835, 2005. DOI: 10.1016/j. femsre.2004.12.001
- **10. Tang SX, Tan ZL, Zhou CS, Jiang HL, Jiang YM, Sheng LX:** A comparison of *in vitro* fermentation characteristics of different botanical fractions of mature maize stover. *J Anim Feed Sci*, 15, 505-515, 2006. DOI: 10.22358/jafs/66920/2006
- **11. AOAC:** Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists.15th edn., Washington, DC, USA, 1990.
- **12. Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA:** Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. *J Dairy Sci*, 74, 3583-3597, 1991. DOI: 10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(91)78551-2

- **13. Wang M, Sun XZ, Janssen PH, Tang SX, Tan ZL:** Responses of methane production and fermentation pathways to the increased dissolved hydrogen concentration generated by eight substrates in *in vitro* ruminal cultures. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 194, 1-11, 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014.04.012
- **14. Licitra G, Hernandez TM, Van Soest PJ:** Standardization of procedures for nitrogen fractionation of ruminant feeds. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 57, 347-358, 1996. DOI: 10.1016/0377-8401(95)00837-3
- **15. Rymer C, Huntington JA, Williams BA, Givens DI:** *In vitro* cumulative gas production techniques: History, methodological considerations and challenges. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 123-124, 9-30, 2005. DOI: 10.1016/j. anifeedsci 2005.04.055
- **16. Metzler-Zebeli BU, Scherr C, Sallaku E, Drochner W, Zebeli Q:** Evaluation of associative effects of total mixed ration for dairy cattle using *in vitro* gas production and different rumen inocula. *J Sci Food Agri*, 92, 2479-2485, 2012. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.5656
- **17. Kumar R, Kamra DN, Agarwal N, Chaudhary LC:** *In vitro* methanogenesis and fermentation of feeds containing oil seed cakes with rumen liquor of buffalo. *Asian Aust. J Anim Sci*, 20, 1196-1200, 2007. DOI: 10.5713/ajas.2007.1196
- **18. Khazaal K, Markantonatos X, Nastis A, Ørskov ER:** Changes with maturity in fiber composition and levels of extractable polyphenols in Greek browse: Effects on *in vitro* gas production and *in sacco* dry matter degradation. *J Sci Food Agri*, 63, 237-244, 1993. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.2740630210
- **19. Cone JW, van Gelder AH:** Influence of protein fermentation on gas production profiles. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 76, 251-264, 1999. DOI: 10.1016/s0377-8401(98)00222-3
- **20. Wang M, Sun XZ, Tang SX, Tan ZL, Pacheco D:** Deriving fractional rate of degradation of logistic-exponential (LE) model to evaluate early *in vitro* fermentation. *Animal*, 7, 920-929, 2013. DOI: 10.1017/s1751731112002443
- **21. Martin C, Morgavi DP, Doreau M:** Methane mitigation in ruminants: From microbe to the farm scale. *Anim*, 4, 351-365, 2010. DOI: 10.1017/s1751731109990620
- **22. Johnson KA**, **Johnson DE**: Methane emissions from cattle. *J Anim Sci*, 73, 2483-2492, 1995. DOI: 10.18174/403342
- **23.** Santoso B, Kume S, Nonaka K, Kimura K, Mizokoshi H, Gamo Y, Takahashi J: Methane emission, nutrient digestibility, energy metabolism and blood metabolites in dairy cows fed silages with and without galacto-oligosaccharides supplementation. *Asian-Australasian*. *J Anim Sci*, 16, 534-540, 2003. DOI: 10.5713/ajas.2003.534
- **24.** Estermann BL, Sutter F, Schlegel PO, Erdin D, Wettsten HR, Kreuzer M: Effect of calf age and dam breed on intake, energy expenditure, and excretion of nitrogen, phosphorus and methane of beef cows with calves. *J Anim Sci*, 80, 1124-1134, 2002. DOI: 10.2527/2002.8041124x
- **25. Singh S, Kushwaha BP, Nag SK, Mishra AK, Singh A, Anele UY:** *In vitro* ruminal fermentation, protein and carbohydrate fractionation, methane production and prediction of twelve commonly used Indian green forages. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 178, 2-11, 2012. DOI: 10.1016/j. anifeedsci.2012.08.019
- **26. Rooke JA, Hatfield RD:** Biochemistry of ensiling. **In,** Buxton DR, Muck RE, Harrison JH (Eds): Silage Sci Technol, Madison: Am. Soc. Agron, 95-139, 2003.
- **27. Contreas-Goveaa FE, Muckb RE, Mertens DR, Weimer PJ:** Microbial inoculant effects on silage and *in vitro* ruminal fermentation, and microbial biomass estimation for alfalfa, bmr maize, and maize silages. *Anim Sci Technol*, 163, 2-10, 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2010.09.015
- **28. Krause KM, Oetzel GR:** Understanding and preventing subacute ruminal acidosis in dairy herds: A review. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 126, 215-236, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2005.08.004
- **29. Stewart CS, Flint HJ, Bryant MP:** The rumen bacteria. **In.** Hobson PN, Stewart CS (Eds): The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem. Chapman and Hall, London, UK, 10-72, 1997.
- **30. Hristov AN, Ropp JK:** Effect of dietary carbohydrate composition and availability on utilization of ruminal ammonia nitrogen for milk

protein synthesis in dairy cows. *J Dairy Sci*, 86, 2416-2427, 2003. DOI: 10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(03)73836-3

- **31. Hristov AN, Ropp JK, Hunt CW:** Effect of barley and its amylopectin content on ruminal fermentation and bacterial utilization of ammonia-N *in vitro. Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 99, 25-36, 2002. DOI: 10.1016/S0377-8401(02)00076-7
- **32.** Dijkstra J, Forbes JM, France J: Quantitative Aspects of Ruminant Digestion and Metabolism. 157-160. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 2005.
- **33. Peng H, Wang JQ, Kang HY,Dong SH, Sun P, Bu DP, Zhou LY:** Effect of feeding Bacillus subtilis natto fermentation product on milk production and composition, blood metabolites and rumen fermentation in early lactation dairy cows. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berlin)*, 96 (3): 506-512, 2012. DOI: 10.1111/j.1439-0396.2011.01173.x
- **34. Wang M, Tang SX, Tan ZL:** Modeling *in vitro* gas production kinetics: Derivation of Logistic-Exponential (LE) equations and comparison of models. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 165, 137-150, 2011. DOI: 10.1016/j. anifeedsci.2010.09.016