E-ISSN: 1309-2251

Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg 31 (4): 579-580, 2025 DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2025.34716

LETTER TO EDITOR

A Critical Choice in Data Presentation: "Should Standard Deviation (SD) or Standard Error of the Mean (SEM) be Given?"

Sadi ELASAN 100

¹ Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biostatistics, TR-65080 Van - TÜRKİYE



(*) Corresponding author: Sadi ELASAN Cellular phone: +90 544 729 8488 E-mail: sadielasan@yyu.edu.tr

How to cite this article?

Elasan S: A critical choice in data presentation: "Should standard deviation (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM) be given?". Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg, 31 (4): 579-580, 2025. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2025.34716

Article ID: KVFD-2025-34716 Received: 05.07.2025 Accepted: 28.07.2025 Published Online: 04.08.2025

DEAR EDITOR

In scientific studies conducted in the fields of veterinary, agricultural, and health sciences, the manner of data presentation is of paramount importance for the accurate interpretation of findings and the transparent communication of information. In this context, the question of whether to report the standard deviation (SD) or the standard error of the mean (SEM) as a measure of variability alongside the mean has led to persistent confusion and erroneous practices in the literature. This letter aims to clarify the functional differences between these two fundamental statistical measures and to emphasize correct usage practices in the interest of upholding scientific integrity.

The fundamental distinction lies in what these two measures represent. The standard deviation (SD) is a descriptive statistic that indicates how much individual data points in a sample deviate from that sample's mean. Its purpose is to reveal the internal spread or biological variability of the data. In contrast, the standard error of the mean (SEM) is an inferential statistic that indicates how precisely the sample mean estimates the true population

mean (SEM = SD/ \sqrt{n}). Consequently, these two concepts answer different scientific questions and cannot be used interchangeably [1].

However, it is frequently observed in the scientific literature that SEM is incorrectly used to mask the true variability of the data. Because SEM decreases as the sample size (n) increases, it makes error bars appear narrower, creating a misleading impression that the data are less variable and more precise than they actually are [2]. This situation can cause the reader to misjudge the overlap between groups and to exaggerate the significance of the findings. One study highlighted how this deceptive perception of precision adversely affects scientific communication and urged researchers to make a conscious choice in this regard [3]. Similarly, an analysis of animal experiments emphasized that the use of SEM does not reflect true biological variation and that SD should be preferred, as required by the principle of scientific transparency [4].

The scientific consensus on this issue is also reflected in the publication guidelines of prestigious journals. For instance, a study in the field of veterinary medicine has stated that adopting the mean \pm SD format for presenting



experimental results should be a standard for data transparency and reproducibility ^[5]. Likewise, articles published in prominent journals like the BMJ have repeatedly warned against the potential of SEM to conceal variability ^[1,6].

In conclusion, when presenting their data, researchers should ask themselves the following fundamental question: Is my goal to demonstrate the spread and heterogeneity of the data within my sample, or is it to report the precision with which my sample mean estimates the population mean? If the purpose is descriptive -that is, to show the distribution of the data itself- then the correct and transparent choice is unequivocally the standard deviation (SD). The standard error of the mean (SEM) is a technical component used in inferential statistics for calculating confidence intervals and should only be reported in cases where its purpose is explicitly defined in the text.

In accordance with the principles of transparency and integrity that form the foundation of scientific publishing, authors, reviewers, and editors must be more meticulous regarding this critical distinction. This is an indispensable step for the credibility of science and the correct interpretation of findings.

Sincerely.

REFERENCES

- **1. Altman DG, Bland JM:** Standard deviations and standard errors. *BMJ*, 331(7521):903, 2025. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.331.7521.903
- **2. GraphPad Prism:** GraphPad Statistics Software Guide (ver.4.0). San Diego, CA. "The SD and SEM are not the same". https://www.graphpad.com/guides/prism/latest/statistics/stat_semandsdnotsame.htm; *Accessed:* 04.07.2025.
- **3. Garg R, Mohanty S:** Mean (standard deviation) or mean (standard error of mean): Time to ponder. *World J Surg*, 37 (4):932, 2013. DOI: 10.1007/s00268-012-1854-z
- **4. Bailey J, Thew M, Balls M:** An analysis of the use of animal models in predicting human toxicology and drug safety. *Altern Lab Anim*, 42 (3): 181-199, 2014. DOI: 10.1177/026119291404200306
- 5. Barkema HW, von Keyserlingk MA, Kastelic JP, Lam TJ, Luby C, Roy JP, LeBlanc SJ, Keefe GP, Kelton DF: Invited review: Changes in the dairy industry affecting dairy cattle health and welfare. *J Dairy Sci*, 98 (11): 7426-7445, 2015. DOI: 10.3168/jds.2015-9377
- **6. Bland JM, Altman DG:** Statistics notes: Measurement error. *BMJ*, 313 (7049): 106, 1996. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.313.7049.106