# **Research Article**

# Effects of Dietary Supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* on Rumen Fermentation, Rumen Microbiota and Feces in Beef Cattle

Jiajun HE <sup>1</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Zhenzhou WU <sup>2</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Liu YU <sup>3</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Li Li <sup>1</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Guoqiang ZHAO <sup>1</sup> <sup>(c)</sup> Dangdang WANG <sup>4</sup> <sup>(b)</sup> Xiangxue XIE <sup>1</sup> <sup>(\*)</sup> <sup>(c)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ruminant Product Research and Development, Guangdong VTR Bio-Tech Co., Ltd., Zhuhai, 519060, CHINA

<sup>2</sup> Animal Product Research and Development Department, Wellhope Foods Company Limited, Shenyang, 110164, CHINA

<sup>3</sup> Agricultural Comprehensive Administrative Law Enforcement, Jinpu New District. Dalian, 116000, CHINA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Animal Science, Northwest A&F University. Yangling 712100, CHINA



(\*) Corresponding author: Xiangxue XIE
Phone: +86-13080649403
E-mail: xxiangxue@163.com
How to cite this article?

He J, Wu Z, Yu L, Li L, Zhao G, Wang D, Xiangxue Xie X: Effects of dietary supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* on rumen fermentation, rumen microbiota and feces in beef cattle. *Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg*, 30 (3): 325-333, 2024. DOI: 10.9775/kvfd.2023.31114

Article ID: KVFD-2023-31114 Received: 21.11.2023 Accepted: 24.02.2024 Published Online: 20.03.2024

#### Abstract

This study investigated how Clostridium butyricum affected rumen fermentation and the microbial communities of rumen and feces in beef cattle. Twenty beef cattle were divided into two groups: the control group (CK) and the C. butyricum group (CB, fed 2.5 x 108 CFU/kg of dry matter intake per day). The results showed that C. butyricum increased rumen pH, ammonia-N concentration, and microbial crude protein (MCP) concentration (P<0.05). Ruminal propionate and butyrate concentration increased, while the ruminal acetate to propionate ratio decreased (P < 0.05). For rumen microbiota, observed species, Chao 1, and ACE indices were higher (P<0.05) with supplemented C. butyricum. At the phyla level, the C. butyricum enhanced the proportion of Firmicutes and decreased Bacteroidota (P<0.01). Christensenellaceae R-7 group, Methanobrevibacter, Oscillospiraceae NK4A214 group, Desulfovibrio, Streptococcus, and C. butyricum were increased (P<0.05) at the genus and species levels in the CB group. The proportion of Prevotella, Christensenellaceae R-7 group, Blautia, and Megasphaera elsdenii increased, while Escherichia coli decreased (P<0.05) in feces. E. coli and Salmonella populations were significantly reduced (P<0.01). These results indicated that diets supplemented with C. butyricum could improve rumen fermentation by increasing the diversity and altering the microbial community structure of the rumen. Additionally, the supplemented C. butyricum changed the fecal microbiota and decreased the harmful bacteria population in beef cattle.

Keywords: *Clostridium butyricum*, Fecal *E. coli*, Fecal microbiota, Rumen fermentation, Rumen microbiota, Microbial population

# INTRODUCTION

Ruminants have many microorganisms in their gastrointestinal tracts. They are crucial for animal health processes, such as digesting nutrients and mediating animal immune and physiological responses <sup>[1]</sup>. The rumen microorganisms enable ruminants to use energy stored in plant material through complex interactions <sup>[2]</sup>. Changing the rumen microbial composition can affect the energy-harvesting ability, health, and rumen function of ruminants <sup>[3]</sup>. A typical and stable microbial community is an essential guarantee of ruminant health, playing vital roles in promoting the development of gastrointestinal morphology and structure, maintaining normal immune function, resistance to exogenous pathogenic factors, and so on. The microbial composition in the gastrointestinal tracts is fundamental because it can affect production performance and animal health <sup>[4]</sup>. Understanding the relationships between microbial communities in the gastrointestinal tracts and the ruminant animal has been shown to provide essential animal benefits. It has been reported that dietary-supplemented probiotics can improve productive performance by altering gastrointestinal bacterial communities in ruminants <sup>[5]</sup>.

*Clostridium butyrium*, a strictly anaerobic bacterium, is a gram-positive bacteria that can form endospores. Additionally, *C. butyrium* can tolerate complex environments in the gastrointestinal tracts of ruminants compared with *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium*<sup>[6]</sup>. Therefore, *C. butyricum* belongs to typical intestinal microorganisms and is used in feed additives. Furthermore, extensive

 $\odot$   $\odot$ 

Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg

research studies have confirmed that C. butyricum could enhance intestinal health and function in weaned piglets [7] and chickens [8]. Additionally, diets supplemented with C. butyricum can improve the short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) content, the microbial diversity of gastrointestinal tracts, and production performance in Pekin ducks<sup>[9]</sup>. Additionally, C. butyricum produces lipoteichoic acid, SCFAs, hydrogen, and bacteriocin, helping to enhance the anti-oxidant and anti-bacterial functions of the intestines of animals <sup>[10]</sup>. Probiotic effect of C. butyricum has been demonstrated in monogastric animals, but few studies have been revealed in ruminants. Ruminants have a complex digestive system, and diet digestion occurs initially in the rumen. Rumen microorganisms break down diet components such as carbohydrates, plant fiber, and proteins, producing short-chain fatty acids. Thus, we hypothesized that dietary supplements with C. butyricum would affect rumen microbiota and rumen fermentation function of beef cattle. In addition, rumen microorganisms have attracted considerable attention in ruminant nutrition <sup>[5]</sup>, but limited attention has been focused on the hindgut microorganisms. Therefore, this research examined how C. butyricum affected rumen fermentation and the microbial communities of rumen and feces.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

## **Ethical Approval**

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Northwest A&F University (NWAFAC1008) approved this animal study.

# Animals, Experimental Design, and Feeding Management

This animal experiment was conducted at a beef cattle breeding base in Guangdong VTR Bio-Tech Co., Ltd. (Zhuhai, China). Twenty beef steers (500±34 kg) were divided into two groups according to body weight, with 10 beef cattle in an open-sided house. Beef steers in the control group (CK) were fed a basal diet, and the experimental group (CB) was fed a basal diet with 2.5 x 108 CFU/kg C. butyricum of dry matter intake, respectively. C. butyricum was deposited in the Guangdong Microbial Culture Collection Center (GDMCC) and provided by Guangdong VTR Bio-Tech Co., Ltd. The deposition number was GDMCC NO: 61311. The experimental period lasted 40 days. The basal diet was designed to meet the requirements for the growth of beef cattle based on the Feeding Standard of Beef Cattle (NY/Y 815-2004). Beef cattle were fed twice daily at 7:30 and 14:30 and allowed free access to water.

## **Collection of Samples**

On the last days of the trial (day 40), a flexible oral

stomach tube (the Laboratory of the Chinese University of Agriculture, Beijing, China) was used to collect rumen samples at 3, 6, and 9 h after feeding [11]. The first 50 mL of rumen samples were discarded to minimize contamination with saliva. Rumen samples from each beef cattle were homogenized and filtered using four layers of gauze to obtain rumen liquids <sup>[12]</sup>. The rumen liquid was immediately used to determine pH and stored at -20°C freezer for determining rumen fermentation parameters. Approximately 2 mL of rumen samples were placed in a sterile frozen tube, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C freezer for microbial community analysis. On the same day, rectal fecal samples were collected 4 h after feeding, placed in a sterile frozen tube, and stored at -80°C freezer for further analysis of the fecal community<sup>[13]</sup>. Approximately 20 g of fresh fecal samples were used to determine the microbial population.

#### **Measurements of Rumen Fermentation Parameters**

The pH value was obtained via a PHS-3C pH meter (INESA Scientific Instruments, Shanghai, China) after the collection of the rumen fluid. Then, the sample was centrifuged at 10.000 x g for 20 min, and an aliquot (3 mL) of supernatants was used to determine the contents of ammonia-N (NH<sub>2</sub>-N), microbial crude protein (MCP), acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid. First, the MCP content was measured using the spectrophotometric method <sup>[14]</sup>. Next, NH<sub>2</sub>-N concentration was determined using the phenol/hypochlorite method <sup>[15]</sup>. Finally, the concentrations of acetic, propionic, and butyric acids were determined using gas chromatography (Agilent GC 8860, Agilent Company, US) [16]. Briefly, an aliquot (0.1 mL) of supernatants was added to 0.8 mL 25% (w/v) metaphosphoric acid. Then, the supernatant sample was injected into a silica column of GC after centrifuging at  $12.000 \times g$  for 20 min.

## **Microbial Community Analysis**

Rumen and fecal samples were used to determine bacterial flora in the digestive tract and investigate microbial community changes after dietary supplementation with C. butyricum. First, total DNA was extracted from the rumen and fecal samples using the sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) method [17]. Subsequently, the integrity and concentration of the DNA were verified using 0.7% agarose gel electrophoresis. The distinct V3-V4 regions of 16S rRNA were sequenced on a sequencing platform (Novaseq6000, Novogene Technology Company, China). The raw sequence data were obtained after sequencing and stored as fastq format [11]. The sequence data were filtered to remove barcodes and primers. Then, the sequence data were spliced using Fast Length Adjustment of Short Reads (FLASH; Version 1.2.7, http://ccb.jhu.edu/ software/FLASH/) according to Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME; Version 1.9.1, http://

327

*qiime.org/scripts/split\_libraries\_fastq.html*) process <sup>[18]</sup>. After quality filtering, the effective tags were assembled to obtain operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at a 97% similarity level by the clustering method of the UPARSE-OTU algorithm (Version 7.0.1001; *http://www.drive5.com/uparse/*) and relative abundance information. Based on the OTU results, alpha diversity analysis was obtained using QIIME <sup>[19]</sup>. Online repositories (*https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PRJNA852290*) contained the datasets.

#### **Microbial Population Analysis**

The populations of *Escherichia coli*, *C. butyricum*, and *Salmonella* were measured using the spread-plate method. Briefly, samples (20 g) were homogenized in sterile water (180 mL) and shaken at room temperature for 20 min. The colonies were counted after inoculating serial dilutions on agar plates and spreading them evenly <sup>[19]</sup>. *E. coli* was incubated on MacConkey agar at 37°C for 24 h. *C. butyricum* was incubated using a reinforced medium for *Clostridia* agar at 37°C under anaerobic conditions for 18 h. *Salmonella* was incubated using Selenite Cystine Broth agar for 24 h.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze all data based on a completely randomized using SPSS Statistics (version 22.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, N. Y., USA) general linear model procedure. Replications were considered experimental units. A P-value <0.05 was defined as significant, and a P-value <0.01 as extremely significant.

# RESULTS

#### **Rumen Fermentation Parameters**

The results of ruminal pH, ammonia-N, MCP, acetate, propionate, and butyrate concentrations are shown in *Table 1*. There was a tendency to an increase in rumen pH (P=0.078) by adding *C. butyricum*. The contents of ammonia-N and MCP in the CB group were higher

(P<0.05) than those of the CK group. Propionate and butyrate concentrations increased (P<0.05) by adding *C*. *butyricum*. At the same time, the acetate-to-propionate ratio decreased (P<0.05). The acetate concentrations did not differ in the two groups.

#### **Rumen Microbiota**

These OTUs of the rumen sample that are shared and unique among the two groups are shown in *Fig. 1*. An evaluation of the distribution of OTUs was conducted using the Venn and Flower diagrams. In total, 2521 OTUs were clustered. Of the 1.952 common OTUs, 202 and 367 were unique to the CK and CB groups. The population of OTUs was more enhanced than in the CK group (2.319 vs.



**Fig 1.** Venn analysis of operational taxonnmic units (OTUs) of rumen sample. (A), Each circle represented a group. The common OTUs were showed in the overlapping part, while the numbers in the non-overlapping part represent unique OTUs in each group. (B), Each petal represented a sample, while different colors represented different samples. The numbers of common OTUs were showed in the overlapping part. CK, the control group; CB, the *C. butyricum* group

2.154). Alpha diversity can reflect the species richness and diversity of the microbial community. The alpha diversity indices of the rumen samples in the two groups are given in *Table 2*. The observed species, Chao 1, and ACE indices were increased (P<0.05) with added *C. butyricum*. There were no effects on the Shannon, Simpson, and PD-whole-tree indices in the two experimental groups with added *C. butyricum*. Our results indicated that dietary added *C. butyricum* positively affected rumen microbial structure.

Table 1. Ruminal fermentation parameters of beef cattles with C.butyricum supplementation					
Item	Treat	ment	CDM	P-value	
	СК	СВ	SEM		
pH	6.58	6.65	0.021	0.078	
Ammonia-N (mg/100 mL)	8.01 <sup>b</sup>	8.18ª	0.043	0.034	
MCP (mg/mL)	6.11 <sup>b</sup>	6.22ª	0.190	0.001	
Acetate (mmol/L)	54.36	56.89	1.432	0.401	
Propionate (mmol/L)	15.14 <sup>b</sup>	19.89ª	1.117	0.025	
Butyrate (mmol/L)	9.68 <sup>b</sup>	13.32ª	0.955	0.050	
Acetate/propionate	3.73ª	2.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.208	0.033	
CK, the control group; CB, the C. butyricum group	up; SEM, standard error of	the mean; MCP, microbial	crude protein		

Table 2. OTUs number of alpha diversity indices of microbial community of rumen							
Items	Observed-Species	Shannon	Simpson	Chao1	ACE	Goods-Coverage	PD-Whole-Tree
СК	1287 <sup>b</sup>	7.915	0.986	1396.8 <sup>b</sup>	1404.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.995	94.919
СВ	1403ª	7.892	0.982	1512.2ª	1516.4ª	0.995	99.476
SEM	29.543	0.0997	0.002	28.029	27.373	0.0002	2.283
Р	0.044	0.916	0.373	0.031	0.032	0.664	0.342
CK. the control group: CB. the C. butvricum group. SEM. standard error of the mean							

CK, the control group; CB, the C. butyricum group. SEM, standard error of the mean



The proportion of ruminal microbiota is shown in Fig. 2. At the phyla level, a diet supplemented with C. butyricum had an enhanced proportion of Firmicutes (36.0 vs. 47.9; P<0.001), Euryarchaeota (0.43 vs. 5.44; P<0.001), and decreased the proportion of Bacteroidota (48.7 vs. 34.9; P=0.003). In addition, dietary supplemented with C. butyricum enhanced the proportion of Christensenellaceae R-7 group (4.08 vs. 9.94; P=0.026), Methanobrevibacter (0.48 vs. 3.88; P=0.019), Oscillospiraceae NK4A214 group (4.18 vs. 6.92; P=0.043), Desulfovibrio (0.04 vs. 0.16; P=0.010), Streptococcus (0.02 vs. 0.15; P=0.042), and reduced the proportion of Prevotella (27.2 vs. 11.2; P<0.001), and Fibrobacter (0.21 vs. 0.42; P=0.038) at the genus level. At the species level, dietary supplemented with C. butyricum enhanced the proportion of Treponema bryanti (0.20 vs. 0.46; P=0.022), C. butyricum (0.000 vs. 0.003; P=0.022), and decreased Prevotella ruminicola (4.67 vs. 1.13; P=0.002), Parabacteroides sp. CT06 (0.20 vs. 0.14; P=0.039). On the other hand, Ruminococcus bicirculans tended to decrease with C. butyricum supplementation (0.40 vs. 0.31; P=0.093).



**Fig 3.** Venn analysis of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of fecal sample. (A), Each circle represented a group. The common OTUs were showed in the overlapping part, while the numbers in the non-overlapping part represent unique OTUs in each group. (B), Each petal represented a sample, while different colors represented different samples. The numbers of common OTUs were showed in the overlapping part. CK, the control group; CB, the *C. butyricum* group

#### Fecal Microbiota

The shared and unique OTUs of the fecal samples among the two groups are illustrated in *Fig. 3*. An evaluation of the distribution of OTUs was carried out using the Venn and Flower diagrams. In total, 2000 OTUs were clustered.

#### Kafkas Univ Vet Fak Derg

#### HE, WU, YU, LI, ZHAO, WANG, XIE

	Table 3. OTUs number of alpha diversity indices of microbial community in feces						
Observed-Species	Shannon	Simpson	Chao1	ACE	Goods-Coverage	PD-Whole-Tree	
1011ь	7.683 <sup>b</sup>	0.989	1093.06	1091	0.997	71.103	
1083ª	7.897ª	0.989	1137.85	1145	0.997	79.374	
16.249	0.053	0.0005	15.429	15.95	0.0001	2.310	
0.016	0.035	0.640	0.155	0.088	1.000	0.070	
	1011 <sup>b</sup> 1083 <sup>a</sup> 16.249	1011b     7.683b       1083a     7.897a       16.249     0.053	Image: Non-State     Image: Non-State       1011b     7.683b     0.989       1083a     7.897a     0.989       16.249     0.053     0.0005	1011b     7.683b     0.989     1093.06       1083a     7.897a     0.989     1137.85       16.249     0.053     0.0005     15.429	1011b     7.683b     0.989     1093.06     1091       1083a     7.897a     0.989     1137.85     1145       16.249     0.053     0.0005     15.429     15.95	1011b     7.683b     0.989     1093.06     1091     0.997       1083a     7.897a     0.989     1137.85     1145     0.997       16.249     0.053     0.0005     15.429     15.95     0.0001	

CK, the control group; CB, the C. butyricum group. SEM, standard error of the mean



Items	Rumen C. butyricum	Feces			
		C. butyricum	E. coli	Salmonella	
СК	1.22 <sup>b</sup>	3.18	4.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.96ª	
СВ	5.54ª	2.98	3.76 <sup>b</sup>	1.74 <sup>b</sup>	
SEM	0.653	0.102	0.185	0.210	
Р	<0.001	0.332	< 0.001	<0.001	

Of the 1340 common OTUs, 335 and 325 were unique to the CK and CB groups. The alpha diversity indices of the fecal samples in the two groups are given in *Table 3*. The observed species and Shannon indices were higher (P<0.05) than the CK group. In addition, ACE and PD-whole-tree indices increased with added *C. butyricum*. However, the two groups did not differ in Simpson, Chao 1, or goods-coverage indices.

The microbial relative proportions of the fecal sample are shown in *Fig. 4*. At the phyla level, dietary supplemented

with *C. butyricum* led to an enhanced relative proportion of Cyanobacteria (0.14 vs. 0.69; P<0.001), Unidentified Bacteria (2.16 vs. 3.07; P=0.046), and Fusobacteriota (0.006 vs. 0.079; P=0.023). At the genus level, dietary supplemented with *C. butyricum* enhanced the proportion of *Prevotella* (1.11 vs. 2.72; P=0.002), *Christensenellaceae* R-7 group (1.36 vs. 2.12; P=0.014), *Blautia* (0.65 vs. 0.99; P=0.007) and reduced the proportion of *Faecalibacterium* (1.07 vs. 0.50; P=0.031), *Dorea* (0.14 vs. 0.05; P=0.050). *Ruminococcus* decreased in the CB group compared to the CK group (1.33 vs. 0.59; P=0.070). At the species level, dietary added *C. butyricum* enhanced the proportion of *M. elsdenii* (0.002 vs. 0.013; P=0.003) and decreased the proportion of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* (1.06 vs. 0.50; P=0.033), *Ruminococcus* sp.\_N15.MGS-57 (0.60 vs. 0.06; P=0.029), *Bacteroides plebeius* (0.49 vs. 0.10; P=0.034), *Lactobacillus reuteri* (0.20 vs. 0.06; P=0.031), *Lactobacillus intestinalis* (0.42 vs. 0.02; P=0.033) and *E. coli* (0.19 vs. 0.10; P=0.005).

## **Microbial Rumen Population and Fecal Samples**

The microbial rumen population and fecal samples are shown in *Table 4*. The *C. butyricum* rumen population in the CB group increased (P<0.001), while the *E. coli* and *Salmonella* feces populations decreased significantly (P<0.001). There were no observed effects on the *C. butyricum* population in feces with dietary *C. butyricum* supplementation.

# DISCUSSION

Ruminal fermentation parameter includes a range of indicators and can reflect the function and health status of the rumen. Ruminal pH is mainly related to the dietary digestion rate, especially the degradation rate of concentrate grains. Therefore, it is a critical fermentation parameter for the rumen environment and function <sup>[20]</sup>. It has been reported that added probiotics resulted in a high ruminal pH in cows <sup>[15]</sup>. Cai et al. reported that dietary supplemented with *C. butyricum* increased ruminal pH in goats <sup>[16]</sup>. Our results showed that ruminal pH increased with added *C. butyricum*, potentially associated with the rising proportion of bacteria that utilize lactic acid <sup>[15]</sup>. The result indicated that added *C. butyricum* could stabilize the ruminal pH as other probiotics.

Furthermore, the appropriate ammonia-N concentration can provide a nitrogen source for microorganisms and promote the synthesis of MCP [21]. The principal fermentation products of rumen are acetate, propionate, and butyrate, which account for as much as 70% of the overall metabolizable energy provision in ruminants <sup>[22]</sup>. A previous study reported that added C. butyricum enhanced ruminal NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentration but did not affect the MCP concentration in goats [16]. In our research, adding C. butyricum improved the concentration of NH<sub>3</sub>-N, propionate, and butyrate but decreased the acetate-to-propionate ratio in beef cattle. Our results indicated that adding C. butyricum enhanced ruminal protein and energy supply and positively affected rumen fermentation. Ruminal fermentation parameters can reflect the situation of the dietary digestion in the rumen and are closely related to ruminal microorganisms <sup>[2]</sup>. Therefore, we analyzed the ruminal microbial flora to find out why C. butyricum affected rumen fermentation.

Rumen microbiota is a vital factor in immune function and the efficiency of nutrient digestion. There is a large number of microorganisms in the gastrointestinal tracts of ruminants. The degradation of nutrients by ruminal microorganisms produces acetate, propionate, butyrate, and MCP, which supply energy and protein for ruminants. Therefore, determining the function of rumen microbiota is essential for understanding their role in animal metabolism <sup>[4]</sup>. In the research, adding *C. butyricum* enhanced the number of OTUs and the observed species, Chao 1, and ACE indices. The results indicated that *C. butyricum* positively affected microbial diversity, consistent with previous research <sup>[23]</sup>.

Bacteroidota and Firmicutes were the most abundant bacteria in the rumen [24]. Many microorganisms belonging to Firmicutes can degrade fiber from dietary compounds and produce SCFAs <sup>[24]</sup>. The Firmicutes to Bacteroidota (F/B) ratio is a valuable indicator of the ability to absorb and store energy <sup>[25]</sup>. Our results indicated that added C. butyricum enhanced the proportion of Firmicutes but reduced the proportion of Bacteroidota. The F/B ratio was enhanced, which indicated that added C. butyricum improved the energy absorption capacity of the rumen microbiota. At the genus level, Christensenellaceae R-7 group and Oscillospiraceae NK4A214 group were the top two species belonging to Firmicutes. Our results showed that supplemented with C. butyricum could enhance the proportion of Christensenellaceae R-7 group and Oscillospiraceae NK4A214 group, thereby enhancing the relative proportion of Firmicutes. Changing the rumen microbial composition can affect the energyharvesting ability and rumen function of ruminants <sup>[14]</sup>. The results indicated that added C. butyricum changed the ruminal microbial flora structure, affecting the rumen fermentation in beef cattle.

The effects on the fermentation parameters were closely related to the rumen flora structure <sup>[14]</sup>. Specifically, Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens, Ruminococcus albus, Ruminococcus flavefaciens, and Fibrobacter succinogenes belong to cellulolytic bacteria and primarily produce acetate by degrading plant fiber <sup>[12]</sup>. Our results indicated that supplementation with C. butyricum did not affect the proportion of dominant cellulolytic bacteria including F. succinogenes, B. fibrisolvens, R. albus, and R. flavefaciens. Therefore, the acetate concentration showed no difference between the two groups. Streptococcus and Ruminobacter amylophilus are amylolytic bacteria that produce propionate <sup>[25]</sup>. R. bromii can degrade resistant starch and xylan, while Ruminococcus degrades complex deoxy sugars, such as fucose and rhamnose [11]. Our study indicated that the proportion of Streptococcus increased. This result was probably why the propionate content in the CB group was higher. Provotella, the most abundant bacterial genus <sup>[26]</sup>, can produce SCFAs by metabolizing dietary fiber <sup>[12]</sup>. Our study showed that the proportion of *Provotella* decreased with added *C. butyricum*. The low ruminal pH can promote the growth of *Prevotella* <sup>[26]</sup>. Therefore, the reduced proportion of *Provotella* in the CB group was probably due to the higher rumen pH. The increased rumen pH might be linked to *Desulfovibrio*, a lactate-utilizing bacteria. The proportion of *Desulfovibrio* increased with added *C. butyricum*. The *C. butyricum* also stimulated the development of lactate-fermenting bacteria via outcompeting lactate-producing bacteria for using sugar <sup>[25]</sup>, thereby inhibiting lactate accumulation and increasing ruminal pH.

*Methanobrevibacter*, an essential member of methanogenic archaea, could produce methane by using H<sub>2</sub> as a substrate to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> <sup>[27]</sup>. In the study, the proportion of *Methanobrevibacter* was increased, potentially associated with the rising proportion of fiber-degrading bacteria, including carbohydrate-fermenting and H<sub>2</sub>producing bacteria <sup>[27]</sup>. In addition, *C. butyricum* positively affected production performance and ruminal nutrition digestibility <sup>[16]</sup>. Therefore, the increased proportion of *Methanobrevibacter* did not cause adverse effects on beef cattle.

Rumen microorganisms have attracted considerable attention, but limited attention has been paid to the hindgut microorganisms of ruminants. Diverse gut microorganisms are prominent in host metabolism, nutrient digestion, growth performance, and overall animal health <sup>[17]</sup>. Previous studies have reported that diets supplemented with C. butyricum could affect the intestinal microbiota by increasing bacterial abundance and diversity <sup>[28]</sup>. The observed species, Chao 1, and ACE indices increased with C. butyricum supplementation. These results showed that added C. butyricum enhanced the diversity of fecal microbial communities. The diversity of the intestinal microbiota serves as the foundation for nutrient digestion, intestinal functions, and promoting intestinal immune system development in animals <sup>[29]</sup>. Zeng et al.<sup>[8]</sup> found that Firmicutes, Bacteroidota, and Proteobacteria are the dominant phyla in the feces of ruminants. Added C. butyricum enhanced the Firmicutes proportion but reduced the Proteobacteria proportion <sup>[23]</sup>. However, our results showed that the addition of C. butyricum improved the abundance of Cyanobacteria and Fusobacteriota without affecting the proportion of Firmicutes, Bacteroidota, and Proteobacteria in feces. This lack of impact on impact on the latter phyla may be attributed to the presence of rumen microbial communities in ruminants.

This research revealed that by adding *C. butyricum* to the diets of the analyzed ruminants, the proportions of *Prevotella* and *M. elsdenii* increased, while the relative

proportion of E. coli decreased. Provotella can decompose hemicellulose and is essential for utilizing non-fibrous polysaccharides <sup>[6]</sup>. Such an addition improves the production of acetates, propionates, and butyrates with the degradation of starch, xylan, and proteins [30]. The proportion of *Provotella* is predominant in animal feces<sup>[31]</sup>. M. elsdenii is an essential bacteria that converts lactate to acetate, propionate, butyrate, and valerate <sup>[32]</sup>. A previous study reported that C. butyricum could enhance the concentrations of SCFAs in feces <sup>[32]</sup>. The SCFAs could promote beneficial bacteria proliferation and inhibit the harmful bacteria E. coli, possibly due to the reduced pH [33]. Adding C. butyricum to diets reduced the proportion of *E. coli* and regulated the intestinal microbial structure by enhancing the amino acid metabolism and recombining proteins related to microbiota [34].

Broilers fed with C. butyricum reduced the E. coli and enhanced *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* populations<sup>[34]</sup>. Zhang et al.<sup>[32]</sup> observed that C. butyricum could benefit the gut ecosystem by increasing the Lactobacillus population and reducing the counts of C. perfringens. The microbial composition in the gastrointestinal tracts of ruminants is fundamental because it can affect production performance and animal health. The results indicated that added C. butyricum reduced the harmful bacteria E. coli and Salmonella population compared with the CK group. This result may be attributed to the ability of C. butyricum to produce various beneficial materials and compete with pathogens for nutrition and attachment sites, thereby inhibiting the growth of harmful bacteria<sup>[35]</sup>. In this experiment, beef cattle fed C. butyricum could decrease the harmful bacteria counts and were beneficial to the gastrointestinal tract and animal health.

# DECLARATIONS

**Availability of Data and Material:** The corresponding author can provide the datasets of this research upon reasonable request.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank all the participants who took part in this study.

**Funding Support:** The research was supported by a research project funded by the Ministry of Agriculture of China (Grant number. 201503134).

**Competing Interests:** There is no conflict of interest between the manuscript's material and any financial organization.

**Ethics Statement:** The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Northwest A&F University (NWAFAC1008) approved this animal study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: J. He, X. Xie, Z. Wu; Data curation: J. He, L. Yu, L. Li; Formal analysis: J. He, G. Zhao; Methodology: G. Zhao, D. Wang; Software: D. Wang, L. Yu; Validation: D. Wang; Investigation: X. Xie; Writing - original draft: J. He; Writing - review & editing: J. He, X. Xie.

# REFERENCES

1. Zhu Y, Wang Z, Hu R, Wang X, Li F, Zhang X, Zou H, Peng Q, Xue B, Wang L: Comparative study of the bacterial communities throughout the gastrointestinal tract in two beef cattle breeds. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 105 (1): 313-325, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-020-11019-7

2. Paz HA, Hales KE, Wells JE, Kuehn LA, Freetly HC, Berry ED, Flythe MD, Spangler ML, Fernando SC: Rumen bacterial community structure impacts feed efficiency in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci*, 96 (3): 1045-1058, 2018. DOI: 10.1093/jas/skx081

**3. Friedman N, Jami E, Mizrahi I:** Compositional and functional dynamics of the bovine rumen methanogenic community across different developmental stages. *Environ Microbiol*, 19 (8): 3365-3373, 2017. DOI: 10.1111/1462-2920.13846

4. Amachawadi RG, Tom WA, Hays MP, Fernando SC, Hardwidge PR, Nagaraja TG: Bacterial community analysis of purulent material from liver abscesses of crossbred cattle and Holstein steers fed finishing diets with or without tylosin. J Anim Sci, 99 (4): 76-79, 2021. DOI: 10.1093/jas/skab076

**5.** Adeyemi JA, Peters SO, De Donato M, Cervantes AP, Ogunade IM: Effects of a blend of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*-based direct-fed microbial and fermentation products on plasma carbonyl-metabolome and fecal bacterial community of beef steers. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol*, 11:14, 2020. DOI: 10.1186/ s40104-019-0419-5

**6.** Zhan HQ, Dong XY, Li LL, Zheng YX, Gong YJ, Zou XT: Effects of dietary supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* on laying performance, egg quality, serum parameters, and cecal microflora of laying hens in the late phase of production. *Poult Sci*, 98 (2): 896-903, 2019. DOI: 10.3382/ps/ pey436

7. Fu J, Wang T, Xiao X, Cheng Y, Wang F, Jin M, Wang Y, Zong X: *Clostridium Butyricum*ZJU-F1 benefits the intestinal barrier function and immune response associated with its modulation of gut microbiota in weaned piglets. *Cells*, 10 (3):527, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/cells10030527

**8.** Zeng X, Li Q, Yang C, Yu Y, Fu Z, Wang H, Fan X, Yue M, Xu Y: Effects of *Clostridium butyricum-* and *Bacillus spp.*-based potential probiotics on the growth performance, intestinal morphology, immune responses, and caecal microbiota in broilers. *Antibiotics*, 10 (6):624, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/ antibiotics10060624

**9. Liu Y, Liu C, An K, Gong X, Xia Z:** Effect of dietary *Clostridium butyricum* supplementation on growth performance, intestinal barrier function, immune function, and microbiota diversity of Pekin ducks. *Animals*, 11 (9):2514, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/ani11092514

**10.** Zhang X, Yun Y, Lai Z, Ji S, Yu G, Xie Z, Zhang H, Zhong X, Wang T, Zhang L: Supplemental *Clostridium butyricum* modulates lipid metabolism by reshaping the gut microbiota composition and bile acid profile in IUGR suckling piglets. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol*, 14 (1):36, 2023. DOI: 10.1186/ s40104-023-00828-1

**11. Ogunade IM, Lay J, Andries K, McManus CJ, Bebe F:** Effects of live yeast on differential genetic and functional attributes of rumen microbiota in beef cattle. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol*, 10:68, 2019. DOI: 10.1186/s40104-019-0378-x

**12. Li Y, Wang Y, Lv J, Dou X, Zhang Y:** Effects of dietary supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* on the amelioration of growth performance, rumen fermentation, and rumen microbiota of holstein heifers. *Front Nutr,* 8:763700, 2021. DOI: 10.3389/fnut.2021.763700

**13.** Xu H, Huang W, Hou Q, Kwok LY, Sun Z, Ma H, Zhao F, Lee YK, Zhang H: The effects of probiotics administration on the milk production, milk components and fecal bacteria microbiota of dairy cows. *Sci Bull*, 62 (11): 767-774, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.scib.2017.04.019

**14.** Zhang X, Liu X, Chang S, Zhang C, Du W, Hou F: Effect of *Cistanche deserticola* on rumen microbiota and rumen function in grazing sheep. *Front Microbiol*, 13:840725, 2022. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.840725

**15.** Thrune M, Bach A, Ruiz-Moreno M, Stern MD, Linn JG: Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on ruminal pH and microbial fermentation in dairy cows: Yeast supplementation on rumen fermentation. *Anim Feed Sci Technol*, 8 (6): 1323-1335, 2009. DOI: 10.1016/j.livsci.2009.02.007

16. Cai L, Yu J, Hartanto R, Qi D: Dietary Supplementation with *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae, *Clostridium butyricum* and their combination ameliorate rumen

fermentation and growth performance of heat-stressed goats. *Animals*, 11 (7):2116, 2021. DOI: 10.3390/ani11072116

**17. Sato Y, Kuroki Y, Oka K, Takahashi M, Rao S, Sukegawa S, Fujimura T:** Effects of dietary supplementation with *Enterococcus faecium* and *Clostridium butyricum*, either alone or in combination, on growth and fecal microbiota composition of post-weaning pigs at a commercial farm. *Front Vet Sci*, 6:26, 2019. DOI: 10.3389/fvets.2019.00026

18. Haas BJ, Gevers D, Earl AM, Feldgarden M, Ward DV, Giannoukos G, Ciulla D, Tabbaa D, Highlander SK, Sodergren E, Methé B, DeSantis TZ, Human Microbiome Consortium, Petrosino JF, Knight R, Birren BW: Chimeric 16S rRNA sequence formation and detection in Sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR amplicons. *Genome Res*, 21 (3): 494-504, 2011. DOI: 10.1101/gr.112730.110

**19.** Zhao G, Wu H, Li L, He J, Hu Z, Yang X, Xie X: Effects of applying cellulase and starch on the fermentation characteristics and microbial communities of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum Schum*) silage. *J Anim Sci Technol*, 63 (6): 1301-1313, 2021. DOI: 10.5187/jast.2021.e107

**20. Yi S, Wu H, Liu Y, Dai D, Meng Q, Chai S, Liu S, Zhou Z:** Concentrate supplementation improves cold-season environmental fitness of grazing yaks: Responsive changes in the rumen microbiota and metabolome. *Front Microbiol*, 14:1247251, 2023. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1247251

**21.** Zhu Z, Song ZH, Cao LT, Wang Y, Zhou WZ, Zhou P, Zuo FY: Effects of traditional Chinese medicine formula on ruminal fermentation, enzyme activities and nutrient digestibility of beef cattle. *Anim Sci J*, 89 (4): 661-671, 2018. DOI: 10.1111/asj.12978

22. Wang Q, Gao X, Yang Y, Zou C, Yang Y, Lin B: A comparative study on rumen ecology of water buffalo and cattle calves under similar feeding regime. *Vet Med Sci*, 6 (4): 746-754, 2020. DOI: 10.1002/vms3.302

**23.** Huang T, Peng XY, Gao B, Wei QL, Xiang R, Yuan MG, Xu ZH: The effect of *Clostridium butyricum* on gut microbiota, immune response and intestinal barrier function during the development of necrotic enteritis in chickens. *Front Microbiol*, 10:2309, 2019. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02309

24. Li K, Mehmood K, Zhang H, Jiang X, Shahzad M, Dong X, Li J: Characterization of fungus microbial diversity in healthy and diarrheal yaks in Gannan region of Tibet Autonomous Prefecture. *Acta Trop*, 182, 14-26, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2018.02.017

**25.** Molist F, Manzanilla EG, Pérez JF, Nyachoti CM: Coarse, but not finely ground, dietary fibre increases intestinal *Firmicutes*: Bacteroidetes ratio and reduces diarrhoea induced by experimental infection in piglets. *Br J Nutr*, 108 (1): 9-15, 2012 DOI: 10.1017/S0007114511005216

**26. Stevenson DM, Weimer PJ:** Dominance of *Prevotella* and low abundance of classical ruminal bacterial species in the bovine rumen revealed by relative quantification real-time PCR. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 75 (1): 165-174, 2007. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-006-0802-y

27. Malik PK, Trivedi S, Mohapatra A, Kolte AP, Sejian V, Bhatta R, Rahman H: Comparison of enteric methane yield and diversity of ruminal *methanogens* in cattle and buffaloes fed on the same diet. *PLoS One*, 16 (8):e0256048, 2021. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0256048

28. Xu L, Sun X, Wan X, Li K, Jian F, Li W, Jiang R, Han R, Li H, Kang X, Wang Y: Dietary supplementation with *Clostridium butyricum* improves growth performance of broilers by regulating intestinal microbiota and mucosal epithelial cells. *Anim Nutr*, 7 (4): 1105-1114, 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j. aninu.2021.01.009

**29.** Xu Y, Yang H, Zhang L, Su Y, Shi D, Xiao H, Tian Y: High-throughput sequencing technology to reveal the composition and function of cecal microbiota in Dagu chicken. *BMC Microbiol*, 16 (1):259, 2016. DOI: 10.1186/s12866-016-0877-2

**30. Deusch S, Camarinha-Silva A, Conrad J, Beifuss U, Rodehutscord M, Seifert J:** A structural and functional elucidation of the rumen microbiome influenced by various diets and microenvironments. *Front Microbiol*, 8:1605, 2017. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01605

**31.** Dou S, Gadonna-Widehem P, Rome V, Hamoudi D, Rhazi L, Lakhal L, Larcher T, Bahi-Jaber N, Pinon-Quintana A, Guyonvarch A, Huërou-Luron IL, Abdennebi-Najar L: Characterisation of early-life fecal microbiota in susceptible and healthy pigs to post-weaning diarrhoea. *PLoS One*, 12 (1):e0169851, 2017. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0169851 **32.** Zhang J, Chen X, Liu P, Zhao J, Sun J, Guan W, Johnston LJ, Levesque CL, Fan P, He T, Zhang G, Ma X: Dietary *Clostridium butyricum* induces a phased shift in fecal microbiota structure and increases the acetic acid-producing bacteria in a weaned piglet model. *J Agric Food Chem*, 66 (20): 5157-5166, 2018. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b01253

**33.** Cao G, Tao F, Hu Y, Li Z, Zhang Y, Deng B, Zhan X: Positive effects of a *Clostridium butyricum*-based compound probiotic on growth performance, immune responses, intestinal morphology, hypothalamic neurotransmitters, and colonic microbiota in weaned piglets. *Food Funct*, 10 (5): 2926-2934,

2019. DOI: 10.1039/c8fo02370k

**34. Liu M, Uyanga VA, Cao X, Liu X, Lin H**: Regulatory effects of the probiotic *Clostridium butyricum* on gut microbes, intestinal health, and growth performance of chickens. *J Poult Sci*, 60 (2):2023011, 2023. DOI: 10.2141/jpsa.2023011

**35.** Mookiah S, Sieo CC, Ramasamy K, Abdullah N, Ho YW: Effects of dietary prebiotics, probiotic and synbiotics on performance, caecal bacterial populations and caecal fermentation concentrations of broiler chickens. *J Sci Food Agric*, 94 (2): 341-348, 2014. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.6365