The Effect of Total Mix Ration with Xylitol Supplementation on \textit{In Vitro} Ruminal Total Gas and Methane Production, Digestion Values, Organic Acids, Ammonia-Nitrogen, and the Number of Total Protozoa in Dairy Cattle
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Abstract: The study aimed to determine the effect of xylitol, added to the dry period total mix ration (TMR) of dairy cattle, on in vitro rumen fermentation, total gas production, methane production, estimated digestion values, organic acids and ammonia-nitrogen contents and the number of total protozoa. Xylitol was added to dairy cattle TMR at different rates (0%, 2%, 4% and 8%; dry matter basis). The xylitol supplementations at 2 and 4% did not affect in \textit{in vitro} total gas production, in \textit{in vitro} methane production, metabolizable energy (ME) and organic matter digestibility (OMd) values ($P>0.05$). However, 8% xylitol supplementation decreased in \textit{in vitro} total gas production, in \textit{in vitro} methane production, ME and OMd ($P<0.05$). The molarities of total volatile fatty acid (TVFA) percentages of acetic acid (AA), propionic acid (PA) and butyric acid (BA) in TVFA, ammonia-nitrogen concentration, and the number of total ciliate protozoa of the in \textit{in vitro} rumen fluid of xylitol supplementations at 2%, 4%, and 8% were similar to those of control TMR ($P>0.05$). The iso-valeric acid (IVA), iso-butyric acid (IBA) and valeric acid (VA) percentages in TVFA of in \textit{in vitro} rumen fluid linearly decreased with xylitol supplementation, especially 8% xylitol supplementation ($P<0.05$). Besides, 2% and 4% xylitol supplementations to dairy cattle TMR numerically increased the concentration of ammonia-nitrogen and the number of total ciliate protozoa in \textit{in vitro} fermentation fluid ($P>0.05$). Consequently, the supplementation of 2% and 4% xylitol to dairy cattle ration did not affect the in \textit{in vitro} rumen fermentation (total gas production, methane production, estimated digestion values, organic acids and ammonia-nitrogen parameters). However, 8% supplementation of xylitol to dairy cattle ration had the potential to affect the before-mentioned in \textit{in vitro} ruminal fermentation parameters adversely.
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Ksilitol İçeren Süt Sığırı Karma Rasyonunun \textit{In Vitro} Ruminal Total Gaz ve Metan Üretimi, Sindirim Değerleri, Organik Asit ve Amonyak-Azotu Parametreleri İle Total Protozoa Sayısına Etkisi

Öz: Çalışmanın amacı, süt sığırının kuru dönem toplam karma rasyonuna (TKR) ilave edilen ksilitolün in \textit{in vitro} rumen fermentasyonunu, toplam gaz üretimi, metan üretimi, tahmini sindirim değerleri, organik asitler ve amonyak-azotu içerikleri ve toplam protozoa sayısı üzerindeki etkisini belirlemektir. Ksilitol, süt sığırı TKR’sinin kuru madde içerişine (KM) farklı oranlarda (%0, %2, %4 ve %8) ilave edilmiştir. Süt sığırının kuru dönem TKR’sine %2 ve %4 ksilitol ilavesi, kontrol TKR’sine göre in \textit{in vitro} toplam gaz üretimi, in \textit{in vitro} metan üretimi, metabolik enerji (ME) ve organik madde sindirimi (OMd) değerleriini değişirmememiş ($P>0.05$). Bununla birlikte, %8’lik ksilitol ilavesi in \textit{in vitro} toplam gaz üretimi, in \textit{in vitro} metan üretimi, ME ve OMd değerlerini azaltmıştır ($P<0.05$). Süt sığırının kuru dönemde TKR’sine (KMde) %2, %4 ve %8 ksilitol ilavesi in \textit{in vitro} rumen sıvısının toplam uçucu yağ asit (TUYA) molaritesi, TUYA içindeki asetik asit (AA), propionik asit (PA) ve bıtırık asit (BA) oranı, amonyak-azotu konsantrasyonu ve toplam siliat protozoa sayısı, kontrol TKR’sinin değerleriyle benzer olduğu belirlenmiştir ($P>0.05$). In \textit{in vitro} rumen sıvısının TUYA içindeki iso-valerik asit (IVA), iso-bıtırık asit (IBA) ve valeric asit (VA) oranları ksilitol ilavesiyle (özelliğe %8 ksilitolda) linear olarak azalmıştır ($P<0.05$). Ayrıca, süt sığırı TKR’sine %2 ve %4 ksilitol ilavesi, in \textit{in vitro} fermantasyon sıvısının amonyak-azot konsantrasyonunun ve toplam siliat protozoa sayısı fazlası olarak arttığı gözlemmiştir ($P>0.05$). Sonuç olarak, süt sığırı rasyonlarında %2 ve %4 ksilitol ilavesinin in \textit{in vitro} rumen fermentasyonunun (toplam gaz üretimi, metan üretimi, tahmini sindirim değerleri, organik asitler ve amonyak-azotu parametreleri) etkilememiştir. Bununla birlikte, süt sığırı rasyonlarında %8 ksilitol ilavesinin, daha önce bahsedilen in \textit{in vitro} ruminal fermentasyon parametreleri olumsuz etkilemek potansiyeline sahip olduğu belirlenmiştir.
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**Introduction**

The transition period is the most critical physiological stage in dairy cattle health, production, reproduction, and profitability three weeks before and three weeks after parturition. During this period, decreased feed intake due to decreased rumen capacity, increased energy and nutrients needs for Colostrum and milk synthesis in late pregnancy cause negative energy balance (NEB) and micronutrient deficiencies in dairy cattle [1-3]. Several metabolic (hypocalcaemia, displacement of the abomasum, fatty liver syndrome, and ketosis etc.), infectious and reproductive disorders such as mastitis, dystocia, retained placenta and metritis reported during the early lactation period in high producing herds causes significant economic losses [4-8].

In recent years, the use of glucogenic substances has been increasing in high-producing dairy cows to prevent hepatic lipidosis and ketosis and reduce energy and glucose deficit in the transition period [5]. It has been reported that the use of additives such as glycogen, oils, glycerol, propylene glycol (PG), propionates, monensin, methionine, lysine, choline, niacin, biotin, sodium borate and conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) in the peripartum period may be beneficial in overcoming the periparturient period without any problems [6-8].

Xylitol is an antiketotic, 5-carbon sugar alcohol that stimulates insulin secretion and is used to treat diabetes in humans and cattle with ketosis in Japan. Xylitol can be metabolized as it enters the pentose phosphate cycle even without insulin [9-11]. Sakai et al. [11] determined that blood glucose and insulin response were more effective than glucose, when xylitol treated cattle with ketosis, intravenously. They also reported that xylitol application is beneficial in the treatment of ketosis due to the disappearance of urinary ketone bodies, increased feed consumption and clinical improvement. Toyoda et al. [4] administered xylitol intravenously to healthy and ketotic cattle and determined that it caused a gradual increase in insulin level in ketotic cattle, while a temporary increase in insulin secretion occurred in healthy cattle. Hamada et al. [12] reported that blood glucose levels increased, and ketone bodies decreased when they administered 100 g of xylitol intravenously in cattle with ketosis. One study examined the fattening performance in calves with oral use of xylitol, although there are reports of intravenous use of xylitol in dairy cattle. Although there is no difference in feed consumption in calves given xylitol, glucose and polyol, it has been reported that xylitol is very effective in weight gain [13].

The hypothesis of this study is not the adverse effects on the *in vitro* rumen fermentation of different doses of xylitol, which is an alternative to energy sources in the diet, such as propylene glycol, in the dry period and fresh period (transition period) of dairy cattle. The aim of the present study was determined to be the effect of different doses of xylitol added to the diet on the *in vitro* rumen fermentation, total gas production, methane production, estimated digestion values, organic acids and ammonia-nitrogen parameters and the total number of protozoa.

**Material and Methods**

**Sample Features**

Xylitol (Ksilitol, Nustil®, Istanbul), which is a natural source of birch and beech bark, was supplemented at different rates (0, 2, 4, and 8% dry matter basis) to dairy cattle TMR. Xylitol ratios were determined by modifying the method of Lister and Smithard [14].

**Composition of the Dairy Cattle Total Mix Ration**

The dairy cattle total mix ration (TMR), which was used in the *in vitro* gas production technique, was composed of 25% corn silage, 13% wheat straw, 20% dried alfalfa herbage [with 17-19% crude protein (CP), 40-44% neutral detergent fiber (NDF)], 16% barley, 8% sunflower meal (28% CP), 9% cottonseed meal (28% CP), 8% wheat bran and 0.1% magnesium sulfate (for anionic diet). The crude protein (CP), net energy lactation (NEL), neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and non-fiber carbohydrate (NFC) content of the dairy cattle ration were 14.2% DM, 1.34 Mcal/kg DM, 45.6% and 32.4%, respectively. These rates indicate the dry matter percentages of the feedstuffs.

**The Determination of In Vitro Ruminal Digestion Potential and Rumen Fluid Collection**

The dairy cattle TMR, which included corn silage, wheat straw, alfalfa herbage, crushed barley grain, sunflower meal, cotton seed meal and wheat bran were used as control. The dairy cattle TMR (control) was prepared in a composition to meet the energy and nutrient requirements of the Holstein calf in the last two months of pregnancy (dry period) [15].

**The Determination of In Vitro Total Gas Production, Methane Production, and Estimated Digestion Values**

The *in vitro* cumulative total gas production was recorded at 24 h. After 24 h of incubation, the total gas volume was recorded from the calibrated scale in the *in vitro* glass fermenter [16]. After reading the total gas volume, the methane volume in total gas was determined with the infrared methane analyzer (Sensor, Europe GmbH, Erkrath, Germany). The metabolizable energy (ME) and organic matter digestibility (OMD) contents of the dairy cattle TMRs with 0%, 2%, 4%, and 8% Xylitol supplementation as dry matter (DM) were calculated using the equations by Menke and Steingass [17] and Blümmer et al. [18] as follows:
(ME (MJ/kg DM) = 2.20 + 0.136 × Gas24h + 0.057 × CP),
(OMd (g/kg DM) = 14.88 + 0.889 × Gas24h + 0.45 × CP + 0.0651 × A). Gas24h = 24 h net gas production (mL/200 mg), CP = Crude protein (g/kg DM), CA = Crude ash content (g/kg DM), EE = Ether extract (g/kg DM).

**Determination of Total Ciliate Protozoa Number**

At the end of the incubation period, the content of in vitro fermentation fluid in glass syringes was used for counting total protozoa [19].

**Determination of pH and Ammonia Concentration**

The pH value of the filtered in vitro fermentation fluid was determined using a digital pH meter (Mettler Toledo S220, Switzerland). The ammonia concentration (mg/L) in the in vitro fermentation fluid was determined using a commercial ammonia assay procedure (Megazyme, K-AMIAR 02/20, Wicklow, Ireland) [20].

**Determination of Volatile Fatty Acids in the In Vitro Fermentation Fluid**

The total gas volume at 24 h of in vitro incubation was recorded, and 10 mL of the ruminal fermentation fluid in the glass fermenter was collected into Falcon tubes. The volatile fatty acid (organic acid) (acetic-AA, propionic-PA, butyric-BA, isovaleric-IVA, iso-butyric-IBA, valeric-VA and hexanoic-HA acids) molarities in the in vitro ruminal fermentation fluid were determined using the gas chromatography (GC) device (Thermo Trace 1300, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The GC device was equipped with a flame ionisation detector (FID) and a polyethylene glycol column (length: 60 m, inner diameter: 0.25 mm, film thickness: 0.25 µm) (TG-WAXMS, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The device was operated according to the procedure described by Ersahince and Kara [21]. The percentages of indiviual volatile fatty acids in total volatile fatty acids (TVFA), whose molarity was determined by the Xcalibur programme (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), were calculated.

**Statistical Analyses**

The obtained data were statistically analysed using SPSS 17.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, USA). One-way analysis of variance was conducted for variables tested in different doses of xylitol supplementation. Data were analyzed using the following statistical model:

\[ Yij = \mu + Si + ei \]

where: \( Yij \) = the general mean for each parameter investigated; \( \mu \) = the mean of xylitol supplementation for each tested parameter; \( Si \) = the \( i \)th effect of xylitol supplementation on the observed parameters; \( ei \) = the standard error The significance of differences in means was revealed using Tukey’s multiple range test at P<0.05.

**Results**

The xylitol supplementations at 2% and 4% to dry matter (DM) of dry period TMR of dairy cattle did not change in vitro total gas production, in vitro methane production, ME and OMd values according to those of control TMR (P>0.05). However, 8% xylitol supplementation led to decrease in vitro total gas production, in vitro methane production, ME and OMd (P<0.05) (Table 1).

The molarities of TVFA and percentages of AA, PA, and BA of the in vitro rumen fluid of xylitol supplementations at 2%, 4%, and 8% to dairy cattle dry period TMR were like those of control TMR (P>0.05). The percentages of IVA, IBA, and VA of in vitro rumen fluid decreased with xylitol supplementation, especially 8% xylitol supplementation (P<0.05) (Table 2).

The ammonia-nitrogen concentration and number of total ciliate protozoa of in vitro fermentation fluid did not change with xylitol supplementation to dairy cattle TMR (P>0.05). Besides, 2% and 4% xylitol supplementation to dairy cattle TMR numerically increased the concentration of ammonia-nitrogen and the number of total ciliate protozoa of in vitro fermentation fluid (P>0.05) (Fig. 1, Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Xylitol is a sugar alcohol used as a sweetener for diabetics and also for other purposes (e.g., in chewing gum). Xylitol has attracted global demand mainly due to its insulin-independent metabolism, anti-carcinogenicity, sweetening

---

**Table 1. In vitro total gas production, methane production and estimated digestion values of dairy cattle TMR with Xylitol supplementation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Xylitol Supplementation to Dairy Cattle TMR*</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro total gas production</td>
<td>41.77&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>41.63&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36.33&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro methane production</td>
<td>11.57&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.64&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro ME</td>
<td>8.68&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.66&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.94&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro OMd</td>
<td>58.83&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>58.70&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>53.99&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Xylitol supplementation to DM of dairy cattle TMR, total gas production is as mL/0.2 g DM, Methane production is as mL/0.2 g DM; TMR: total mix ration; OMd: Organic matter digestibility; ME: Metabolizable energy; SEM: Standard error of means.
### Table 2. Ruminal volatile fatty acids of in vitro fermentation for dairy cattle TMR with Xylitol supplementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Xylitol Supplementation to Dairy Cattle TMR*</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVFA, mmol/L</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>96.78</td>
<td>94.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% values of volatile fatty acids in TVFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>70.29</td>
<td>70.22</td>
<td>70.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionic acid</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>13.65</td>
<td>13.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyric acid</td>
<td>12.21</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>12.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso-valeric acid</td>
<td>1.60&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.37&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.27&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso-butyric acid</td>
<td>0.91&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.81&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.77&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeric acid</td>
<td>1.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanoic acid</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Xylitol supplementation to DM of dairy cattle TMR, TVFA: total volatile fatty acids are sums of acetic acid + propionic acid + butyric acid + iso-valeric acid + iso-butyric acid + valeric acid + hexanoic acid. TMR: Total mix ration; SEM: Standard error of means.
power similar to sucrose, and pharmacological properties [22]. However, there is not enough information about the efficacy of xylitol in rumen fermentation in the literature and the dose to be added to the feed. In the present study, the xylitol supplementations at 2% and 4% to DM of dry period TMR of dairy cattle did not change *in vitro* total gas production, *in vitro* methane production, ME and OMd values according to those of control TMR demonstrated that did not change normal ruminal fermentation of these doses of xylitol. However, in the presented study, it was understood that the addition of 8% xylitol to the TMR can reduce *in vitro* ruminal fermentation values. The results of the study show that the high use of this prebiotic sugar alcohol (xylitol) in the rumen may have negative effect. The fact that there is no study on the effect of the use of xylitol in the diet on the fermentation values in ruminants causes the study results not to be discussed with ruminants. Xu et al. [23] stated that xylitol significantly enhanced the level of butyrate synthesizing bacteria such as *Clostridium* and *Phascolarctobacterium* in the *in vitro* colonic simulation of human. The same researchers showed that xylitol increased the production of propionic acid and butyrate in the *in vitro* colonic simulation of humans [23].

It is vital to reduce the methane emissions of ruminants (especially in dairy cattle enterprises) due to their undesirable contribution to global warming. In recent years, there have been studies on this subject with different additives or alternative feedstuffs materials. The aim here is to reduce methane production without adversely affecting rumen fermentation and digestion in the rumen (without adversely affecting the utilization level of energy and nutrients in the feed) [24-26]. In the presented study, despite reducing methane production with the addition of 8% xylitol, it is not desired that the *in vitro* total gas production and ME and OMd values be also reduced. In this respect, using of xylitol as an anti-methanogenic additive is not recommended at these doses.

In the study, TVFA, AA, PA, BA, IBA, VA and IVA molarities of the *in vitro* rumen fluid were compatible with reference values [21,27]. The molarities of TVFA, percentages of AA, PA and BA of the *in vitro* rumen fluid of xylitol supplementations at 2, 4 and 8% to DM of dry period TMR of dairy cattle were like those of control TMR. In another study, the molarity of BA increased with increasing xylitol supplementation doses of the *in vitro* ruminal batch culture (at 0.85, 2.13, and 4.25 g/L concentrations) for 12 h [28]. Researchers demonstrated that these two lower xylitol concentrations decreased the percentage of PA in TVFA [28]. Using a ration consisting of roughage, the researchers differed from our study results [28]. The total mix ration of dairy cattle was used in the current study, and it was rich in soluble and digestible carbohydrates. In rumen fermentation, soluble and easy fermentable carbohydrates (pentoses; L-arabinose, D-ribose, and D-xylene, pentitols; L-arabinitol, ribitol, and xylitol) have fermented as firstly and, they have demonstrated high gas production and fermentation kinetics. Then the digested carbohydrates (such as starch) have fermented, followed by structural carbohydrates such as cellulose and hemicellulose [15]. The linearly decreasing of IVA, IBA and VA percentages in the TVFA of *in vitro* rumen fermentation fluid in the present study can show the potential of this polyol compound to alter rumen fermentation in a dose-dependent manner.

Some of the nitrogenous compounds (true proteins and other nitrogen-containing compounds) in the rumen are decomposed into ammonia in the rumen. Some of this ammonia (in the presence of sufficient alpha keto-acids) is absorbed into the microbial protein. A part of it is absorbed from the rumen wall and comes back to the rumen with saliva [15,29]. However, proteinaceous compounds passing through the rumen and microbial proteins produced in the rumen can be taken from the intestines as amino acids. In the presented study, the fact that both ruminal ammonia nitrogen and ruminal protozoa levels did not change shows that these levels of xylitol used in TMR do not have a negative effect on protein metabolism and milk yield.

In conclusion, it was thought that the use of xylitol compound as an energy source in dairy cattle rations did not have a negative effect on ruminal fermentation at 2% and 4% doses and these doses should be applied in the *in vivo* feeding trials.
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