

Effects of Dietary L-glutamine Supplement on Performance, Egg Quality, Fertility and Some Blood Biochemical Parameters in Guinea Fowls (*Numida meleagris*)

Vahid GHOLIPOUR¹ Mohammad CHAMANI¹  Habib AGHDAM SHAHRYAR²
Ali Asghar SADEGHI¹ Mehdi AMINAFSHAR¹

¹ Department of Animal Science, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, IRAN

² Department of Animal Science, Shabestar Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar, IRAN

Article Code: KVFD-2017-17963 Received: 01.05.2017 Accepted: 19.06.2017 Published Online: 19.06.2017

Citation of This Article

Gholipour V, Chamani M, Shahryar HA, Sadeghi A, Afshar MA: Effects of dietary L-glutamine supplement on performance, egg quality, fertility and some blood biochemical parameters in Guinea fowls (*Numida meleagris*). *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 23 (6): 903-910, 2017. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2017.17963

Abstract

The present study was conducted to investigate the effect of dietary supplementation of L-glutamine and synergistic effects between glutamic acid in wheat and glutamine on productive performance, egg quality characteristics, blood biochemical parameters and fertility traits of guinea fowls fed with corn-soybean meal-wheat based diets. 120 guinea fowls (*Numida meleagris*) were allocated to a completely randomized design with six treatments consisted of four replicates and 5 birds per replicate. Treatments were included: 1) corn-soybean meal based diet (control1), 2) corn-soybean meal-wheat based diet- (control2), 3) control1 containing 0.5% L-glutamine, 4) control1 containing 1% L-glutamine, 5) control2 containing 0.5% L-glutamine, 6) control2 containing 1% L-glutamine. Results showed that diet supplementing with L-glutamine significantly increased egg mass, egg production, egg weight, shell thickness, haugh unit, levels of follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone, hatchability and one-day chick weight and also improved feed conversion ratio compared with control1 and 2 diets ($P<0.01$). The best response for fertility traits was achieved in birds fed with control2 containing 1% glutamine. It can be concluded that 1% glutamine has positive effects on performance, some egg quality traits and fertility parameters. Positive synergistic effect between wheat and glutamine on fertility traits can be valuable in guinea fowls.

Keywords: Guinea fowl, Glutamine, Wheat, Performance, Egg traits, Fertility

Gine Tavuklarında (*Numida meleagris*) Diyete L-glutamin İlavesinin Yumurta Kalitesi, Fertilitite ve Bazı Kan Biyokimyasal Değerleri Üzerine Etkisi

Özet

Bu çalışma mısır-soya fasulyesi-buğday ile beslenen Gine tavuklarında diyete L-glutamin ilavesinin ve buğdaydaki glutamik asit ile glutamin arasındaki sinerjistik etkinin verim performansına, yumurta kalitesi parametrelerine, kan biyokimyasal değerlerine ve fertilitite üzerine etkilerini araştırmak amacıyla yapılmıştır. Çalışmada 120 Gine tavuğu (*Numida meleagris*) tamamen rastgele dizaynda olmak üzere dört tekrar ve her tekrarda 5 tavuk olacak şekilde altı çalışma grubuna ayrıldı. Uygulamalar şu şekilde gerçekleştirildi: 1) mısır-soya fasulyesi temelli diyet (kontrol 1), 2) mısır-soya fasulyesi-buğday temelli diyet (kontrol 2), 3) %0.5 L-glutamin içeren kontrol 1, 4) %1 L-glutamin içeren kontrol 1, 5) %0.5 L-glutamin içeren kontrol 2, 6) %1 L-glutamin içeren kontrol 2. Elde edilen sonuçlar, L-glutamin ilave edilen diyet ile beslenen tavuklarda yumurta kütlelerinde, yumurta üretiminde, yumurta ağırlığında, kabuk kalınlığında, Haugh biriminde, folikül stimüle edici hormon ve luteinize edici hormon seviyelerinde, yumurtadan çıkma oranı ve bir günlük civciv ağırlıklarında anlamlı derecelerde artış olduğunu gösterdi ($P<0.01$). Ayrıca yem konversiyon oranı kontrol 1 ve 2 ile karşılaştırıldığında iyileşme göstermekteydi. Fertilitite için en iyi değerler %1 glutamin içeren kontrol 2 ile beslenen tavuklarda gözlemlendi. %1 glutaminin performans, bazı yumurta kalitesi özelliklerine ve fertilitite parametrelerine pozitif etkisi olduğu kanısına varıldı. Buğday ve glutamin arasındaki pozitif sinerjistik etki Gine tavuklarında fertilitite bakımından değerli olabilir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Gine tavuğu, Glutamin, Buğday, Performans, Yumurta özellikleri, Fertilitite



İletişim (Correspondence)



+98 912 3221336



m.chamani@srbiau.ac.ir

INTRODUCTION

Guinea fowl are originating from Africa. Asia and Latin America raise semi-domesticated species while in Europe, North America and Australia breed large-scale production of dominated guinea fowl^[1]. This topic well shows adaptability guinea fowls to any condition. Guinea fowls have been shown to have resistance against common diseases and also lower requirement to labor and management^[2]. Guinea fowl meat has a higher protein content of approximately 28% compared to 20% for domestic fowl^[2]. It has been reported that guinea fowl eggs, due to more thickness, have better storage time than chick eggs^[3]. These birds have much advantage but those have problems. Guinea fowls only lay in warm season^[4]. It has been shown that guinea fowl lays by 100 eggs during 9 months^[3] and has low hatchability^[4]. It seems feeding strategies can help the birds for improvement in fertility and performance traits.

Wheat is usually applied in some countries as the major energy source in poultry diets. The composition of wheat is commonly more variable than other cereals. Protein level can vary from 10-18%, depending on cultivars and growing conditions. Wheat is contained higher amounts of protein compared to corn, and it provides only slightly less energy. However, there are some potential problems from feeding much more than 30% in a diet. Wheat contains by 5-8% of pentosans, which can create problems such as viscosity which can subsequently lower digestibility^[5]. Wheat protein is known to be low in some amino acids which are known as essential for the human diet, especially lysine and threonine, but they are rich in glutamic acid^[6].

Glutamine, a semi-essential amino acid or in some condition essential, has mobilizable nitrogenous groups in its structure^[7] and also modulates in intestine health of animals^[8]. Glutamine can be synthesized from combination glutamic acid and ammoniac by glutamine synthetase, especially in muscle^[7]. Glutamine and glutamic acid totally form by 14% of egg proteins^[9]. It has been accepted glutamine role as energy source for intestine cells^[10], increasing the mucin synthesis^[11] and modulating in gene expression^[12]. It has been shown glutamine modulation in digestive system can improve absorption and subsequently increase performance and other traits^[9]. It has been shown glutamine role in cellular immunity^[7] and fertility^[13]. Glutamine improved hatchability by decrease in blood urea nitrogen and oxidation activity^[13].

As mentioned guinea fowls have lower fertility and performance and on the other hand glutamine has positive role in improvement of performance, fertility and egg traits. In addition, wheat contains much amount glutamic acid which maybe subsequently converted to glutamine. Thus, we hypothesized replacement of part corn by wheat can help to increasing the glutamine and finally improvement in mentioned traits. Thus, this study was conducted to

investigate the effect of dietary supplementation of L-glutamine on performance, egg quality characteristics, some blood biochemical parameters and fertility traits of guinea fowls fed with corn-soybean meal-wheat based diets.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Birds

The current study was conducted in East Azarbaijan Research Center for Agriculture and Natural Resources and all the used procedures were approved by standard committee of Research's Science University (Approval date: 04/02/2016; No: 10030). A total number of 150 Guinea fowl, 38 weeks of age with weight mean 1800 ± 50 g, were selected for pre-trial period (two weeks). In this period, birds were fed with corn-soybean meal diets and finally 120 hens were selected. 120 guinea fowls (*Numida meleagris*) were allocated to a completely randomized design with six treatments consisted of four replicates and 5 birds per replicate (4 females and 1 male) for 40 days. Experimental conditions were similar for all birds including; light cycling 16 h light: 8 h dark, similar temperature and free access to feed and water. Birds were fed with corn-soybean meal-wheat based diets containing 0.5 and 1% L-glutamine. Experimental treatments were as follows; 1) corn-soybean meal based diets (control 1), 2) corn-soybean meal-wheat based diets (control 2), 3) control 1 containing 0.5% L-glutamine, 4) control 1 containing 1% L-glutamine, 5) control 2 containing 0.5% L-glutamine, 6) control 2 containing 1% L-glutamine. Glutamine was purchased from Wellife Korean Company. Diets were formulated according to the Guinea-Fowls^[1]. The diets composition is presented in *Table 1*. The proximate analyses of diets were performed according to Association of official Analytical Chemists AOAC^[14].

Analysis of Amino Acids

In the present study, corn, wheat and soybean meal ingredients are diets. Thus analyses of amino acid corn, wheat and soybean meal were important. Analysis of amino acids was performed using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as explained by Moral et al.^[15] and the data are presented in *Table 2*. The amino acid composition was reported as percentage of protein content (i.e., in g/100 g of protein).

Performance

The number of produced eggs and their weight mean were daily recorded. Feed intake (FI), egg production (EP), egg weight (EW) and egg mass (EM) were calculated each 10 days/once and feed conversion ratio (FCR) was also calculated. EM was calculated as was previously explained by Hou^[16], as follows;

$$EM = EP (\%) \times EW \text{ mean}$$

Table 1. Ingredient and nutrient composition of experimental diets

| Ingredients (%) | Control1 | Control2 | Control1+0.5% Gln | Control1+1% Gln | Control2+0.5% Gln | Control2+1% Gln |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Corn | 59.35 | 39.1 | 59.35 | 59.35 | 39.1 | 39.1 |
| Soybean meal | 29.3 | 27 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 27 | 27 |
| Wheat | - | 22 | - | - | 22 | 22 |
| Vegetable oil | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Sand | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | - | 0.5 | - |
| L-glutamine | - | - | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |
| Oyster shell | 5 | 5.1 | 5 | 5 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| DCP | 2 | 1.95 | 2 | 2 | 1.95 | 1.95 |
| Salt | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| Vitamin premix ¹ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Mineral Premix ² | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Met+ Cys | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Analysis | | | | | | |
| Dry matter (%) | 91 | 90.8 | 91 | 91 | 90.8 | 90.8 |
| ME (kcal/kg) | 2839 | 2808 | 2839 | 2839 | 2808 | 2808 |
| Crude protein (%) | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| Ca (%) | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Available P (%) | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Met+ Cys (%) | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Ether extract (%) | 2.80 | 2.40 | 2.80 | 2.80 | 2.40 | 2.40 |
| Crude fiber (%) | 4.00 | 4.30 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.30 | 4.30 |
| Ash (%) | 6.50 | 6.80 | 6.50 | 6.50 | 6.80 | 6.80 |

DCP: Di-calcium phosphate; **ME:** metabolizable energy; **CP:** crude protein; **Available P:** Available phosphorous; **Lys:** Lysine. ^a Vitamin premix provided the following per kilogram of supplement: vitamin A, 9,000 IU; vitamin D₃, 2,000 IU; vitamin E, 1,800 IU; nicotinic acid, 30 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.015 mg; vitamin K₃, 4 mg; biotin, 0.15 mg; folic acid, 1.0 mg; niacin, 30.0 mg; pantothenic acid, 25.0 mg; pyridoxine, 2.9 mg; riboflavin, 6.6 mg; thiamin, 1.18 mg. ^b Mineral premix supplied the following per kilogram of supplement: manganese oxide, 100 mg; FeSO₄ · 7H₂O, 50 mg; zinc oxide, 100 mg; copper, 10 mg; I, 1.0 mg; Se, 0.2 mg

Table 2. Amino acid composition (g/100 g of protein) for used wheat, corn and soybean meal

| Ingredients | Ala | Arg | Asp | Cys | Glu | Gly | His | Ile | Leu | Lys | Met | Phe | Pro | Ser | Thr | Tyr | Val |
|--------------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Wheat | 3.1 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 32 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 5.3 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Corn | 3.5 | 7.7 | 12.5 | 1.5 | 11.5 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 9.2 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 3.5 |
| Soybean meal | 3.9 | 7.1 | 11.4 | 1.6 | 17.1 | 4.1 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 5 | 4.4 | 4 | 5.1 |

Egg Quality Traits

Three eggs, close to mean, from each replicate were selected per 10 days/once and then egg weights, albumen percentage, yolk percentage and shell percentage were measured by a digital scale. Shell thickness was measured using micrometers (OSK 13469- Japan). Yolk color was also measured by color indexes (Iran), with numbers from 1 to 15. Haugh unit was calculated as was previously explained by Hou^[17], as follows;

$$\text{Haugh unit} = 100 \log [\text{albumen height} + 7.57 - 1.7 (\text{EW})^{0.37}]$$

Blood Biochemical and Hematological Parameters

Three birds from per replicate were selected (each 10

days/once) and two blood samples were taken from wing vein (2 mL /bird). One blood sample was considered for measurement of glucose, triglycerides and cholesterol by using specified-kits (Bionik-Iran). Other part of same blood samples was used for assessment of total protein and albumin using specified-kits (Pars Azmoon-Iran). Blood biochemical parameters were analyzed by mentioned kits and auto analyzer (Hitachi 911-Japan). The levels of thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), triiodothyronine (T₃), tetraiodothyronine (T₄), luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) were measured using kits (Liaison-Italy). Other sample was transferred to EDTA-containing tubes. Blood smear was prepared and white blood cells were evaluated with Gisma staining and light microscope as described by Thrall et al.^[18].

Fertility Parameters

In the end of trial, a number of collected eggs during experiment (600 eggs) were firstly candled and suitable eggs were transferred to hatchery machine. Incubation period was lasted 27 days. Hatchability percentage and one-day chick weight was calculated

Statistical Analyses

The all data of bird's were subjected to statistical analysis (SAS) ^[19] using analysis of variance (ANOVA) appropriate for a completely randomized design. When significant effects were detected by ANOVA, treatment means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.01$. All of parameters were examined as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$$

Where Y_{ij} is the individual observation, μ is the overall mean, T_i is the effect of treatment, and e_{ij} shows the random error.

RESULTS

Table 3 shows the effect of experimental diets on productive performance. Replacement of corn with wheat had not significant effect on productive performance ($P > 0.05$;

control 1 vs control 2). FI was significantly reduced in birds fed with control 1 containing 0.5% glutamine compared with other birds ($P < 0.05$). The highest FCR and lowest EM, EP and EW were seen in birds receiving control 1 and 2 diets than those fed with diets containing glutamine ($P < 0.05$); showing positive effects of glutamine on productive performance. In the corn-soybean meal based diets, EM, EP and EW increase and FCR decreases by increasing glutamine levels ($P < 0.05$), while better response for FCR was achieved at level of 0.5% glutamine in corn-soybean meal-wheat based diets compared with level of 1% ($P < 0.05$). Comparing the level of 0.5% in control 1 and 2 has showed a better response for level of 0.5% in diets containing wheat; showing that lower levels of glutamine have better interaction with wheat. However, diet supplementing with wheat had not negative effects on productive performance compared with corn-soybean meal diet ($P > 0.05$).

Egg quality characteristics are presented in Table 4. Albumen percentage, shell percentage and albumen: yolk ratio were not influenced by nutritional modulations ($P > 0.05$). Comparing control 1 and 2 did not show significant differences for egg quality traits (except yolk color); showing that adding wheat to diet had not positive or negative effects on egg quality traits. Yolk color was significantly higher in birds fed with control 2 compared with those fed with control 1 ($P < 0.05$). Dietary inclusion

Table 3. Effect of experimental diets on productive performance

| Treatments | FI (g) | EM (g/hen/d) | FCR (g/g) | EW (g) | EP(%) |
|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Control 1 | 89.10 ^a | 15.24 ^c | 5.85 ^a | 36.50 ^b | 41.75 ^c |
| Control 1 + 0.5% Gln | 81.01 ^b | 17.60 ^b | 4.65 ^b | 39.09 ^a | 45.02 ^b |
| Control 1 + 1% Gln | 89.60 ^a | 22.03 ^a | 4.10 ^c | 39.09 ^a | 56.35 ^a |
| Control 2 | 86.77 ^a | 14.65 ^c | 5.95 ^a | 36.21 ^b | 40.45 ^c |
| Control 2 + 0.5% Gln | 88.02 ^a | 21.45 ^a | 4.15 ^c | 39.04 ^a | 54.94 ^a |
| Control 2 + 1% Gln | 86.70 ^a | 19.42 ^{ab} | 4.50 ^b | 39.22 ^a | 49.51 ^b |
| P-value | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.005 |
| SEM | 1.44 | 1.29 | 0.45 | 0.63 | 1.12 |

SEM: standard error of means. Footnotes (a-c) show significant differences each column ($P < 0.01$)

Table 4. Effect of experimental diets on egg quality traits

| Treatments | Albumen (%) | Yolk (%) | Shell (%) | Albumen/Yolk | Shell Thickness (mm) | Haugh Unit | Yolk Index | Yolk Color |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Control 1 | 54.47 | 30.79 ^b | 14.74 | 1.77 | 0.47 ^c | 85.97 ^c | 37.74 ^b | 11.62 ^c |
| Control 1 + 0.5% Gln | 53.75 | 31.42 ^{ab} | 14.83 | 1.73 | 0.51 ^a | 87.59 ^{bc} | 38.46 ^{ab} | 12.31 ^a |
| Control 1 + 1% Gln | 54.00 | 31.70 ^{ab} | 14.30 | 1.70 | 0.50 ^{ab} | 92.31 ^a | 39.42 ^{ab} | 12.31 ^a |
| Control 2 | 54.12 | 31.03 ^b | 14.85 | 1.66 | 0.47 ^c | 87.14 ^{bc} | 39.07 ^{ab} | 11.75 ^b |
| Control 2 + 0.5% Gln | 54.37 | 31.37 ^{ab} | 14.26 | 1.74 | 0.49 ^b | 89.21 ^b | 40.53 ^{ab} | 12.06 ^{ab} |
| Control 2 + 1% Gln | 53.80 | 32.00 ^a | 14.20 | 1.71 | 0.50 ^{ab} | 91.15 ^a | 41.85 ^a | 12.00 ^{ab} |
| P-value | 0.61 | 0.003 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.0005 | 0.0001 | 0.004 | 0.0001 |
| SEM | 0.78 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.004 | 0.84 | 0.56 | 0.08 |

SEM: standard error of means. Footnotes (a-c) show significant differences each column ($P < 0.01$)

of glutamine significantly increased haugh unit and shell thickness ($P < 0.05$).

Effects of wheat and glutamine addition to diet on blood biochemical parameters are presented in *Table 5*. The serum concentrations of triglycerides, albumin and T3 were not influenced by dietary modulation ($P > 0.05$). Comparing the control 1 and 2 did not indicate significant differences between both groups for glucose and total protein ($P > 0.05$). The serum levels of cholesterol, FSH, LH and T4 were significantly increased in control 2 ($P < 0.05$); showing that wheat addition to diet increased cholesterol, FSH, LH and T4 ($P < 0.05$). Addition of glutamine to diet caused conflict results, so that the serum concentration of glucose was reduced by increasing glutamine levels, while the serum concentration of glucose was increased in level of 1% compared to 0.5%. The serum concentration of protein was significantly increased in control 2 diet containing 1% glutamine. Dietary inclusion of glutamine increased FSH and LH ($P < 0.05$). Comparing the level of 0.5% in control diets showed better positive in control 2 compared with control 1; showing positive interaction between wheat and glutamine.

There were not significant differences between control 1 and 2 for hatchability ($P > 0.05$), but 1% glutamine in both control groups, significantly increased hatchability ($P < 0.05$). The weight of 1 day old chicks was increased significantly in control 2 compared with control 1 (*Table 6*; $P < 0.05$). Also glutamine showed synergistic interaction effect with wheat for 1-d chick weight; so that 1-d chick weight was significantly higher in diets containing wheat and 1% glutamine compared to corn-soybean meal based diets containing glutamine.

Hematological parameters of guinea fowls are presented in *Table 7*. As it has been shown in *Table 7*, hematocrit percentage, basophile percentage and level of hemoglobin were not influenced by dietary treatments ($P > 0.05$). The addition of wheat to diet was decreased lymphocyte count (control 2 vs control 1; $P < 0.05$). The heterophil: lymphocyte ratio is reduced in control 2 compared with control 1 ($P < 0.05$) and this is a suitable index for comfortable in birds

nourished with control 2. Dietary inclusion of wheat also increased white blood cells count (control 2 vs control 1; $P < 0.05$); showing improvement in immunity by wheat. Birds receiving the glutamine showed the increased heterophil: lymphocyte ratio and heterophil count and also the reduced lymphocyte count compared with controls ($P < 0.05$). Glutamine linearly increased white blood cells in corn-soybean meal based diets ($P < 0.05$), while there were conflicting results for diets containing wheat, so that higher levels (1%) did not show significant differences with control 2 ($P > 0.05$). However, glutamine increased white blood cells in corn-soybean meal based diets ($P < 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, diet supplementing with wheat had not negative effects on productive performance compared with corn-soybean meal diet. According to our findings, Shakeri et al.^[20] did not observe significant differences in growth performance of broiler chicks supplemented with corn based diets than those fed with wheat based diets. As *Table 2* shows, wheat is containing higher levels of glutamic acid which would be later converted to glutamine in the body, by glutamine synthetase, and finally it can improve productive performance. Thus, the presence of glutamic acid in wheat can be the major reason for indifference

Table 6. Effect of experimental diets on fertility traits

| Treatments | Hatchability (%) | 1-d Chick Weight (g) |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Control 1 | 49.00 ^d | 24.99 ^c |
| Control 1 + 0.5% Gln | 52.00 ^{bc} | 25.02 ^c |
| Control 1 + 1% Gln | 71.00 ^a | 25.85 ^b |
| Control 2 | 51.00 ^c | 25.03 ^c |
| Control 2 + 0.5% Gln | 54.00 ^b | 25.83 ^b |
| Control 2 + 1% Gln | 70.00 ^a | 26.20 ^a |
| P-value | 0.001 | 0.0001 |
| SEM | 0.86 | 0.08 |

SEM: standard error of means. Footnotes (a-c) show significant differences each column ($P < 0.01$)

Table 5. Effect of experimental diets on blood biochemical parameters

| Treatments | Glucose (mg/dL) | Cholesterol (mg/dL) | Triglycerides (mg/dL) | Albumin (g/dL) | Total protein (g/dL) | TSH (IU/L) | T3 (ng/dL) | T4 (µg/dL) | FSH (IU/L) | LH (IU/mL) |
|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Control1 | 187.93 ^a | 257.25 ^b | 359.69 | 4.21 | 5.21 ^b | 1.00 ^a | 101.89 | 1.85 ^d | 0.88 ^d | 0.61 ^d |
| Control 1 + 0.5% Gln | 168.31 ^{bc} | 254.55 ^b | 361.06 | 4.40 | 5.52 ^{ab} | 0.74 ^b | 102.95 | 2.02 ^{cd} | 1.17 ^b | 1.00 ^b |
| Control 1 + 1% Gln | 174.62 ^b | 280.25 ^a | 371.88 | 4.05 | 5.28 ^b | 0.61 ^{bc} | 105.23 | 2.31 ^b | 1.39 ^{ab} | 1.13 ^{ab} |
| Control 2 | 182.50 ^{ab} | 296.25 ^a | 357.94 | 4.15 | 5.28 ^b | 1.01 ^a | 104.31 | 2.40 ^b | 1.03 ^c | 0.78 ^c |
| Control 2 + 0.5% Gln | 162.75 ^c | 294.75 ^a | 411.69 | 4.47 | 5.52 ^{ab} | 0.94 ^a | 107.07 | 2.87 ^a | 1.56 ^a | 1.30 ^a |
| Control 2 + 1% Gln | 188.00 ^a | 281.68 ^a | 389.56 | 4.21 | 5.78 ^a | 0.58 ^c | 102.04 | 2.09 ^c | 1.31 ^{ab} | 1.19 ^{ab} |
| P-value | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.006 | 0.0001 | 0.81 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| SEM | 4.91 | 6.88 | 0.485 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 3.01 | 0.06 | 0.003 | 0.04 |

SEM: standard error of means. Footnotes (a-d) show significant differences each column ($P < 0.01$)

Table 7. Effect of experimental diets on blood hematological parameters

| Treatments | Hematocrit (%) | Hemoglobin (g/dL) | Heterophil (%) | Lymphocyte (%) | Heterophil/Lymphocyte | Monocyte (%) | Eosinophil (%) | Basophile (%) | White Blood cells $\times 10^3$ cells/ μ L |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| Control1 | 42.16 | 14.19 | 61.88 ^d | 32.38 ^a | 1.95 ^d | 3.56 ^a | 1.78 ^{bc} | 0.40 | 203.73 ^d |
| Control 1 + 0.5% Gln | 40.99 | 14.21 | 68.18 ^b | 25.88 ^{cd} | 2.70 ^a | 3.60 ^a | 1.92 ^b | 0.42 | 212.75 ^c |
| Control 1 + 1% Gln | 42.85 | 14.23 | 70.11 ^a | 25.08 ^d | 2.85 ^a | 2.91 ^b | 1.50 ^c | 0.40 | 225.23 ^a |
| Control2 | 40.74 | 13.83 | 63.96 ^d | 29.12 ^b | 2.25 ^c | 4.04 ^a | 2.45 ^a | 0.46 | 210.19 ^c |
| Control 2 + 0.5% Gln | 41.74 | 13.88 | 68.97 ^{ab} | 25.01 ^d | 2.80 ^a | 3.52 ^a | 2.10 ^a | 0.40 | 216.90 ^b |
| Control 2 + 1% Gln | 40.11 | 13.26 | 66.55 ^c | 27.46 ^c | 2.48 ^b | 3.54 ^a | 2.03 ^{ab} | 0.42 | 209.28 ^c |
| P-value | 0.36 | 0.25 | 0.001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.002 | 0.008 | 0.50 | 0.0001 |
| SEM | 0.93 | 0.31 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 1.24 |

SEM: standard error of means. Footnotes (a-d) show significant differences each column ($P < 0.01$)

between control 1 and control 2. The role of anti-nutrient substances in wheat is well accepted, although their effects are well demonstrated in higher levels (more than 30%)^[5]. Thus, lower levels (20% wheat) might be also a reason for indifference between control 1 and 2.

Glutamine improved productive performance, except FI, in guinea fowls. Similar to our observations, the other studies showed that diet supplementing with glutamine increased growth performance of birds^[21,22]. Positive effects of glutamine on performance may be explained by several reasons. Firstly, glutamine improved performance by growth and development of digestive system^[21]. An increase in gene expression and secreted enzymes activity^[12] and increase in mucin synthesis^[11] by glutamine can be another reasons for improvement of productive performance of guinea fowls. It is essential to mention that sexuality significantly affects FI and male birds usually consume more FI because of higher requirement^[23]. Sexual ratio in all replicates was similar and it could not affect FI. The beneficial effects of glutamine on intestine microflora been reported by Francis and Griffiths^[7] which can indirectly improve productive performance. A study has been shown the positive correlation between levels of FSH and LH and EP, EW and finally productive performance in laying hens^[24]. They believed that these hormones improve productive performance by growth of oviduct and other productive system. On the basis of Ying et al.^[24] findings, it can be strongly claimed that FSH and LH are responsible for improvement in productive performance, since their levels were significantly increased in groups containing glutamine (Table 5). It can be concluded that glutamine improves productive performance by mentioned mechanisms but the expected synergistic effect between wheat and glutamine on performance was not seen.

In relation to egg quality, yolk color difference in wheat group compared with corn is not known. Oxycarotenoids are known as responsible for the pigmentation of egg yolk. Corn, wheat and barley are major feed components which can create significant variations in egg yolk pigmentation^[25]. Corn and its by-products are known as the most important

oxycarotenoid source for layers. A study showed that corn containing feed caused to a fan value of 10, while wheat and barley showed yolk color with a fan value of 4. Differences were explained by oxycarotenoid contents in the raw materials^[25]. It seems to be more oxycarotenoid contents in the used wheat cultivar which is caused to increase the yolk color in comparison with corn. Rafuse et al.^[26] did not observe significant differences among treatments for albumen height and eggshell in laying hens consuming wheat-based diets. Studies have been tried to increase egg quality and improve in wheat-based diets by adding enzymes. Mirzaie et al.^[27] reported that dietary inclusion of xylanase had not any significant effect on egg quality traits. Çiftci et al.^[28] reported that substitution 30% corn by wheat did not affect eggshell thickness of laying hens from 27 to 43 wk of age. Dietary inclusion of glutamine increased haugh unit and shell thickness. In contrast to our observations, Ying et al.^[24] showed that glutamine addition to diet had not significant effect on haugh unit and shell thickness in laying hens. This difference between our findings and others may be explained by bird type, bird age, hygiene level, etc. Exact mechanism of glutamine in improvement of shell thickness and haugh unit would be needed more investigations.

Results showing that wheat addition to diet increased cholesterol, FSH, LH and T4. Smits et al.^[29] showed that the increase in non-starch polysaccharide content, enough in wheat, lowered cholesterol absorption and plasma cholesterol concentration in broiler chicks. Other study also showed that triglyceride and total cholesterol concentrations were numerically decreased in wheat-based diet, although they were not significantly different^[30]. It is believed that NSP can bind to bile salts, lipids and cholesterol which finally reduce cholesterol concentration^[30]. It was expected to reduction the serum concentration of cholesterol by wheat, due to viscosity substances, but such result was not found and it may be explained because of using low levels of wheat in diet. Similarly Luo et al.^[31] showed that wheat-based diets did not have significant effects on level of T4. They suggested more investigations for the relationship between wheat and hormone levels, because

of complexity. Mirzaie et al.^[32] did not find significant effects of xylanase on thyroid hormones in wheat-based diets. The serum concentration of glucose was increased in level of 1% compared with 0.5%. This result is confusing and the mechanism of action is not known. Iwashita et al.^[33] recommended glucose during exercise and post-exercise, due to lowering the glucose level. Another study has been shown glutamine as improving the serum concentration of cholesterol, albumin and total protein^[34]. Several studies have indicated that glutamine supplementation could stimulate protein synthesis^[35,36]. Studies have been shown that glutamine supplementing increased fat-free mass in athletes^[37]. Dietary inclusion of glutamine increased FSH and LH. Ying et al.^[24] showed that dietary inclusion of glutamine (0.4-0.8%) increases the level of FSH and LH in laying hens. They showed that glutamine improves gonadal hormone levels in animal body for a better genital system growth. Human studies have been shown to increase testosterone hormone after supplementing the glutamine^[37]. It has been reported that glutamate biosynthesis from glutamine by binding to N-methyl- D-aspartate receptors was an event contributing to the pubertal activation of luteinizing hormone-releasing hormone^[38] and pulsatile gonadotropin-releasing hormone secretion^[39]. The relation between glutamine and T4 is not known.

An increase in 1-d chick weight can be explained by synergistic interaction effects between glutamic acid in wheat and glutamine supplement. Our claim was confirmed by Oliaei et al.^[40] who indicated that *in ovo* administration of glutamine increased 1-d chick weight by 3.6%. Glutamine increased the hatchability in guinea fowls. Suchner et al.^[13] suggested that glutamine improved hatchability by decreasing urea nitrogen and oxidation activity in blood. However, improved fertility traits in guinea fowls are valuable and this study suggests glutamine as a factor for improving the fertility traits in guinea fowls.

Heterophils are phagocytizing cells and their counts will increase against bacterial, microbial and chemical infections. Lymphocytes are the most leucocytes in normal condition and heterophil: lymphocyte ratio is an important index for evaluating stress conditions. Dietary inclusion of wheat increased white blood cells count (control 2). Also El-Katcha et al.^[41] reported that dietary inclusion of wheat to diet increased humoral immunity in broiler chickens. The role of wheat for improving the immune system is not known, but it may be related to wheat components. Wheat is containing high amount of glutamic acid which can then convert to glutamine. The role of glutamine for improving the immune system function would be discussed; in this study Glutamine increased white blood cells. In agreement with our findings some researchers has been reported the glutamine role for improving the immune system under normal and stress conditions^[20,22]. Glutamine is used at high levels in immune cells such as, lymphocytes, macrophages and neutrophils^[42]; suggesting that glutamine involves

in immune system. Also its role has been accepted in other immune cells, i.e. T-cell proliferation, B-lymphocyte differentiation, macrophage phagocytosis, antigen presentation and cytokine production^[43] and also in anti-oxidant system against ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats^[44].

The present study was conducted to investigate the synergistic effects between glutamine supplementation and wheat on performance, egg traits, blood and fertility parameters in guinea fowls, since wheat contains high amount of glutamic acid which can be subsequently converted to glutamine for the first time. The results showed that diet supplementing with L-glutamine significantly increased egg mass, egg weight, shell thickness, haugh unit, levels of follicle-stimulating hormone and luteinizing hormone, hatchability percentage and one-day chick weight and also lowered feed conversion ratio compared with control 1 and 2 diets. The synergistic effect between wheat and glutamine was observed in 1-d chick weight. Guinea fowls have low fertility so that the combination of glutamine and wheat can help to improve their fertility. Therefore glutamine can be suggested as an effective factor for improving the performance, some blood and hematological parameters and also fertility parameters in birds.

REFERENCES

- Shamsaie AH:** Guinea-Fowls (Breeding- Incubation- Diseases). 82-100, Animal Husbandry Research Institute Publishing, Tehran, Iran, 1994.
- Sayila A:** Guinea fowl farming becomes popular in Botswana. *J World Poult Res*, 25 (10): 10-25, 2009.
- Konlan SP, Avornyo EK, Karbo N, Sulleyman A:** Increasing guinea fowl eggs availability and hatchability in the dry season. *J World Poult Res*, 1 (1): 1-3, 2011.
- Moreki JC:** Guinea Fowl Production. 7-31, Reach Publishers, Wandsbeck, South Africa, 2009.
- Wang ZR, Qiao SY, Lu WQ, Li DF:** Effects of enzyme supplementation on performance, nutrient digestibility, gastrointestinal morphology, and volatile fatty acid profiles in the hindgut of broilers fed wheat-based diets. *Poult Sci*, 84, 875-881, 2005. DOI: 10.1093/ps/84.6.875
- Bénétrix F, Autran JC:** Protein synthesis in grains and seeds. In, Morot-Gaudry (Ed): In Nitrogen Assimilation by Plants. Physiological, Biochemical and Molecular Aspects. 343-360, Science Publishers, Enfield, NH, 2001.
- Francis JA, Griffiths RD:** Glutamine: Essential for immune nutrition in the critically ill. *Brit J Nutr*, 87, 3-8, 2002. DOI: 10.1079/BJN2001451
- Windmueller HG, Spaeth HG:** Respiratory fuels and nitrogen metabolism *in vivo* in small intestine of fed rats. *J Biol Chem*, 255, 107-112, 1980.
- Wu G:** Functional amino acids in growth, reproduction and health. *J Biol Chem*, 1, 31-37, 2010. DOI: 10.3945/an.110.1008
- Newsholme P, Procopio J, Lima MR, Pithon-Curi TC:** Glutamine and glutamate, their central role in cell metabolism and function. *Cell Biochem Funct*, 21, 1-9, 2003. DOI: 10.1002/cbf.1003
- Tako E, Ferket PR, Uni Z:** Effects of *in ovo* feeding of carbohydrates and β -Hydroxy- β -methylbutyrate on the development of chicken intestine. *Poult Sci*, 83, 2023-2028, 2004. DOI: 10.1093/ps/83.12.2023
- Kadam MM, Bhanja SK, Mandal AB, Thakur R, Vason P, Bhattacharya A, Tyagi JS:** Effect of *in ovo* threonine supplementation on early growth. Immunological responses and digestive enzyme activities in broiler chickens. *Br Poult Sci*, 49, 736-741, 2008. DOI: 10.1080/

00071660802469333

13. Suchner UK, Kuhn S, Fürst P: The scientific basis of immuno nutrition. *Proc Nutr Soc*, 59, 553-563, 2000. DOI: 10.1017/s0029665100000793

14. AOAC: Official Methods of Analysis. 18th edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC, 2004.

15. Moral Ld, Rharrabti Y, Martos V, Royo C: Environmentally induced changes in amino acid composition in the grain of durum wheat grown under different water and temperature regimes in mediterranean environment. *J Agric Food Chem*, 55, 8144-8151, 2007. DOI: 10.1021/jf063094q

16. Hou YH: Improving feed efficiency in laying hens using individual feed records in a selection index. *Retrospective Theses and Dissertations*, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, 1985.

17. Hou YH: Relationship of body composition to feed consumption and to egg mass output in the laying hen. *Master's thesis*, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, 1983.

18. Thrall MA, Weiser G, Robin W, Allison W, Campbell TW: Veterinary Hematology and Clinical Chemistry. Second edn., 3-13, Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, USA, 2012.

19. SAS Institute: SAS SQL Procedure User Guide, Version 9. SAS, Cary, ISBN: 13-978-158025599X, 576. 2000.

20. Shakeri M, Oskouian E, najafee P, Ebrahimi M: Response to dietary supplementation of L-glutamine and L-glutamate in broiler chickens reared at different stocking densities under hot, humid tropical conditions. *Poult Sci*, 93, 2700-2708, 2014. DOI: 10.3382/ps.2014-03910

21. Jahide F, Farhoomand P, Daneshyar M, Najafi G: The effects of dietary glutamine supplementation on growth performance and intestinal morphology of broiler chickens reared under hot conditions. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci*, 38, 264-270, 2014. DOI: 10.3906/vet-1210-32

22. Yi GF, Allee GL, Knight CD, Dibner JJ: Impact of glutamine and oasis hatchling supplement on growth performance, small intestinal morphology, and immune response of broilers vaccinated and challenged with *Eimeria maxima*. *Poult Sci*, 84, 283-293, 2004. DOI: 10.1093/ps/84.2.283

23. Beg MAH, Saiful Islam KBM, Ftbuzzaman MA, Mahbub ASM: Effects of separate sex growing on performance and metabolic disorders of broilers. *Int J Anim Resour*, 1, 19-26, 2016.

24. Ying DX, Fen YC, Qiu TS, Yan JQ, Ting ZX: Effect and mechanism of glutamine on productive performance and egg quality of laying hens. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci*, 8, 1049-1056, 2010. DOI: 10.5713/ajas.2010.90611

25. Seeman M: Factors which influence pigmentation. *Lohman Infor*, 24, 20-25, 2000.

26. Rafuse JL, Silversides FG, Bedford MR, Simmins PH: Effect of wheat cultivar and enzyme supplementation on nutrient availability and performance of laying hens. *Can J Anim Sci* 84, 397-402, 2004. DOI: 10.4141/a03-103

27. Mirzaie S, Zaghari M, Aminzadeh S, Shivazad M, Mateos GG: Effects of wheat inclusion and xylanase supplementation of the diet on productive performance, nutrient retention, and endogenous intestinal enzyme activity of laying hens. *Poult Sci*, 91, 413-425, 2012. DOI: 10.3382/ps.2011-01686

28. Çiftci I, Yenice E, Eleroglu H: Use of triticale alone and in combination with wheat or maize: effects of diet type and enzyme supplementation on hen performance, egg quality, organ weights, intestinal viscosity, and digestive system characteristics. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 105, 149-161, 2003. DOI: 10.1016/S0377-8401(03)00010-5

29. Smits CHM, Veldman A, Verstegen MWA, Beynen AC: Dietary carboxymethyl cellulose with high instead of low viscosity reduces macronutrient digestion in broiler chickens. *J Nutr*, 127, 483-487, 1997.

DOI: 10.1111/jpn.12121

30. Ghasemabadi MH, Riahi M, Shivazad M, Zali A: Efficacy of wheat based vs. corn based diet formulated based on digestible amino acid method on performances, carcass traits, blood parameters, immunity response, jejunum histomorphology, cecal microflora and excreta moisture in broiler chickens. *Iran J Anim Appl Res*, 4, 105-110, 2014.

31. Luo D, Yang F, Yang X, Yao J, Shi B, Zhou Z: Effects of xylanase on performance, blood parameters, intestinal morphology, microflora and digestive enzyme activities of broilers fed wheat-based diets. *Asian-Aust J Anim Sci*, 22, 1288-1295, 2009. DOI: 10.5713/ajas.2009.90052

32. Mirzaee M, Torki M, Habibian M: Effects of wheat cultivar, metabolizable energy level, and xylanase supplementation to laying hens diet on performance, egg quality traits, and selected blood parameters. *Span J Agr Sci*, 12, 1071-1081, 2014. DOI: 10.5424/sjar/2014124-5929

33. Iwashita S, Williams P, Jabbour K, Ueda T, Kobayashi H, Baier S, Flakoll PJ: Impact of glutamine supplementation on glucose homeostasis during and after exercise. *J Appl Physiol*, 99, 1858-1865, 2005. DOI: 10.1152/jappphysiol.00305.2005

34. Soltan MA: Influence of dietary glutamine supplementation on growth performance, small intestinal morphology, immune response and some blood parameters of broiler chickens. *Poult Sci*, 8, 60-68, 2009. DOI: 10.3923/ijps.2009.60.68

35. Antonio J, Sanders M, Kalman D, Woodgate D, Street C: The effects of high-dose glutamine ingestion on weightlifting performance. *J Strength Condition Res*, 16, 157-160, 2002.

36. Low Sy, Taylor Pm, Rennie MJ: Responses of glutamine transport in cultured rat skeletal muscle to osmotically induced changes in cell volume. *J Physiol*, 492 (3): 877-885, 1996. DOI: 10.1113/jphysiol.1996.sp021353

37. Hakimi M, Ali Mohamadi M, Ghaderi M: The effects of glutamine supplementation on performance and hormonal responses in non-athlete male students during eight week resistance training. *J Human Sport Excer*, 7, 770-782, 2012. DOI: 10.4100/jhse.2012.74.05

38. Roth CL, McCormack AL, Lomniczi A, Mungenast AE, Ojeda SR: Quantitative proteomics identifies a change in glial glutamate metabolism at the time of female puberty. *J Mol Cell Endo*, 254-255, 51-59, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.mce.2006.04.017

39. Bourguignon JP, Gerard ML, Alvarez Gonzalez GP, Franchimont P: Endogenous glutamate involvement in pulsatile secretion of gonadotropin-releasing hormone: evidence from effect of glutamine and developmental changes. *Cell Endo J*, 136, 911-916, 1995. DOI: 10.1210/endo.136.3.7867599

40. Oliaei MA, Golian M, Yasemi A, Haghparast A: Effect of *in ovo* administration of glutamine on growth performance, intestine morphology and immune response of broiler chicks. *Anim Sci R J Tab Univ Agric Fac*, 3, 18-25, 2014.

41. El-Katcha MI, Soltan MA, El-Kaney HF, Karwarie E: Growth performance, blood parameters, immune response and carcass traits of broiler chicks fed on graded levels of wheat instead of corn without or with enzyme supplementation. *Alex J Vet Sci*, 40, 95-111, 2014. DOI: 10.5455/ajvs.48232

42. Ardawi MS, Newsholme EA: Glutamine metabolism in lymphocytes of the rat. *Biochem J*, 212, 835-842, 2001. DOI: 10.1042/bj2120835

43. Newsholme P: Why is L-glutamine metabolism important to cells of the immune system in health, post-injury, surgery or infection? *J Nutr*, 131, 2515-2522, 2001.

44. Zhang WX, Zhou LF, Bao L, Wang CC, Meng HY, Yin W: Protective effects of glutamine preconditioning on ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int*, 10, 78-82, 2011. DOI: 10.1016/S1499-3872(11)60011-8