Study on the Antibody Level Differences of PED IgG and IgA, TGE IgG and PoR IgG After Immunization with Different Porcine Viral Diarrhea Vaccine Combinations
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Abstract

To prevent porcine viral diarrhea, a vaccine combination that can provide good antibody levels needs to be determined. In this study, we screened 30 pregnant sows divided into six experimental groups, namely, five immunized groups and one control group, to investigate the antibody level differences of different vaccine combinations on porcine epidemic diarrhea (PED), porcine transmissible gastroenteritis (TGE), porcine rotavirus (PoR) IgG, and PED IgA. The antibody level was detected by ELISA. Results showed that the antibody levels of PED and TGE IgG in serum and PED IgA in breast milk of the “PT+PT*” vaccine combination group were higher than those of the other groups, and vaccine combination including “PTR” could stimulate the sows to produce PoR IgG antibody. These findings revealed that the vaccine combination of “PT+PT*” is optimal for preventing porcine viral diarrhea, and “PTR+PT*” may be an alternative option in areas under PoRV infection risk. This study suggested that pig farms should select suitable immunization on the basis of the local epidemic situation of porcine viral diarrhea.
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INTRODUCTION

Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), and porcine rotavirus (PoRV) are the three main pathogens causing viral diarrhea in pigs. They can infect pigs of all ages and causes watery diarrhea, vomiting, dehydration, and gradual weight loss[1]. In recent years, porcine viral diarrhea diseases have shown mixed infections with multiple pathogens. Additionally, considering new problems, such as the epidemics of variant PEDV, the protective rate of porcine viral diarrhea vaccines has decreased. The immune protection effect of
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many immune pig farms has not reached the desirable expectation \cite{2,3}, causing huge economic losses to the pig breeding industry in China.

Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus is an enveloped, single-stranded, positive-sense RNA virus belonging to the order Nidovirales, the family Coronaviridae, subfamily Coronavirinae, and genus *Alphacoronavirus* \cite{4}. Sequencing and genotyping based on the S gene is suitably used for molecular epidemiology analysis and vaccine development of PEDV \cite{5,6}. Phylogenetic analysis of the full-length S gene inferred by a neighbor-joining method indicates that PEDV could be genetically divided into two groups, which include GI and GII. GI and GII can be further divided into subgroups Ia and Ib, and Ila and IIb \cite{3}.

Transmissible gastroenteritis virus is a member of the enteropathogenic *alpha-coronavirus* family, with a large positive-stranded RNA genome \cite{7}. And it is currently divided into two distinct genogroups: the Miller cluster and the Purdue cluster, most of strains isolated since 2010 from China has a close relationship with the Purdue strain and is more distant evolutionarily from the Miller strains group \cite{8}. PoRV is double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) viruses with 11 genomic segments encoding 6 structural viral proteins (VP1-VP4, VP6, VP7) and 5 or 6 nonstructural proteins, is a member of *Rotavirus* genus, within the *Reoviridae* family \cite{9}.

Vaccination has been used for many years in China, and vaccines used include inactivated and live-attenuated vaccines. There are three main commercial vaccines include: A genotype Ia strain CV777-based attenuated trivalent vaccine was licensed by the Harbin Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agriculture Sciences in 2014. A genotype IIa strain ZJ08-based attenuated bivalent vaccine was licensed by the Beijing Dabeinong Technology Group Co., Ltd. in 2015. A genotype II b strain AJ1102-based inactivated bivalent vaccine developed by Wuhan Keqian Biology Co., Ltd. in 2016.

At present, A consensus of commercial vaccines for preventing and controlling porcine viral diarrhea has been reached regarding the immunization time of commercial vaccines, but the differences of antibody levels of different vaccine combinations have not been further studied. In this study, pregnant sows were immunized with different vaccine combinations. The blood samples and breast milk were collected at different stages, and relevant specific antibody levels were obtained to analyze the differences in antibodies between different vaccine combinations. Results of this study provided an experimental basis for viral diarrhea vaccine immunization in pig farms.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

**Ethical Statement**

All experimental procedures involving pigs were performed in accordance with the regulations of the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals, approved by Laboratory Animal Bioethics Committee of Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine in accordance with animal ethics guidelines and approved protocols. The approval numbers of the ethics committee are IAHV-AEC-2018-0126.

**Experimental Sows and Sites**

A total of 30 “Landrace × Yorkshire” gestation sows with similar gestational ages were screened for this study. The sows’ feces and blood samples were collected to confirm that pregnant sows were PEDV, TGEV, and PoRV pathogen negative by RT-PCR, and serological antibody levels were consistent via ELISA before immunization. The experimental sows and sites were provided by the Farm of Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences.

**Experimental Vaccines and Main Reagents**

PEDV-TGEV-PoRV (CV777 + H + NX-GS) trivalent attenuated vaccine (PTR for short), PEDV-TGEV (ZJ08 + HB08) double attenuated vaccine (PT for short), and PEDV-TGEV (AJ1102 + WH-1) double inactivated vaccine (PT* for short) were employed. The PED, TGE, and PoR ELISA antibody detection kits (batch numbers: 20170526, 20170713, 20170526) were purchased from Harbin Animal Biological Products National Engineering Research Center Co., Ltd., China. The PED IgA ELISA antibody detection kit was purchased from BIONOTE Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Korea.

**Experimental Sow Groups and Immunization Procedures**

The 30 gestational sows were randomly divided into six experimental groups, with five gestational sows in each group. Five immunization groups and one control group were established. The sow immunization schedule is shown in *Table 1*. All sows were vaccinated by injection at Houhai acupoint twice at 40 days and 20 days before farrowing. In group A, the sows were vaccinated PTR and PT*, respectively. In group B, the sows were vaccinated PTR and PTR, respectively. In group C, the sows were vaccinated PT and PT*, respectively. In group D, the sows were vaccinated PT and PT, respectively. In group E, the sows were vaccinated PT* and PT*, respectively. And the sows in control group were injected with 4 mL sterile 0.9% NaCl.

**Collection of Serum and Breast Milk Samples from Immunized Sows**

About 5 mL of blood samples were collected from each experimental group through the ear vein at 0, 21, 35, and 49 days post-first immunization. The collected blood samples were centrifuged to obtain the supernatant, which was stored at -20°C before use. Approximately 2 mL of breast milk was collected from each experimental group at 1, 3, 7, and 14 days post-delivery, centrifuged.
to remove the cream to obtain whey, and stored at -20°C before use.

**ELISA Antibody Detection Method**

Detection of PED, TGE, and PoR IgG antibodies in serum were carried out according to the instructions of each ELISA antibody detection kit. PED IgA antibody in breast milk was detected following the kit instructions of BIONOTE Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Korea. S/P values for PED, TGE, and PoR IgG antibodies were calculated as follows: (Mean OD_{450nm} of sample - Mean OD_{450nm} of the standard negative control)/(Mean OD_{450nm} of the standard positive control - Mean OD_{450nm} of the standard negative control). The judging criteria were as follows: PED, TGE, and PoR IgG antibody S/P value ≥0.4 is positive; PED IgA antibody cut off value = 0.35 + mean OD_{450nm} of the standard negative control, mean OD_{450nm} of the sample above the cut off value is positive, and mean OD_{450nm} of the sample less than the cut off value is negative. The rest of the operating procedures and conditions for establishment were performed in accordance with corresponding kit instructions.

**Statistics**

We used SPSS16.0 and Excel 2010 for data statistics and charting. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) that was applied for multiple comparisons between the groups. The significance was considered as significant at P<0.05 and highly significant at P<0.01.

**RESULTS**

The blood samples of the six groups of experimental sows were obtained at different times after immunization, and the PED IgG antibodies were detected by ELISA. The results showed (Fig. 1A) that the PED IgG antibody levels of sows varied at 21 days post-first immunization. The antibody levels increased with different degrees in groups A, B, C, and D and decreased in groups E and F. The PED IgG antibody levels in groups C and D were significantly different from those in group E and control group F (P<0.05) (Table 2). Moreover, the IgG antibody levels of groups C and D were higher than those of groups A and B, but those of groups C and D were not significantly different from those of groups A and B (P>0.05).

After secondary immunization, the PED IgG antibody levels of sows increased at 35 days post-first immunization in groups A, C, and E due to PT* (Fig. 1A). The three groups reached the peak value, and the order of S/P value from highest to lowest was groups C, A, and E. Unfortunately, after sows were boosted by PTR in group B and PT in group D, the PED IgG antibody level of the sows decreased. Group B was significantly different from groups A (P<0.05), C (P<0.01), and E (P<0.05), but no significant difference was observed among A, C, and E (P>0.05). Thus, the immunization of secondary booster with attenuated vaccines resulted in the decrease in PED IgG antibody levels. The PED IgG antibody level of sows in the control group F showed a downward trend.

At 49 days post-first immunization, the PED IgG antibody levels of sows in all groups showed a decreasing trend. The order of S/P values from highest to lowest was C, D, A, E, B, and F. The above results showed that different vaccine combinations and PEDV vaccine strains exerted certain effects on the PED IgG antibody level in the sows.

On the basis of PED IgG antibody growth and decline after immunization (Fig. 2A), we found that the PED IgG antibody level showed a change law of “rise, rise, and decline” in groups that used the vaccine combination of “attenuated vaccine + inactivated vaccine” (groups A and C). In groups that used the vaccine combination of “attenuated vaccine + attenuated vaccine” (groups B and D), the PED IgG antibody level showed a change law of “rise, decline, and decline”. With the vaccine combination of “inactivated vaccine + inactivated vaccine” (group E), the PED IgG antibody level showed a change law of “decline,
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Fig 1. Specific IgG antibody responses in sows serum. The sows (n=5 per group) were vaccinated with five vaccine combinations or sterile 0.9% NaCl. Serum samples were collected at 0, 21, 35, and 49 days post-first immunization. ELISA antibody titers were measured by an indirect ELISA. Each bar represents mean ± SD. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Different uppercase letters on the S/P value bars indicate that the difference is extremely significant (P<0.01), and different lowercase letters indicate significant difference (P<0.05). (A) PED, (B) TGE, (C) PoR.

Fig 2. Growth and decline of specific antibody in sows after vaccinated with five vaccine combinations or sterile 0.9% NaCl. (A) PED-specific IgG antibodies in serum. (B) TGE-specific IgG antibodies in serum. (C) PoR-specific IgG antibodies in serum. (D) PED-specific IgA antibodies in breast milk.

Table 2. The detection results of PED IgG antibody in different vaccine combinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>S/P Value (Mean±SD)/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.14±0.52a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.92±0.44a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.08±0.50a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.99±0.20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.97±0.69a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.18±0.56a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different uppercase letters on the S/P or OD450nm value columns indicate that the difference is extremely significant (P<0.01), and different lowercase letters indicate significant difference (P<0.05).
rise, and decline" whereas the control group (group F) showed a trend of “decline, decline, and decline”. These results indicated that the vaccine combination of “attenuated vaccine + inactivated vaccine” showed better immune effects than the other combinations, and group C demonstrated improved PED IgG maternal antibody for sucking piglets.

The TGE IgG antibody detection results showed that the TGE IgG antibodies levels in groups A, B, C, and D increased at 21 days post-first immunization (Fig. 1B), and the order of S/P values from highest to lowest was A, D, B, and C. Inversely, the TGE IgG antibody levels in groups E and F decreased. However, the difference among six experimental groups was not significant (P>0.05) (Table 3).

At 35 days post-first immunization, the results of TGE IgG antibody levels showed that the groups that used PTR booster immunization for the second immunization (groups A, C, and E) had higher TGE IgG antibody levels than groups B and D, which used PTR or PT, respectively. The order of peak S/P values of TGE IgG antibody in each group from highest to lowest was C, A, E, D, and B. The difference between groups C and D was significant (P<0.05), whereas groups A and C showed no significant difference (P>0.05).

The antibody levels of TGE IgG decreased in all groups at 49 days post-first immunization. The above results indicated that the difference in the antibody level of TGE IgG between the groups was mainly caused by the combination of attenuated vaccine or inactivated vaccine.

After immunization with different vaccine combinations, the results of the growth and decline law of TGE IgG antibody levels showed that the groups showed a trend of “rise, rise, and decline” (Fig. 2B), which used the “attenuated vaccine + inactivated vaccine” combination (groups A and C). In the groups that used the combination of “attenuated vaccine + attenuated vaccine” (groups B and D), the growth and decline of TGE IgG antibody levels showed a law of “rise, fall (or plateau), and decline”. Moreover, the TGE IgG antibody level of group E that used “inactivated vaccine + inactivated vaccine” showed a law of “decline, rise, and decline” but a decreasing trend in control group F. These results showed some differences in the growth and decline law of TGE IgG antibody among vaccine combinations, and the vaccine combination of groups A and C was suitable in practice. However, the difference between groups A and C was not significant (P>0.05), thereby indicating that the TGE IgG antibody level was not significantly correlated with the TGEV vaccine strain. Concurrently, the results showed that the second booster immunization could reduce the TGE IgG antibody levels in the sows by using the “attenuated vaccine + attenuated vaccine” combination.

The PoR IgG antibody detection results showed that the PoR IgG antibody levels of sows in each group decreased to some extent at 21 days post-first immunization (Fig. 1C). In the groups that used the triplex attenuated vaccine containing PoRV (groups A and B), the PoR IgG antibody levels of the sows decreased to a lesser extent than in the other groups. The antibody level of the sows in group F decreased the most, and the decline in the antibody level of the sows in groups A and B did not significantly differ (P>0.05) (Table 4).

The PoR IgG antibody levels of the sows in groups C, D, E, and F remained decrease at 35 days post-first immunization but peaked in group B, which showed an extremely significant difference (P<0.01) from groups C, D, E, and F. The PoR IgG antibody levels of the sows in group A decreased, similar to those in other groups (groups C, D, E, and F) at 49 days post-first immunization. However, the reduction in group A was lower (P>0.05) than that in group B and significantly different compared with that in groups C, D, E, and F (P<0.05). The PoR IgG antibody level of group B was highest compared with those of group A and other groups. Thus, the triplex attenuated vaccine that included PoRV had a certain immunizing effect in stimulating the production of PoR IgG antibodies in the sows.

After immunization with different vaccine combinations, the PoR IgG antibody levels in the group immunized with the “PTR + PTR” vaccine combination (group B) demonstrated a change trend of “decline, rise, and fall” (Fig. 2C). In the other immune combinations (groups A, C, D, and E), the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>S/P Value (Mean±SD)/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.13±0.25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.06±0.44a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.12±0.15a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2.13±0.25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.13±0.56a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.22±0.65a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different uppercase letters on the S/P or OD450nm value columns indicate that the difference is extremely significant (P<0.01), and different lowercase letters indicate significant difference (P<0.05).
PoR IgG antibody levels showed a “decreasing” trend, and the declining degree in each test group differed. Moreover, the decline in the PoR IgG antibody levels of the “PTR+PT*” vaccine combination (group A) was lower than those of the other test groups (groups C, D, and E). The PoR IgG antibody growth and decline trends showed that the level of PoR IgG antibody produced in the sows that used the “PTR + PTR” vaccine combination (group B) was superior to that in the sows with the “PTR + PT*” vaccine combination (group A) and other vaccine combinations.

The specific PED IgA antibody detection results showed that IgA antibody was positive in all experimental groups at 1-3 days post-delivery, except control group F (Fig. 2D). As expected, the PED IgA antibodies showed a downward trend at 1-14 days post-delivery in all the experimental groups, but they changed differently in experimental groups. In particular, the PED IgA antibody in groups A and D turned negative at 14 days post-delivery, whereas that in group B and E turned negative at 7 days post-delivery. Fortunately, the PED IgA antibody remained positive at 14 days post-delivery in group C.

Moreover, group C showed significant different form group F (P<0.01) (Table 5), and from groups A (P<0.05) at 1 days after post-delivery (Table 5). As the days increase after delivery, more groups showed significant different from group C. The groups E and F had extremely significant different (P<0.01) from group C at 3 days after post-delivery, and from groups A (P<0.05) at 7 days post-delivery. At 14 days post-delivery, group C also showed significant different from group D (P<0.05) and groups B, E and F (P<0.01). The results abovementioned revealed that group C, which was immunized with PT+PT*, exhibited more long-lasting PED IgA antibody than the other groups.

**DISCUSSION**

In recent years, an outbreak of porcine virus diarrhea created an epidemic in much of China [10]. The disease is one of the main causes of growth retardation and high mortality in suckling piglets, causing huge economic losses in the pig industry [11]. Therefore, the change trends of IgG antibody in the serum and IgA antibody levels in the breast milk of sows should be understood, and effective vaccine combinations are necessary to immunize sows for the prevention and control of porcine viral diarrhea in pig farms.

IgG drawn in the serum of sows mainly exists in the colostrum, from which suckling piglets obtain passive immunoprotection by sucking. This result indicates that IgG in serum plays an important role in the immune protection of suckling piglets [12,13]. By monitoring the PED

---

**Table 4. The detection results of PoR IgG antibody in different vaccine combinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>S/P Value (Mean± SD)/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.35±0.71a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.11±0.57a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.06±0.68a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2.27±0.72a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>2.00±0.55a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2.06±0.45a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different uppercase letters on the S/P or OD450nm value columns indicate that the difference is extremely significant (P<0.01), and different lowercase letters indicate significant difference (P<0.05)

**Table 5. The detection results of PED IgA antibody in different vaccine combinations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>OD450nm (Mean±SD)/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.56±0.33bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.65±0.39bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.08±0.13cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2.15±0.49cde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>1.65±0.40bcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.10±0.05abc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different uppercase letters on the S/P or OD450nm value columns indicate that the difference is extremely significant (P<0.01), and different lowercase letters indicate significant difference (P<0.05)
IgG antibodies in serum, we found that the IgG antibody levels continued to increase in groups A and C after immunization at 0-35 days, and their S/P values were higher than those in other groups. Therefore, the vaccine combination of groups A and C may be an alternative for sows to enhance antibody protection and prevent the suckling piglets from PEDV infection. Moreover, the regeneration of intestinal epithelial cells in suckling piglets is slow, and the development of mucosal immune system is imperfect, so hosts cannot produce effective mucosal immune responses due to the inoculated vaccines. Thus, IgA antibody in breast milk plays the most important role to protect suckling pigs from PEDV infection [14]. Therefore, the level of IgA antibodies in breast milk is important for the immunity of suckling piglets [15,16]. The results of this study showed that the IgA antibodies in breast milk are important for the immunity of suckling piglets. The results of this study demonstrated the combination of attenuated vaccine and inactivated vaccines and possible applications in clinical practice. This finding suggested that the IgG antibody level in serum is a reference indicator for IgA antibodies in breast milk. Clinically, the IgA antibody level could be monitored by detecting IgA antibodies in breast milk. The antibody levels could be widely used in practice. However, a previous study found that PEDV IgA antibodies in breast milk were not sufficient to prevent the disease without PoRV infection risk. However, PoRV infection is highly common in pig herds and has a high positive rate of serology, but PoRV was the least frequent viral agent detected in the diarrheal samples [22,23]. Moreover, single infection with PoRV occurred in only 0.4% of the population in a previous report in China, whereas most cases involved mixed infections [24]. Therefore, PoRV may be a follow-up agent for PEDV or various diarrheal viruses. Thus, on the whole, prevention and control of PEDV has become particularly important. In this study, we found that the PTR attenuated vaccine could stimulate the sows to produce specific IgG antibody after 15-20 days of immunization, and it can be an alternative option in areas under PoRV infection risk. The level of IgA antibody in breast milk of sows directly affects the acquired protective antibody effects of sucking piglets, but its detection has a certain time lag and cannot be widely used in practice. However, a previous study found that IgA antibody levels in serum are positively correlated with IgA antibody levels in breast milk [25]. Similarly, we found that the level of PEDV IgA antibody in the sows at 7 days post-delivery correlated with the level of PEDV IgG in the serum of the corresponding vaccine combination sows at 49 days post-first immunization. This finding suggested that the IgG antibody level in serum may be a reference indicator for IgA antibodies in breast milk. Clinically, the immune antibody level could be monitored by detecting IgG antibody levels in serum, but additional clinical sample data are needed for further validation and analysis. In conclusion, this report is the first on the special antibody differences of different vaccine combinations that are used to prevent porcine viral diarrhea in China. Our findings proved that the vaccine combination of “PT+PT” is optimal for preventing the disease without PoRV infection risk, and “PTR+PT” may be an alternative option for cases with PoRV infection risk.
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