Functional Variables of Bull Sperm Associated with Cryotolerance
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to ascertain sperm population and cellular characteristics as well as total antioxidant capacity in spermatozoa from Holstein bulls with Good (11 bulls) and Poor (5 bulls) cryotolerance. Post-thaw sperm kinetics were evaluated using CASA, membrane integrity was assessed via HOS test, and DNA fragmentation was measured using the HaloSperm kit. Data were analyzed using principal component analysis. The spermatozoa from Good bulls had a higher number of cells with intact membranes (P=0.029), non-fragmented DNA (P=0.018), and post-thaw viability (P<0.001) compared to sperm cells from Poor cryotolerance bulls. Sperm cells from Good bulls also had a faster average path velocity (P=0.017) and straight-line velocity (P=0.036), along with a greater distance average path (P=0.006) and distance straight line (P=0.011). However, total antioxidant capacity, number of live cells, and other kinetic parameters between spermatozoa from Good and Poor groups were not different. There is no one specific sperm function variable alone that can accurately predict cryotolerance of bull spermatozoa, and thus, a combination of sperm cell attributes and kinematics needs to be utilized by the AI industry in differentiating between freezability of spermatozoa between bulls.
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INTRODUCTION

The spermatozoon is composed of several membrane-bound sections, consisting of the plasma membrane, acrosome membrane, and mitochondrial membrane, that must be intact to ensure the viability of the spermatozoa to fertilize...
an oocyte. Any damage incurred by these membranes is detrimental to the sperm cell health, freezability, and fertilizing ability \[1,2\]. Over the years, numerous studies have shown that any abnormalities to the structure of the sperm cell will assist with predetermining infertility in males \[3-5\].

Sperm motility and morphology are intricately linked because any anatomical abnormalities will cause sperm to swim slower and less effectively \[6,7\]. Motility is crucial for sperm transportation in the female reproductive tract and penetration of the oocyte. The numbers of spermatozoa that show forward progressive motility and navigate the barriers of the female reproductive tract is positively associated with fertility and freezability \[8,9\].

Using computer assisted sperm analyses (CASA), it was revealed that sperm cells with the highest velocity and progressive motion were positively correlated with their resilience post-cryopreservation \[10\], indicating the importance of motility to determining fertility.

The process of cryopreservation involves extension, temperature reduction, addition of cryoprotectants, and freezing and thawing of sperm cells \[11\]. The rapid change in temperature alters the physical characteristics of the sperm plasma membrane \[12\] by forming water crystals within the cell, which causes physical damage and the loss of the acrosomal cap \[13,14\]. Spermatozoa are not designed to withstand rapid changes in temperature and experience cold shock during freezing. This causes disruption and rearrangement of membrane constituents, resulting in loss of plasma membrane integrity \[15\]. The thawing process requires the sperm cell to rapidly recover, rehydrate, and expand back into its normal shape in a brief timeframe, resulting in alteration of membrane function \[1,16\].

One way that sperm cells can become damaged and negatively influence the fertility of a bull is through oxidative stress \[17,18\], which is defined as imbalance between higher levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and low antioxidant activity in sperm that leads to DNA damage by base oxidation, chromatic dispersion and DNA protamine complex, and apoptosis, all of which then impair sperm viability \[19,20\]. Integrity of DNA is critical during freezing in several species, such as humans, stallions, and bulls, as cryopreservation alters mitochondrial membrane that induce the generation of ROS, which may consequently undergo oxidation of DNA, generating double and single-strand DNA breaks \[21-23\].

While research has improved cryopreservation over the years, today’s beef producers are commonly facing post-thaw viability of less than 50\% \[13\]. The goal of this study was to ascertain sperm population and cellular characteristics as well as total antioxidant capacity of bull sperm associated with cryotolerance to better understand and improve the post-thaw viability.

**Material and Methods**

**Determination of Sperm Freezability and Sample Processing**

Cryopreserved sperm samples and bull fertility data from 16 mature Holstein bulls that had satisfactory semen quality were provided by Alta Genetics (Watertown, WI, USA). Thus, experiments performed in our laboratories did not involve any live animals for this study. All bulls were housed and fed identically during the collection period. Bulls had contrasting freezability phenotypes based upon post-thaw viability with 11 bulls categorized as having good freezability (average post-thaw viability 62.16%; Good) and 5 bulls with poor freezability (average post-thaw viability 52.59%; Poor). Bull semen freezability was determined using methods as previously described \[25\]. Briefly, sperm collection was done using an artificial vagina after false mounting of a teaser animal. Semen was extended with a commercial egg-yolk-tris-based extender and frozen at Alta Genetics as described methods \[26\]. Frozen sperm were packaged into 250 μL straws and stored in liquid nitrogen. Post-thaw viability of sperm was evaluated using flow-cytometry (CFlow SL, Partec, Germany). The proportion of the live and dead sperm were quantified through the dual staining with SYBR-14 and propidium iodide (PI) (SYBR-14/PI, Live/Dead Sperm Viability Kit L-7011, Thermo Fisher Scientific) \[27\]. The percentage of live sperm (stained green) were considered as the freezability parameter for Good and Poor bulls.

The post-thaw viability database used in this study included 100.448 ejaculates from 860 Holstein bulls that were collected at least 20 different times over a 3-month period. The average of population post-thaw viability was the threshold value to determine freezability phenotype. Bulls with greater post-thaw viability than population average considered as good freezability (Good), and those lower than population average considered as poor freezability (Poor) Table 1.

**Computer Assisted Sperm Analyses (CASA)**

CASA was used to evaluate sperm cell motility and kinetic parameters. Cryopreserved sperm cells were thawed in a 37°C water bath for 30 sec. Five μL of each sample was loaded into a prewarmed chamber slide and 400 spermatozoa were evaluated per chamber immediately. A total of 12 parameters were assessed \[28\]. These parameters included the following: total motility (TM), progressive motility (PM), linearity (LN), straightness (ST), wobble (WB), curvilinear velocity (VCL), straight line velocity (VSL), beat cross frequency (BCF), average path velocity (VAP), amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH), distance average path (DAP), distance straight line (DSL), and distance curved line (DCL).

**Hypoosmotic Swelling (HOS) Test**

The HOS test was used to analyze the membrane integrity
of the sperm cells as reported [29]. The sperm pellet was resuspended in 250 µL of PBS. Fifty µL of the sperm sample was transferred into 450 µL of HOS test solution (150 mOsm/kg pre-equilibrated at 37°C for 1 h) and gently mixed by hand. The mixture was then incubated in a 37°C water bath for 30 min upon when 10 µL of sample were transferred onto a clean glass slide. Each slide was evaluated for HOS-positive (presence of coiled tail) or HOS-negative (absence of coiled tail) sperm by counting a total of 200 spermatozoa/sample using 40 x objective of a phase-contrast microscope.

**Eosin-Nigrosine Staining**

Eosin-Nigrosin staining was used to assess the viability of sperm cells according to the method as reported [30]. This assessment of sperm vitality is used to distinguish between immotile dead sperm and immotile live sperm. Frozen sperm cells were thawed in a 37°C water bath for 30 sec, transferred to a 1.5 mL tube that contained 1 mL of PBS, and centrifuged at 3700 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was removed, and 1 mL of pre-equilibrated PBS was added to the tube and mixed gently by hand. Fifty µL of the sperm sample was transferred to an Eppendorf tube containing 100 µL of the agarose and mixed with a micropipette. Eight µL of the cell suspension was placed in the center of a sample well and covered with a coverslip. Next, slides were placed on a plate precooled to 4°C, and then, put into the fridge for 5 min. to solidify the agarose. Slides were kept in a horizontal position throughout the entire process. Solution 2 (LS) was applied until the sample well was fully immersed and incubated for 20 min, and then, washed with distilled water. The slides were dehydrated by flooding slides with 70% ethanol and incubating for 2 min. The 70% ethanol was drained off and 100% ethanol was applied for 2 min. Excess ethanol was drained off slides and slides were allowed to air dry horizontally on filter paper. Slides were then transferred into a petri dish and Solution 3 (SSA) was applied until sample well was completely immersed, incubated for another 7 min, and then, the excess stain was drained off. Solution 4 (SSB) was then applied until sample wells were fully immersed, incubated for another 7 min, and then, the excess stain was drained off. Slides were dried at room temperature, and then, evaluated under bright field microscopy, counting 300 cells per slide.

**HaloSperm Experiment**

The Halosperm G2 test kit (Halotech DNA, SL San Diego, CA) is an *in vitro* diagnostic kit that measures DNA fragmentation in sperm cells. The experiment was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. An agarose screw tube (ACS) was melted using a 100°C water bath for 5 min. One hundred µL of the melted agarose was transferred into a 1.5 mL tube. Sperm cells were thawed in a 37°C water bath for 30 sec, transferred to a 1.5 mL tube that contained 1 mL of PBS, and centrifuged at 3700 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was removed, and 1 mL of pre-equilibrated PBS was added to the tube and mixed gently by hand. Fifty µL of the sperm sample was transferred to an Eppendorf tube containing 100 µL of the agarose and mixed with a micropipette. Eight µL of the cell suspension was placed in the center of a sample well and covered with a coverslip. Next, slides were placed on a plate precooled to 4°C, and then, put into the fridge for 5 min. to solidify the agarose. Slides were kept in a horizontal position throughout the entire process. Solution 2 (LS) was applied until the sample well was fully immersed and incubated for 20 min, and then, washed with distilled water. The slides were dehydrated by flooding slides with 70% ethanol and incubating for 2 min. The 70% ethanol was drained off and 100% ethanol was applied for 2 min. Excess ethanol was drained off slides and slides were allowed to air dry horizontally on filter paper. Slides were then transferred into a petri dish and Solution 3 (SSA) was applied until sample well was completely immersed, incubated for 7 min. and then, the excess stain was drained off. Solution 4 (SSB) was then applied until sample wells were fully immersed, incubated for another 7 min, and then, the excess stain was drained off. Slides were dried at room temperature, and then, evaluated under bright field microscopy, counting 300 cells per slide.

**Trolox Equivalent Antioxidant Capacity (TEAC)**

The TEAC experiment was conducted according to the method as reported [31] to measure the total antioxidant capacity of sperm cells utilizing the Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC) Colorimetric Assay kit (Cat # 709001; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, Michigan). Frozen sperm cells were thawed in a 37°C water bath for 30 sec, transferred to a 1.5 mL tube containing 1 mL of PBS, and centrifuged at 3700 rpm for 40 x objective of a phase-contrast microscope.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact membranes</td>
<td>27.95</td>
<td>19.00</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live cells</td>
<td>43.63</td>
<td>37.95</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-fragmented DNA</td>
<td>63.45</td>
<td>43.26</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Motility</td>
<td>44.95</td>
<td>45.56</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive motility</td>
<td>29.48</td>
<td>29.24</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity</td>
<td>58.37</td>
<td>56.70</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straightness</td>
<td>88.16</td>
<td>86.34</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wobble</td>
<td>64.95</td>
<td>64.26</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-thaw viability</td>
<td>62.16</td>
<td>52.15</td>
<td>3.059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means within a row with different superscripts differ (P≤0.05)
rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was aspirated, and this was repeated 3 times. One mL of pre-equilibrated PBS was added to the tube and gently agitated. 0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 µL of the Trolox standard were added to individual wells of the plate. Fifty µL of samples were added to individual wells. 100 µL of Cu²⁺ working solution was added to all wells on the plate. The plate was then covered and incubated at room temperature for 90 min. Following the incubation, the absorbance was read at 750 nm using a microplate reader.

Statistical Analysis

Sixteen Holstein bulls (n=11 Good, n=5 Poor) were used for statistical analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) performed by the FACTOR procedure of SAS 9.4 was used to reduce sperm population variables (POP). The number of live cells, cells with intact membranes, cells without fragmented DNA, total motility, progressive motility, linearity (LIN), straightness (STR), wobble (WOB), and post-thaw viability (PTV) were reduced to 2 principal components (POP1 and POP2), while preserving total variance in the data. The correlation coefficients of POP variables with POP1 and POP2 were used to map these variables in a biplot. The principal component analysis was also used to reduce sperm cell characteristic variables (CELL), including curvilinear velocity (VCL mm/s), straight line velocity (VSL mm/s), beat cross frequency (BCF Hz), average path velocity (VAP µm/s), amplitude of lateral head (ALH µm), distance average path (DAP µm), distance straight line (DSL µm), and distance curved line (DCL µm) to two principal components CELL1 and CELL2, while preserving the total variance within the data. The correlation coefficients of CELL variables with CELL1 and CELL2 were used to map these variables in another biplot. The scores of bulls calculated from the POP and CELL variables were also used to map the bulls in both biplots. Additionally, correlation coefficients of total antioxidant capacity (TAC) with the scores were determined by the CORR procedure (SAS version 9.4; SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC) and were used to map TAC variable on both biplots. The CORR procedure was also used to determine Spearman's correlation coefficients between the POP and CELL variables. Univariate analysis of variance was performed by the GLMMIX procedure of SAS 9.4 with freezability phenotype being the fixed effect in a generalized linear mixed model. The degree of freedom was estimated by the Kenward-Roger approximation method and means were separated by a protected t-test. Actual probability values were reported with statistical comparisons (α≤0.05).

RESULTS

Sperm Population Dynamics

Principal component analysis designated that the total variance of sperm population characteristics was largely explained by two principal components, POP1 (60.6% of total variance) and POP2 (39.4% of total variance; Fig. 1). Factor pattern analysis indicated a strong correlation between POP1 and the number of live cells (LC; r = 0.70; P=0.002), LIN (r = 0.91; P<0.001), STR (r = 0.87; P<0.001), and WOB (r = 0.87; P<0.001); whereas POP2 was correlated with cells with non-fragmented DNA (NF; r = -0.57; P=0.020), total motility (TM; r = 0.91; P<0.001), progressive motility...
The PCA scores of individual bulls on the population characteristic biplot (Fig. 1) showed that there was slight separation between Good and Poor groups; however, there was an overlap between the two populations. The Good bulls clustered within the proximity of the center of the biplot in quadrant II and III with two bulls in quadrant IV. The Poor bulls did not cluster well, locating in quadrant I. The Good bulls were in the close proximity of IM, NF, and PTV and scored positively by POP1 variables (ST, LN, and WB); whereas the Poor bulls were in the close proximity of TM, PM, and PTV. One Poor bull was scored negatively by POP1 variables. Univariate analysis revealed that the Good bulls had 9% greater IM (P=0.029), 20% greater NF (P=0.018), and 10% greater PTV (P<0.001).

Sperm Cellular Characteristics

The total variance of sperm cellular characteristics was also explained by two principal components, CELL1 (72.9%) and CELL2 (27.1%; Fig. 2). Factor loadings on the biplot revealed a strong correlation between CELL1 and VCL (r = 0.92; P<0.0001), VSL (r = 0.94; P<0.0001), VAP (r = 0.98; P<0.0001), DAP (r = 0.95; P<0.0001), DSL (r = 0.76; P=0.001), and DCL (r = 0.73; P=0.001); whereas, CELL2 was correlated with BCF (r = 0.82; P<0.001) and ALH (r = -0.80; P<0.001; Table 2). The PCA scores of each bull on the cellular characteristic biplot displayed a slight partition between the Good and Poor groups, although there was an overlap among the two groups. The Good bulls clustered into quadrants I, II, and III (Fig. 2). The Poor bulls did not cluster very well.

Table 2. Average sperm cellular characteristic values of bulls with varying cryotolerance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curvilinear velocity (VCL; µm/s)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>118.25</td>
<td>4.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>108.40+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straight line velocity (VSL; µm/s)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>67.54+</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>59.38+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beat cross frequency (BCF; Hz)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>30.98</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>28.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average path velocity (VAP; µm/s)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>75.14</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>67.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude of lateral head (ALH; µm)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance average path (DAP; µm)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>31.52</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>27.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance straight line (DSL; µm)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>29.19</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>23.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance curved line (DCL; µm)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>47.78</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>43.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means within a row with different superscripts differ (P≤0.05)
localizing into quadrants I and IV. The majority of the Good bulls were in close proximity and scored positively with CELL1 variables (DSL, VSL, VAP, and DAP); whereas the Poor bulls were not in close proximity to any of the sperm cellular characteristic variables. Four of five Poor bulls were negatively scored by the CELL1 variables. The univariate analysis showed that spermatozoa from Good bulls was faster for VSL (8.17 µm/s; P=0.017) and VAP (7.33 µm/s; P=0.036) compared to spermatozoa from Poor bulls. In addition, spermatozoa from Good bulls traveled 4.21 µm further as measured by DSL (P=0.006) and 5.29 µm further as measured by DSL (P=0.011) compared to spermatozoa from Poor bulls.

**Total Antioxidant Capacity**

The TAC was in close proximity to the origin of both POP and CELL biplots (Fig. 1, Fig. 2), but not in close proximity to any sperm population or cellular characteristics. This indicated that TAC is not correlated with POP1 and POP2 or CELL1 and CELL2. Univariate analysis of variance indicated that TAC was similar between Good (0.182 nm) and Poor (0.260 nm) groups.

**DISCUSSION**

We hypothesized that a combination of sperm cell attributes and kinematics of bull sperm are associated with cryotolerance. To test our hypothesis, we carried out a study evaluating sperm kinematics, viability, plasma membrane and DNA integrity, and TAC. In this study, we used the bull model which is directly relevant to human reproductive mechanisms to examine a fundamental issue of predicting sperm freezability. In addition, significant similarities exist between the bovine and the other mammals including humans both in genetics and reproductive physiology. Rather than relying on anecdotal records, for the bulls, there is a wealth of valuable reliable fertility data for the discovery of biomarkers. Through an existing partnership with the beef industry, semen samples from bulls with well-documented sperm freezability phenotypes were used for this project. These results have exceptional importance because the findings shed light onto population and cellular underpinnings of sperm cryopreservation, ultimately mammalian reproduction and development.

Among the CELL parameters measured, membrane motility is one of the most crucial sperm characteristics linked to the fertility of spermatozoa, signifying its importance in sperm viability and membrane integrity. Motility is essential for successful sperm transport and fertilization in vivo and in vitro. Apart from motility analysis, our study as well as others have validated that the velocity parameters, such as VSL and VAP, are linked with the fertilizing capacity of frozen-thawed sperm [32,33]. Therefore, velocity of VSL and VAP rather than post thaw total and progressive motility was used to predict cryotolerance of human and bull sperm [34,35]. Our results were found to be in accordance with that the higher velocity can in part be attributed to the higher number of cells with intact plasma membranes in the Good bulls [36,37], which ensures the viability of the cell. In our study, of the CELL parameters analyzed, the VSL and VAP were significantly faster in the Good bulls versus the Poor bulls, showing that the speed in which a spermatozoon travels aids in its post-thaw viability. It was also in line with our study that VSL could be better utilized as a determining component of sperm which help distinguish quality of frozen bull semen [38]. Accordingly, the velocity parameters could be the outcome of intact plasma membranes that maintain sperm cell viability [39] which, in our study, VSL and VAP had strong correlation with cellular characteristics of Good bull sperm from differing cryotolerance. In addition, VSL and VAP had proximity and scored positively with CELL1 variables, allowing that velocity parameters may be used to narrow down cryotolerance parameters. In addition to VSL and VAP, there was also an increase in the DAP and the DSL for spermatozoa from Good bulls compared to Poor bulls (Table 2). These results confirm that bulls with good freezability have a higher number of spermatozoa that traveled longer distances and at higher speeds in comparison to the sperm from Poor freezability bulls.

Among the POP parameters measured, membrane and DNA integrity, along with post-thaw viability, differed among the bulls with different cryotolerance and showed a significant relationship with sperm cryo-survival (Table 1). Although sperm quality is generally assessed based on sperm motility characteristics, other parameters can be considered, such as viability and sperm membrane integrity post-thaw [40]. When sperm cells are cryopreserved, they undergo thermal stress, which results in protein denaturation, shrinkage, and collapse of the plasma membrane, gravely damaging the viability of the spermatozoon [15,41]. This is consistent with reports that the spermatozoa from Good bulls had a higher number of cells with post-thaw viability in comparison to those of the Poor cryotolerance bulls. Because the sperm membrane is known to be the primary site of cryodamage during cryopreservation [42], it has been proposed to be linked with alterations in membrane dynamics including cholesterol content and phospholipid compound, as well as membrane permeability. As such, procedures of cryopreservation cause dramatic changes in the cell which cause injuries to the sperm membrane, thereby reducing sperm quality [14,43]. In our study, spermatozoa from Good cryotolerance bulls have higher percentage of cells with intact plasma membrane and non-fragmented DNA. Therefore, the Good bulls were in the close proximity of IM, NF, and PTV and scored positively by POP1 variables which may highlight the clear associations of cryotolerance.

Sperm nuclear changes can be affected by the critical
procedure of freezing and thawing because distinct mechanisms lead to DNA damage owing to high levels of ROS production [44]. Accordingly, OS stress gives rise to impaired sperm function by causing DNA damage, thus remaining an important factor for male fertility and potential embryonic loss [45,46]. On the other hand, morphological abnormalities were attributed to poor DNA quality [47]. It has also been demonstrated that sperm with abnormal morphology are more vulnerable to DNA damage during cryopreservation [20]. Therefore, sperm DNA fragmentation was indicative of low AI success in bulls [48] and was the mostly affected marker of sperm cryopreservation [39,49]. In our study, DNA fragmentation was significantly higher in the Poor bull group in our study, and thus, we propose that assessment of sperm DNA, in addition to conventional semen analysis, may offer additional insight into identifying poor cryotolerance bulls. Our conclusions are supported by multiple studies where DNA fragmentation is much higher in cryopreserved bull sperm that non-fragmented DNA is greater in Good freezability than Poor freezability bull, thus seems to be related to those variables of which sperm intact membranes and post-thaw viability were explained by components of POP1.

Both spermatozoa and seminal plasma contain antioxidants to protect against oxidative stress [50], but due to the small size of spermatozoa, their antioxidant capacity is limited. The previous reports on TAC of semen are contradictory. Studies revealed that infertile men demonstrated a lower TAC than fertile men and lower levels of individual antioxidants [51,52]. It was shown that the TAC did not differ among fertile and infertile men [53]. Similarly, the TAC levels in our study did not differ significantly among the Good and Poor bulls. During the cryopreservation process the naturally occurring antioxidants lose their strength. The relationship between TAC and cryotolerance is highly variable partly due to the varying number of antioxidants in commercial semen extenders used for cryopreservation [54]. Thus, these inconsistencies between studies show that TAC alone may not be used to predict freezability phenotype of bulls. Instead, prediction of freezability phenotype of bulls needs at least one other independent variable that is more correlated with POP1 and CELL1 to better predict freezability of bull spermatozoa along with TAC.

In conclusion, the comprehensive assessment of varying sperm functions and the subsequent analysis of these functions indicated that semen from bulls with Good cryotolerance differed in post-thaw viability, plasma membrane and DNA integrity, VSL, VAP, DAP, and DSL in comparison to the bulls with Poor cryotolerance. The PCA also indicated that spermatozoa from Good cryotolerance bulls was strongly correlated with a higher percentage of cells with intact plasma membrane and DNA, and post-thaw viability, along with higher levels of certain sperm kinematic parameters (VSL, VAP, DAP, and DSL) compared to spermatozoa from Poor bulls. There is no one specific sperm function variable alone that can accurately predict cryotolerance of bull spermatozoa, and thus, a combination of sperm cell attributes and kinetics needs to be utilized by the AI industry in differentiating between freezability of spermatozoa between bulls.

No study has shown that a single sperm parameter can be used to predict spermatic fertility or cryotolerance. The current study investigated sperm population and cellular dynamics as well as TAC levels in bull spermatozoa of Good and Poor cryotolerance. Sperm from Good cryotolerance bulls had more intact membranes and non-fragmented DNA with higher post-thaw viability and key kinematics, including VAP, VSL, DAP, and DSL, as compared to sperm from Poor cryotolerance bulls. However, there was no statistical difference in TAC levels between the groups. These results can be used to concentrate the focus on critical parameters that can be used to best predict cryotolerance of spermatozoa.
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