Evaluation of the Effects of New Combined Adsorbent on Occurrence of Pathological Lesions in Aflatoxin B1-Challenged Broiler Chickens
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Abstract: This experiment was performed to investigate the protective effect of a new combined adsorbent (bentonite + yeast cell wall extract) previously tested in vitro, on the occurrence of pathological lesions in broilers fed a diet contaminated with aflatoxin B1 until 21 days of age. A total of 96 one-day old Ross 308 hybrid broiler chickens were divided into four groups: group I (control), group II (5 g/kg adsorbent), group III (5 g/kg adsorbent + 2 mg/kg aflatoxin B1), and group IV (2 mg/kg aflatoxin B1). Grossly, AFB1 and AFB1+adsorbent fed birds showed enlargement, friable texture of liver and enlargement and pallorness of kidneys. Spleen revealed size reduction. No gross-pathological changes were observed in control and group II. Histopathologically, lesions were observed in aflatoxicated groups. Liver revealed vacuolar cell degeneration, periportal and perivascular infiltration of mononuclear cells. Kidneys revealed mild to moderate degree of haemorrhages, tubular epithelial necrosis and infiltration of mononuclear cells along with heterophils. In group IV, catarrhal enteritis characterized by desquamation of epithelial cells were found in the duodenum. A milder form of gross and histopathological lesions was seen in group III. In conclusion, the present study revealed that supplementation of a new combined toxin binder product in the concentration of 5 g/kg could not completely ameliorate aflatoxicity in broilers, although it met the stringent European regulation requirements for the minimum of 90% aflatoxin binding efficiency in in vitro study.
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Introduction

Mycotoxins represent toxic secondary metabolites of a wide range of fungi (primarily molds) and have adverse effects on human and animal health. They cause a variety of acute or chronic diseases, collectively called “mycotoxicoses”. There are over 400 identified mycotoxins [1] while aflatoxins, zearalenone, ochratoxin A, fumonisins, trichotheceines (such as deoxynivalenol - DON), and T-2 toxin are some of the mycotoxins that can significantly impact health and productivity of farm animals, including poultry species [2].

Since their first identification in the early 1960s, aflatoxins (AF) have been the most studied class of mycotoxins. The cause of aflatoxicosis in poultry and other food-producing animals has been attributed to the ingestion of various feeds contaminated with Aspergillus spp., and among the six types of aflatoxins, aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) is considered as the most potent and extremely toxic metabolite of this fungus [3]. Besides that, aflatoxin B1 is the most common metabolite in feeds and foods [4].

Aflatoxins cause a variety of adverse effects on the poultry health, including poor growth performance, disturbed gastrointestinal tract, immunosuppression, decreased weight gain, poor feed efficiency, reduced egg production and egg weight, changes in organ weights, and as well as carcinogenic, mutagenic and teratogenic effects [2-4,6]. The clinical signs and pathological changes caused by AFB1 in poultry have been widely described [2,7,8]. AFB1 causes a series of pathological changes in the organism and common pathological findings include the changes in the liver such as hepatomegaly, paleness, hydropic degeneration, fatty change, bile-duct hyperplasia and periportal fibrosis, kidney and spleen lesions and lesions in immune organs [9]. Sometimes there are no visible clinical signs and post-mortem lesions of mycotoxin intoxication, since in most cases, mycotoxicosis is chronic and caused by low-level ingestion of fungal metabolites, resulting in a decline in performance and the occurrence of nonspecific changes [2]. Usually, farm animals exhibit symptoms of chronic mycotoxicoses when exposed to feed contaminated with toxins below the guide-line levels [10].

Although there are a lot of innovative strategies for the reduction of mycotoxins in feed [11], one of the most common approaches to their detoxification includes the use of diverse mycotoxin binders [12]. The role of these inert adsorbents is to bind and immobilize mycotoxins in the gastrointestinal tract of animals, thus reducing their bioavailability and distribution to blood and target organs [13]. Since many of the studied adsorbents are expensive and may not be economically accessible for small farmers in developing countries, it is therefore important to investigate the use of locally available adsorbents for poultry feed decontamination [14].

Although Serbian national legislation regarding mycotoxins is harmonized with European legislation, only a small number of systematic monitoring programs are implemented and this cannot provide precise data about the occurrence of mycotoxins in feed in Serbia [15]. However, according to some previous studies, the presence of AF in corn from Serbia showed high contamination levels in corn and feed [16,17], and based on these results, it is known that AFB1 is frequently found in the corn originating from the province of Vojvodina, the north of Serbia.

From the point of view of diagnostic interest, the objectives of this study were to evaluate the gross and pathohistological lesions in different organs of broilers fed the diet that was experimentally contaminated with 2 mg/kg AFB1 and to determine the effectiveness of the new combined adsorbent on the appearance of pathological lesions in target organs. The other aim of this study was also a comparison of in vitro preliminary tests [18] of adsorption efficiency of new mineral adsorbent and an in vivo broiler trial.

Material and Methods

Experimental Design and Birds

The experiment was performed at the Institute for Animal Husbandry (Belgrade-Zemun). It was approved by Institute’s Ethical Committee (Decision no. 323-07-03195/2020-05) and performed in accordance with the recommendations of the European Commission Directive 2010/63 EEC [19] and the Serbian Law on Animal Welfare [20].

A total of 96 one-day-old unsexed broilers (Ross 308 hybrid) were obtained from a local commercial hatchery. The birds were maintained in pens and divided into 4 equal groups - 24 per group, with 4 replicates of 6 birds each. The pen was the experimental unit. The chickens were exposed to 24 h of light every day. The temperature inside the experimental facility was initially 32±1°C and was gradually reduced by 3°C per week. Water and feed were given ad libitum. The chicks were inspected daily and any health-related problems were recorded. No vaccinations were administered during the experiment period.

Feeding Trial

Crystalline aflatoxin B1 with 99% purity used in this experiment was produced by Acros Organics™ (Geel, Belgium). Crystalline aflatoxin B1 was mixed with 1 kg ground corn using drum mixer RRM mini II (J. Engelsmann AG) for 5 min. Aflatoxin mixed ground corn was added to a basal diet to provide the required amount of 2 mg/kg feed. The used novel combined experimental adsorbent consisted of bentonite (smectite - dioctahedral montmorillonite) with yeast cell wall extract. This adsorbent was selected based on the adsorption characteristics obtained in in vitro studies [18]. Mycotoxin adsorbent was incorporated into the basal diet using counter-current
horizontal mixers. The experiment lasted for 21 days, and the broilers were fed a starter (from 1 to 14 days of age) and a grower (from 15 to 21 days of age). The chicks were randomly divided into four groups and each bird was weighed once a week. Group 1 (GI) served as a control group; group 2 (GII) received feed containing 5 g adsorbent/kg each day; group 3 (GIII) received 5 g adsorbent/kg + 2 mg AFB1/kg each day; group 4 (GIV) received feed containing 2 mg AFB1/kg, also for 21 days. The starter and grower feed for the experimental birds were tested for any possible residual aflatoxins and other mycotoxins, as described by Serbian legislation [21].

Gross Pathology and Histopathological Analysis

On the 21st day of the experiment, all the birds from groups were euthanized using carbon dioxide and then dissected. All the animals were weighed before euthanasia. Detailed necropsies were conducted immediately after killing the birds and gross lesions were reported. Additionally, for histopathological studies, tissue samples of the liver, kidneys, bursa of Fabricius, and duodenum were removed and collected in 10% neutral buffered formalin for 72 h. After fixation, the samples were dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol, cleared in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Five µm thick tissue sections were cut with a microtome and mounted on a glass slide. The slides were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and examined under an Olympus light microscope (Olympus, BX51 Tokyo, Japan) for histopathological changes.

Histomorphometric Analysis

Histomorphometric analyses were done to evaluate the height of the duodenal villi. The same segment of the duodenum from each bird in the group was sampled (approximately 1 cm segment from the middle part of the duodenum was dissected). Using the light microscopy 10 x objective lens, ten well-oriented villi from each duodenum were randomly selected. The measurements were performed using the Olympus BX51 microscope with a digital CCD camera (Color View III, Olympus) connected to a computerized image analysis system (Olympus Cell B, Olympus, Japan). The length of the villus-crypt junction to the top of the villi.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.2.2 statistical software (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The data were analyzed using one-way models of analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant differences between the groups were set at \( P \leq 0.05 \) and probabilities were determined by Duncan's post hoc test.

Results

Gross Lesions

No mortalities occurred during the experiment period and in general, all the birds were in good condition. The birds belonging to Group I (Control) had a normal morphological appearance of all the organs throughout the experimental study. In the birds from Group II (GII), the only detected macroscopic lesion was the hypertrophy of bursa Fabricius in 2 chickens. Livers were normal in color, size and consistency in all chicks from groups GI and GII. The constant gross lesions in the intoxicated birds from groups III and IV were atrophy of the spleen and hypertrophy of bursa Fabricius. The birds from group III showed moderate enlargement and congestion of the liver and moderate enlargement of kidneys. The most striking macroscopic lesions were in aflatoxin-fed group IV (GIV). The majority of birds had enlarged and edematous bursa Fabricius (Fig. 1-A), enlarged kidneys and livers. The liver was congested, swollen and friable and occasionally small yellowish foci were seen. Enlarged kidneys were mostly pale (Fig. 1-B), and the presence of petechial and ecchymotic hemorrhages was detected. Mild hemorrhages were occasionally present in the mucosa of the small intestines. The heart and subcutaneous tissues were normal in appearance and no other macroscopic lesions

![Fig 1. Gross lesions in aflatoxicated broiler chickens (Group IV). A- Enlarged and swollen bursa Fabricius; B- Enlarged and pale kidneys followed by petechial haemorrhages](image-url)
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were detected in all the examined birds. The detected gross lesions and their frequency in birds are in Table 1.

### Histopathological Lesions

The birds from groups I and II showed no histopathological alterations and normal anatomical structure was present in all birds. In the birds belonging to groups III and IV, microscopic lesions of the liver consisted of hepatic vacuolar cell degeneration (Fig. 2-A) and accumulation of a large number of heterophils and multifocal mononuclear cell infiltration in the parenchyma, as well as pericholangitis (Fig. 2-B). Less frequent lesions of the liver were perivascular infiltration and moderate to severe multifocal hemorrhages and multifocal necrotic foci. Another microscopic lesion observed in the liver of all birds from both aflatoxicated groups was congestion of the hepatic sinusoids. Comparing both groups, the described microscopic lesions in the liver were less severe in group III. Moderate degree of sinusoidal congestion was revealed in the kidneys of aflatoxin fed birds, as well as mild to moderate focal hemorrhages (Fig. 3-A), mild to moderate tubular epithelial necrosis (Fig. 3-B), with the infiltration of aggregates of mononuclear cells along with heterophils. The kidneys of birds from group III revealed mild degree of congestion and mild degree of tubular epithelial necrosis. The spleens were hyperemic, and reactive hyperplasia of secondary follicles was revealed, resulting in the widening of a lymphoid component. In group IV, catarhal enteritis characterized by desquamation of epithelial cells was found in the duodenum. No lesions were observed in bursa.

### Table 1. Effects of combined adsorbent (bentonite + yeast cell wall extract) and AFB1 on gross lesions of broiler chickens at 21st day of age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
<th>Group III</th>
<th>Group IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enlargement of organs</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5/24</td>
<td>9/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8/24</td>
<td>15/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bursa Fabricius</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/24</td>
<td>5/24</td>
<td>13/24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhages</td>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3/24</td>
<td>7/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3/24</td>
<td>6/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3/24</td>
<td>8/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2/24</td>
<td>5/24</td>
<td>7/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friable liver</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5/24</td>
<td>8/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallor kidneys</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8/24</td>
<td>12/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen atrophy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5/24</td>
<td>9/24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: no change/lesion (-); The values represent the birds showing gross lesions/number of birds examined in each experimental group.

Fig 2. Liver of broiler (Group IV) fed with 2 mg AFB1/kg, 21st day of intoxication. A- Degeneration of hepatocytes having vacuolated cytoplasm (arrow), HE x 400; B- Pericholangitis (arrowhead), HE x 200

Fig 3. Kidney of broiler (Group IV) fed with 2 mg AFB1/kg, 21st day of intoxication. A- Hemorrhages (arrows), HE x 200; B- Necrosis in renal tubular epithelium (arrows), HE x 200
of Fabricius that could be interpreted as a characteristic of the process.

**Histomorphometric Observation**

Histomorphometric observations are presented in Table 2. There was a significant difference (P<0.05) in the length of duodenal villi between group IV and other treatment groups and control group. There was no significant difference between treatment groups (group II and III) and control group.

**DISCUSSION**

The majority of the research is focused on the de-contamination and detoxification of feed from aflatoxin B1, since their frequent occurrence in feedstuffs cause severe health problems in the poultry industry and large economic losses. In vitro preliminary tests of mycotoxin adsorbents are considered as a powerful tool for screening the potential of mycotoxin-detoxifying agents since if no adsorption occurs in vitro, there is little or no chance to do so in vivo [22]. In this study, the ability of a new combined mycotoxin adsorbent to detoxify poultry feeds contaminated with aflatoxin B1 was tested in in vivo broiler trial. The absence of pathological lesions in birds from the control group and birds fed on adsorbent alone indicate that the new combined adsorbent is non-toxic and inert. Similar observations were made by Manafi et al. [23], Lakkarwar et al. [24] and Ortatatli and Oguz [25] who reported that the chicks after receiving binders alone did not have any pathological changes. The harmful consequences of AFB1 administration on the pathological lesions of chickens are widely reported, and many studies showed that naturally-contaminated diets cause the most harm to the birds [26]. The adverse effects reported earlier have also been identified in the experimental feeding of AFB1 in the present study.

In poultry, aflatoxin B1 mostly affects the liver, kidney, immune organs (spleen, bursa of Fabricius and thymus) and gastrointestinal system. Considering that liver is a major metabolizing and detoxifying organ in the body, the exposure to AFB1 leads to liver damage in different animal species [27] causing various pathological changes such as enlargement, congestion, necrosis, pallor, discoloration and fatty liver syndrome [9,28]. Prolonged exposure to aflatoxins leads to the increase in the relative mass of the liver due to increased vacuolization of hepatocytes and accumulation of large amount of lipids. In this study, liver lesions were observed in aflatoxicated birds and birds fed AFB1 diet + adsorbent. The described liver lesions of birds from group III showed a milder degree of severity and enlargement, compared to mycotoxin treated birds. Contrary to previous findings [8,24,29], the livers examined in this study did not show any pallor or increased lipid content. The group which received adsorbent alone did not show any significant histopathological changes of the liver as was the case in control group. The main histopathological changes in the liver of birds from group III and IV included vacuolar degeneration and accumulation of lymphoid cells that is in agreement with those reported by Lakkawar et al. [24], Ahmed et al. [7], Denli et al. [30]. From previously discussed reports [7,22] as well as from our findings, it is clear that even short-term exposure to AFB1 has negative effects in broiler chickens and induce pathological changes in the liver.

Kidneys also take part in detoxification of aflatoxins, making them very vulnerable organs to AF. The kidneys are among the organs in which aflatoxin residues are most often detected [31], making them the target organs for AF. Various studies have shown that AFB1 leads to congestion of the renal sinusoids and an increase in the relative mass of the kidneys. Besides, AFB1 leads to degenerative and necrotic changes in the tubular epithelium, reduction of glomerular filtration thereby impairing renal function [9]. The most common macroscopic changes in birds treated with AFB1 are kidney enlargement with pallor [25,28,29] which is what our research has proved in the majority of the birds belonging to Group IV. Histopathological changes in the kidney of chickens exposed to AFB1 and AFB1 + adsorbent are comparable to those reported in the literature on avian aflatoxicosis. The birds from group III showed a milder degree of severity and enlargement of kidneys as compared to mycotoxin treated birds.

Since the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) is the major spot of conversion and absorption of food components, it is expected that the negative effects of mycotoxins will be manifested to a greater extent in the organs of the GIT. However, the available literature data on the effect of mycotoxins on GIT organs of poultry are scarce, and the results of the researches are controversial [32,33]. Such discrepancies can be explained by the fact that various poultry species were used in the studies, different parts of the GIT were examined as well as the doses of AFB1 and lengths of exposure varied [9]. In this study, pathohistological lesions of the intestine were detected only in the chickens exposed to AFB1. Catarrhal enteritis with epithelial desquamation was observed. Similar results were reported by Kumar and Balachandran [34]. Moreover,
our results prove that AFB1 in the quantity of 2 mg/kg leads to shortening of the duodenal villi, which is in agreement with the results of Yang et al. [35]. Likewise, the same findings in duodenum were reported in turkeys naturally intoxicated with deoxynivalenol [36]. On the other hand, some authors did not observe any significant histological lesions in intestinal epithelium in broiler chickens intoxicated with AFB1 [33,37]. Another group of authors has proved that the use of AFB1 in large does not does not lead to histopathological changes of intestines [33,38]. Based on the literature available and aforementioned studies, the response of the gastrointestinal tract to the aflatoxin B1 is non-conclusive, and it is difficult to determine a dose-effect relationship between AFB1 and histological changes in the intestines of the chicken.

In various studies conducted in the past decades, it has been shown that AFB1 decrease immune responses [28,29] and as a result of AFB1-induced immunosuppression, the exposed poultry have lower resistance to secondary infections. AFB1 in poultry can cause damage on primary and secondary lymphoid organs including thymus, bursa of Fabricius, spleen, and bone marrow [9]. Absolute and relative weights of immune organs are used to indirectly assess the immune status of birds, so the changes in their relative weights may result in altered immune function [40]. In our study, the reduction in the size of the spleen was recorded in a majority of birds of both aflatoxicated groups. Previously it has been reported [41] that reduced relative weight of the spleen caused by AFB1 may occur because its white pulp contains less lymphoid tissue. However, in this study, microscopical findings showed widening of the lymphoid component along with the formation of secondary lymphoid follicles, which is in agreement with Lakkawar et al. [24]. The bursa Fabricius was enlarged in aflatoxicated birds, which is in agreement with Pandey and Chauha [29].

Even though preliminary in vitro tests showed a high level of binding capacities of new combined adsorbent, in vivo results showed its partial success. The addition of 5 g/kg adsorbent to the diet of birds supplemented with 2 mg/kg AFB1 partially compensated the harmful effects of the aflatoxin B1 on the liver, spleen and kidney and also probably cause more distinct morphological changes in target organs. Differences in in vivo efficacy compared to the expectations based on in vitro testing, indicate that in vitro results alone are not adequate for the evaluation of adsorbents. Comprehensively concluding, it is clear that examination of additives to lessen the impact of mycotoxins is a time-consuming and complex process that requires maximum commitment and repeated attempts to reach the best solution.
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