Lactation Milk Yield Prediction with Possibilistic Logistic Regression Analysis
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Abstract

The logistic regression is a popular method to model the probability of a categorical outcome given as a dependent variable. However, the possibilistic logistic regression can be preferred instead of classical logistic regression when the dependent variable has uncertainty. The aim of this study is to use the possibilistic logistic regression on animal husbandry examining the theoretical foundations of the method based on fuzzy logic approach. A total of 90 cows were enrolled in the study and the average milk yield in 305 days was predicted by animal’s weight, breed of the animal, age in lactation, number of milkings per day and the milking seasons of cows belonging to different breeds. The Mean Degree of Memberships (MDM) and the Mean of Squared Error (MSE) indices were calculated to decide the goodness of fit of the model. The index values were found as MDM=0.896 and MSE=4.871, respectively. It was shown that the model is fit and is successful to predict the average milk yield. It can be concluded that the model can provide the businesses on lactation milk yield production an efficient and accurate prediction results.
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a correct choice to apply classical set theory in situations where the knowledge related to the experiences, feelings and thoughts of expert are valid [4]. Because these situations can be very difficult to model since they contain uncertainty. In addition, many scientists state that the source of the uncertainties is the inability to provide the sensitive devices required for measurement or the measurement of erroneous efficiency values due to the use of devices developed according to different criteria or misdiagnosis. In these cases, estimates, classifications and diagnosis processes become questionable because the assumptions required for the application of classical statistical methods cannot be provided [5]. Various theories are used to measure and evaluate uncertainty. Among those theories, probability theory and statistical methods are the preferred methods to model uncertainty [5]. However, many uncertainties encountered in daily life cannot be explained with randomness. Probability theory and statistical methods used to express uncertainties numerically may be inadequate in measuring nonrandom uncertainties [6]. These situations have led researchers to the idea that it is necessary to combine fuzzy sets and statistical theories. Therefore, fuzzy methods based on Zadeh’s fuzzy set theory play a very important role in expressing qualitative expressions in human thought numerically in order to make more valid and reliable analyzes [1,7]. Fuzzy logistic regression analysis is one of the results of this combination and is used in cases where the assumptions of the classical logistic regression analysis method cannot be achieved. It can also be used if there is naturally uncertainty in observation values or relationships [8]. Since the approach takes into account “probability” rather than “probabilistic” errors, the error terms are distributed into fuzzy coefficients [8,9]. Studies conducted with fuzzy regression analysis approach are generally based on the applications of linear models. There are very few studies on nonlinear models. The aim of this study was conducted to examine the theoretical foundations of possibilistic logistic regression analysis technique based on fuzzy logic approach and its application in animal husbandry, which can provide solutions to fuzzy situations. In order to estimate its model parameters, the applicability of the Diamond’s Possibilistic method in animal husbandry proposed by Pourahmad et al was discussed for in this study.

Material and Methods

Ethical Statement

Data collection with the animal care and breeding practices from enterprise were used in this study in compatible with animal welfare rules stated in Article 9 in government law in Turkey (No.5996).

Materials

The material of the study consisted of 2005 milk yield records of 90 randomly selected cows from 220 cows of different breeds (Holstein Friesian, Brunette, South Anatolian red, Cross breed) raised in a private farm. In order to estimate the likelihood values of average milk yield (Yi) (kg) values in a lactation period with minimum error, values of independent variables such as the milk yield of cows in lactation (Xi), weight (Wi), breed (Xi), age in lactation (Xi), number of milkings per day (Xi) and milking season (Xi) were used as material. In addition, the Mean Degree of Memberships (MDM) and Mean of Squares Errors (MSE) indices were used to decide the adequacy of the model created.

Possibilistic Logistic Regression Analysis Model

Logistic regression model is the most commonly used regression model in cases where the dependent variable is categorical [11]. Logistic regression analysis is preferred when the relevant dependent variable consists of categories such as “low efficiency - high efficiency”, “sick - not sick”. Fuzzy logistic regression analysis approach is a regression method based on fuzzy set theory, and it is a fuzzy approach used to analyze the uncertainties in the natural structure of the data which belongs to the dependent variable [11,12]. The approach is a regression method based on fuzzy set theory used in cases where classical logistic regression analysis assumptions cannot be fulfilled or the data is fuzzy due to its nature [8]. It can also be used in situations where observation values or relationships between variables are uncertain [7,13-15]. The linear regression is not applicable to some situations such as when there is a small data set, vagueness in the relationship between the predictors and response variables, and inaccuracy or distortion introduced by linearization. Therefore, fuzzy regression is generally performed to complement those situations and problems [8]. Logistic regression modeling is a nonlinear statistical method used to model a categorical response variable based on some covariates [11]. In fuzzy field, fuzzy logistic regression for binary base response is also defined. Studies on this topic can be categorized into possibilistic methods and distance-based methods. A common viewpoint which is applied by Nagar and Srivastava [1] simultaneously, used a possibilistic-based approach to investigate a certain fuzzy logistic regression model. In fact, they used this approach to predict the oral cancer based on some real data sets.

Since the estimated values of the dependent variable are calculated by probability, the equations belonging to the fuzzy logistic regression model showing the possibilistic value are as follows [4,11,13-16]:

\[
\hat{W}_i = \ln \left( \frac{\hat{p}_i}{1-\hat{p}_i} \right) = \tilde{A}_0 + \tilde{A}_1 x_{i1} + \tilde{A}_2 x_{i2} + \tilde{A}_3 x_{i3} + \cdots + \tilde{A}_{p-1} x_{ip-1} \tag{1}
\]

Here; it is a data set which is \( X_i = [x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{ip-1}] \) \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and it is the observation vector of independent variables consisting of precise values such as milk yield, weight, race, age in lactation, daily milking number and milking season of \( p \) cow. Each independent variable observation is expressed as \( x \in X \),
Estimation of Fuzzy Coefficients

The approach suggested by Pourahmad et al. [14] based on Diamond's likelihood (possibilistic) approach was used [13,17,20]. The main purpose is to minimize the total uncertainty of the model by minimizing the total spread of fuzzy coefficients. $\hat{A_i} = (a_i^1, a_i^2, a_i^3)$: the mode value representing the center of the fuzzy coefficients and is in the form of $a_i^1 = [a_i^{1a}, a_i^{1b}, a_i^{1c}], a_i^2$: is the value showing the spread of fuzzy coefficients and it is in the form of $a_i^2 = [a_i^{2a}, a_i^{2b}, a_i^{2c}]$. Hence each coefficient is defined by $\hat{A_i} = [a_i^{1a}, a_i^{1b}, a_i^{1c}], ... , \hat{A_n} = [a_n^{1a}, a_n^{1b}, a_n^{1c}]$. $\hat{p}_{i}^{\tilde{Y}}$: shows the probability that the $i$th case will have the property considered for each fuzzy case, and is called possibilistik odds [9,15]. $\tilde{W}_i = \ln \left( \frac{\hat{p}_{i}^{\tilde{Y}}}{1 - \hat{p}_{i}^{\tilde{Y}}} \right)$: estimated fuzzy output value [4,11].

These values will be obtained as a fuzzy number with symmetric triangular property equal to each other. So, after estimating the model coefficients, we can determine the membership function of the possibilistic odds, $f(\tilde{W}_i) = \exp \left[ \tilde{W}_i(x) \right], x > 0$ as follows; membership function is created as in equation (6) [9,13,15,16].

$$\exp \left[ \tilde{W}_i(x) \right] = \tilde{W}_i(\ln(x)) = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 - \frac{\tilde{W}_i(x) - \tilde{W}_i(0)}{\tilde{W}_i(0)} \leq \tilde{W}_i(x) \leq \tilde{W}_i(0) \\ 1 - \frac{\tilde{W}_i(x) - \tilde{W}_i(0)}{\tilde{W}_i(0)} & \tilde{W}_i(x) < \tilde{W}_i(0) \leq \tilde{W}_i(x) + 1 \end{cases}$$

These assumptions are as follows:

1. The real lactation milk yield value ($\tilde{Y}$) of each cow should be estimated from fuzzy ($\tilde{W}$) value estimated with the fuzzy approach, with a membership degree of the least turbidity tolerance coefficient (h) value ($\tilde{W}_i(\tilde{Y})$) ≥ h [13]. Here, $\tilde{Y}_i$ is the observed value of the dependent (response) variable, which is a definite number, and is as $\tilde{Y}_i = \ln \left( \frac{\hat{p}_{i}^{\tilde{Y}}}{1 - \hat{p}_{i}^{\tilde{Y}}} \right), h \in (0,1)$ [11,23].

2. The objective function minimizing the spread of the output fuzzy values to be estimated is as in equation (7) [14,15,26].

$$Z = [m(s^b_x + s^b_y)] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( (s^a_x + s^a_y) \sum_{i=1}^{m} y \right)$$

Here, n: is the number of observations regarding the dependent variable. j: the number of independent variable. $x_i$: $i$th observation value of the $i$th independent variable. The number of observations n determines the number of constraints because a range is estimated for $\tilde{Y}_i$ by approaching from the left and right. The constraint number for each estimated $\tilde{W}$ value should be $2\pi n [21]$. Using Equation (6), the constraint limitation of each situation $\tilde{W}_i(\tilde{Y}) \geq h, j = 1,2, ..., n$ is as in equations (8) and (9) [5,15].

$$1 - \frac{\tilde{W}_i(x) - \tilde{Y}_i}{\tilde{W}_i(0)} \geq h \Rightarrow (1 - h)\tilde{W}_i(x) - \tilde{Y}_i \geq \tilde{Y}_i \quad i = 1,2, ..., n$$

$$1 - \frac{\tilde{W}_i(x) - \tilde{Y}_i}{\tilde{W}_i(0)} \geq h \Rightarrow (1 - h)\tilde{W}_i(x) + \tilde{Y}_i \geq \tilde{Y}_i \quad i = 1,2, ..., n$$

By rearranging (8) Equality, we can create constraints [12] for sample data sets as in equation (9).

$$1 - h)[s^b_x + (1 - h) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( a_i^c x + a_i^d y \right)] \geq \tilde{Y}_i \quad \forall i = 1,2, ..., n$$

The first constraint is for calculating the limit and left and right spreads of each coefficient, while the second constraint is for minimizing the objective function based on linear programming (Equation 8) [5,15,26,27].

Goodness of Fit Test Criterion for Fuzzy Regression Models

Fuzzy logistic regression attempts to model and predict
the possibility of success based on fuzzy covariates. To appraise the goodness of fit of the fuzzy logistic regression, we introduce some criteria. These are “Mean degree of membership (possibilistic odds) (MDM), “Mean of Squares Errors (MSE)”\textsuperscript{[16]}. 

**Mean Degree of Memberships (MDM)**

The average degree of membership is a criterion similar to the coefficient of expression (R\textsuperscript{2}), which indicates how much of the observed change in the dependent variable is explained by the observed change in the independent variables\textsuperscript{[22]}. With the help of an average membership level of 10;

\[
\text{MDM} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i(\bar{Y}_i) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left[ W_i \left( \frac{\bar{p}_i}{1 - \bar{p}_i} \right) \right] \tag{10}
\]

can be calculated\textsuperscript{[27]}. Here; \(\bar{Y}_i\) is the observed value of the dependent variable, which is an absolute bivalent number for the \(j\)\textsuperscript{th} case. \(W_i\) is the natural logarithm of the odds of possibilistic estimated as a fuzzy number. \(\exp \left[ W_i \left( \frac{\bar{p}_i}{1 - \bar{p}_i} \right) \right]\) is the estimated value of the possibility of the odds which belongs to the \(i\)\textsuperscript{th} observation. By the help of Equation (11);

\[
\exp \left[ W_i \left( \frac{\bar{p}_i}{1 - \bar{p}_i} \right) \right] = 1 - \left( \frac{\bar{f}_i(x) - f_0(x)}{f_1(x)} \right) \text{ veya } 1 - \left( \frac{f_0(x) - \bar{f}_i(x)}{f_1(x)} \right) \tag{11}
\]

can be calculated. It is desirable that the average of the calculated membership degrees is close to 1. Average membership degree takes values between 0 and 1\textsuperscript{[16,26,27]}.

**Mean Square Error Test Criteria (MSE)**

It is an index used to evaluate the goodness of fit. The closer the predicted value is to the observed value, the stronger the model’s power to predict real situations\textsuperscript{[17]}. The calculation formula is as Equation (12)\textsuperscript{[9,28]};

\[
\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \text{defCoG} \{ \exp[W_i] \} - \left( \frac{\bar{p}_i}{1 - \bar{p}_i} \right) \right]^2 \tag{12}
\]

Here; \(\text{defCoG} \{ \exp[W_i] \} \), \(\exp \left[ W_i \left( \frac{\bar{p}_i}{1 - \bar{p}_i} \right) \right]\) is the estimated value of the possibilistic odds for defuzzification. Since the estimated fuzzy values will have to be converted to numerical (exact, net) values, the clarification process using the center of Gravity defuzzification method, which is one of the clarification methods, is determined by equation (13) and,

\[
\text{def CoG} \{ \exp[W_i] \} = \frac{\exp(f_1(x))}{\exp(f_1(x)) - \exp(f_0(x))} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{\ln(x) - f_0(x)}{f_1(x)} \right) dx + \frac{\exp(f_1(x))}{\exp(f_1(x)) - \exp(f_0(x))} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{\ln(x) - f_1(x)}{f_1(x)} \right) dx \tag{13}
\]

Within the same logic, we calculated the measurement value

**Statistical Analysis**

The statistical analyses were performed by Microsoft Office EXCEL 2016 (R) and LINGO 16.0 softwares. The MDM and MSE values were calculated to decide the adequacy of the model.

**RESULTS**

**Step 1.** Experts and producers may be suspicious of the measurements made because sensitive devices required for the measurement of average milk yield values in a lactation period cannot be provided or due to measurement errors. In such cases, they cannot represent the average milk yield value of each animal by one of the two dependent response categories. In other words, since the observed values of the dependent variable do not represent a certain situation, it cannot be stated that they belong to any category as 0 or 1. Therefore, due to the uncertainty in the dependent variable, the probability that the \(i\)\textsuperscript{th} animal belongs to the category 1 (E(Y) = P(Y = 1) = p) and its odds ratio \(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}\) cannot be calculated\textsuperscript{[13,29]}.

For this purpose, independent variables were determined as milk yield of cows in lactation (X\(_1\)), weight (X\(_2\)), race (X\(_3\)), age at lactation (X\(_4\)), daily milking number (X\(_5\)) and milking season (X\(_6\)). The dependent variable is whether the average milk yield (Y) (kg) values in a lactation period have normal yield values according to the mentioned independent variables. Expert opinion was taken to determine the likelihood of lactation milk yield values. In order to determine the likelihood of lactation milk yield values, we defined a measure such as \(\bar{p}_i \in \{ \)High milk yielding cow\(_i\} = 1\). As the degree of consistency (probability measure) of the known characteristic for each turbid state representing the average milk yield (Y) (kg) values (LSV) in a lactation period (LSV <300 kg = 0, LSV>300 kg = 1). According to the defined measure \(p_i\); is the probability of whether the animal is a low or high milk yield one or not, instead of 0 and 1, which replace the observed value of the dependent variable and the degree of membership indicating the likelihood of belonging to any category in the \(\mu_i \in \text{R: 0} \leq \mu_i \leq 1\)\textsuperscript{[12,16,17,24]} range \(i = 1,2,\ldots, 90\). The complement of each situation is \(1 - \mu_i \in \text{R: 0} \leq 1 - \mu_i \leq 1\) the \(\bar{p}_i\) value indicating the ratio of the probability of having a certain property of the related fuzzy observation and not being possible is called “possibilistic odds”\textsuperscript{[9,28]}.

In order to apply the fuzzy logistic regression analysis method, the possibility of the \(i\)\textsuperscript{th} case to have this related property due to the uncertainty in the dependent variable is expressed as \(\bar{p}_i = \text{Poss}(Y_i = 1)\)\textsuperscript{[6,10,12]}. We calculated the probability ratios by applying logarithmic transformations for the values of the binary dependent variables according to different significance levels.
corresponding to $\hat{\mu}_i$ and the probability values of 90 cows as a triangular fuzzy number ($\hat{Y}_i$) with the symmetric triangular membership function, as in Table 1.

We used these calculated fuzzy values as output observation values.

**Step 2.** In order to minimize the uncertainties of independent variables affecting the average milk yield in a lactation period at the level of $h=0.5$, constraint values from $\hat{Y}_1 = \log_e \left( \frac{0.2833}{1-0.2833} \right) = -0.9281$ to $\hat{Y}_90 = \log_e \left( \frac{0.0290}{1-0.0290} \right) = -3.5110$ were calculated and 180 (90*2) constraint matrices were created as in equation (14):

$$MIN = 90 \cdot a_1^0 + 46903 \cdot a_1^1 + 7799 \cdot a_1^2 + 28 \cdot a_1^3 + 1178 \cdot a_1^4 + 51 \cdot a_1^5 + 9 \cdot a_1^6$$

$$\hat{Y}_1 = \begin{cases} 
-0.5 \cdot a_1^0 - 3720 \cdot a_1^1 - 563 \cdot a_1^2 - 0 \cdot a_1^3 - 78 \cdot a_1^4 - 3 \cdot a_1^5 - 1 \cdot a_1^6 + 3 \cdot a_1^7 + 0.5 \cdot a_1^8 + 786 \cdot a_1^9 + 3 \cdot a_1^{10} + 1 \cdot a_1^{11} & \leq -0.928; \\
0.5 \cdot a_1^0 + 3720 \cdot a_1^1 + 563 \cdot a_1^2 + 0 \cdot a_1^3 + 78 \cdot a_1^4 + 3 \cdot a_1^5 + 1 \cdot a_1^6 + 3 \cdot a_1^7 + 0.5 \cdot a_1^8 + 786 \cdot a_1^9 + 3 \cdot a_1^{10} + 1 \cdot a_1^{11} & \leq -0.928; \\
. 
\end{cases}$$

$$\hat{Y}_{90} = \begin{cases} 
-0.5 \cdot a_1^0 - 786 \cdot a_1^1 - 176 \cdot a_1^2 - 3 \cdot a_1^3 - 41 \cdot a_1^4 - 2 \cdot a_1^5 - 0 \cdot a_1^6 + 3 \cdot a_1^7 + 10 \cdot a_1^8 + 3 \cdot a_1^9 + 41 \cdot a_1^{10} + 2 \cdot a_1^{11} & \leq -3.511; \\
0.5 \cdot a_1^0 + 386 \cdot a_1^1 + 176 \cdot a_1^2 + 3 \cdot a_1^3 + 41 \cdot a_1^4 + 2 \cdot a_1^5 + 0 \cdot a_1^6 + 3 \cdot a_1^7 + 10 \cdot a_1^8 + 3 \cdot a_1^9 + 41 \cdot a_1^{10} + 2 \cdot a_1^{11} & \leq -3.511; \\
. 
\end{cases}$$

@FREE( C1); @FREE( C2); @FREE( C3); @FREE( C4); @FREE( C5); FREE( C6); END

The first six elements in each row of the constraint matrices show the center ($a_1^j$) values, and the last six elements show the spread ($a_1^j$) values.

**Step 3.** The possibilistic model to be created at the h = 0.5 level is

$$\hat{W}_i = \hat{A}_0 + \hat{A}_1 \cdot \text{LSV} + \hat{A}_2 \cdot \text{HA} + \hat{A}_3 \cdot \text{HI} + \hat{A}_4 \cdot \text{GSS} + \hat{A}_5 \cdot \text{SM}, \quad j = 1,2,\ldots,90$$

To estimate the $\hat{A}_i$, $i=0,1,\ldots,9$, coefficients which belong to the model, Diamond’s possibilistic method, which is based on the linear programming method, was used [21]. The values in Table 2 are calculated by using the constraint matrices in the equation (14) created with this logic approach in “Lingo 16.0” software [16,21].

Studies have determined that changing the value of h does not affect the center of the coefficients ($a_1^j$) but affects the spread ($a_1^j$) and the value of the objective function ($Z$) [5].

**Step 4.** The fuzziness of the model to be created at the level of h = 0.5, considering the total values of the variables and the dispersion values, was calculated as in the Equation (15) [21,28].
Z = \[90 a_0^3 + a_2^3 \sum_{i=1}^{90} x_{1i} + a_3^3 \sum_{i=1}^{90} x_{2i} + a_4^3 \sum_{i=1}^{90} x_{3i} + a_5^3 \sum_{i=1}^{90} x_{4i} + a_6^3 \sum_{i=1}^{90} x_{5i} + a_7^3 \sum_{i=1}^{90} x_{6i}\]

\[Z = [90 \times 0.0 + 46903 \times 0.0 + 7799 \times 0.0 + 28 \times 0.5235 + 1178 \times 0.0693 + 51 \times 0.0 + 9 \times 0.0]\]

\[Z_{100} = 96.293.\] This objective function, calculated in 90x14 dimensions, is minimized by limiting it to 180 (90 observations \times 2) matrix of coefficients.

**Step 5.** The best fuzzy logistic regression analysis equation created at \(Z = 96.293\) fuzziness value is calculated as in the Equation (16).

\[\tilde{W}_1 = (3.6932; 0.0) + (0.0005; 0.0) \times X_1 + (0.0050; 0.00) \times X_2 + (-1.2546; 0.523) \times X_3
+ (-0.0228; 0.069) \times X_4 + (-2.6493; 0.0) \times X_5 + (0.3736; 0.0) \times X_6\]

(16)

With Equation (16), it is tried to determine whether each cow is a high milk producing or low milk producing cow with the constraint lines of the independent variable values used. The probabilistic probability values of the lactation milk yield values to be estimated in these unclear situations are a fuzzy number with a symmetrical triangular feature. When we want to calculate the probabilistic odds of ratio of the average milk yield value of the number one cow in a lactation period, the relevant data are applied and calculated in equation (17);

\[\tilde{W}_1 = \ln \left(\frac{\tilde{\beta}_1}{1 - \tilde{\beta}_1}\right) = (3.6932; 0.0) + (0.0005; 0.0) \times 3720 + (0.0050; 0.00) \times 563 + (-1.2546; 0.523) \times 0 + (-0.0228; 0.069) \times 78
+ (-2.6493; 0.0) \times 3 + (0.3736; 0.0) \times 1\]

\[= (3.6932; 0.0) + (1.86; 0.0) + (2.815; 0.00) + (0.00; 0.00) + (-1.7784; 5.382) + (-7.9479; 0.0) + (0.3736; 0.0) = (-1.9850; 5.382)\]

(17)

Here, \(\tilde{W}_1 \cong (-1.98; 5.38)\) is the natural logarithm of the probabilistic odds of ratio of the average milk yield value of the number one cow in a lactation period and is -1.98.

**Step 6.** For each cow, calculations were made as in equation (17) and the values in Table 3 were obtained.

**Step 7.** In order to calculate the predicted value of the probabilistic odds of ratio calculated for each cow in the sixth step, extension principle in equation (6) is used. For example, the probabilistic odds of ratio of the average amount of milk in lactation period belonging to number one cow (\(\exp[\tilde{W}_1] = \frac{\tilde{\beta}_1}{1 - \tilde{\beta}_1}\)) is calculated as in equation (18).
Table 3. Some calculated fuzzy statistical values of sample data set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Estimated Values with Fuzzy Approach: $\tilde{W}_i(x)$</th>
<th>$\tilde{Y}_i = \ln \left( \frac{\tilde{\mu}_i}{1 - \tilde{\mu}_i} \right)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$t_1^0(x)$</td>
<td>-0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$t_1^0(x)$</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>$t_1^0(x)$</td>
<td>-3.511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
expt{\tilde{W}_1} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{-1.98 - \ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right)}{5.38}, & -7.36 \leq \ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right) \leq -1.98 \left[ 0.0063 \leq x \leq 0.138 \right] \\ 1 - \frac{\ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right) + (-1.98)}{5.38}, & -1.98 < \ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right) \leq 3.40 \left[ 0.138 \leq x \leq 0.296 \right] \end{cases} \tag{18} \]

Possibilistic odds of having a high milk yield cow according to the fuzzy observation values of cow number one was calculated as 0.138.

**Step 8.** Since the calculated $\tilde{\mu}_i$ rate values can take values between 0 and $+\infty$, logit transformation is made by taking the natural logarithm of the odds values (logit is made by taking the natural logarithm of the odds value of an event). As a result of the transformation, the nonlinear logistic regression function is transformed into a linear symmetric function by ensuring that the limits of $\tilde{Y}_i = \ln \left( \frac{\tilde{\mu}_i}{1 - \tilde{\mu}_i} \right)$ values are taken from the range $(0, +\infty)$ to the limit $(-\infty, +\infty)$ \cite{28}. The estimated fuzzy likelihood ($\tilde{R}_i$) values are calculated by applying transformation to the estimated possibilistic odds ratio values. Because $0.138 = \frac{\tilde{\mu}_1}{1 - \tilde{\mu}_1}$ for number one cow, it has been calculated as $\tilde{\mu}_1 = 0.138 - 0.138 \times \tilde{\mu}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_1 + 0.138 \times \tilde{\mu}_1 = 0.138 \rightarrow 0.138 \times \tilde{\mu}_1 = 0.138 \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_1 = 0.121$.

This calculated value is the high milk yield likelihood value of number one cow and it was calculated as $\exp[\tilde{W}_1] = \tilde{W}_1 \left[ \ln \frac{x}{1-x} \right] = W_1(-1.98) = \exp \left( \tilde{W}_1(0.395) \right)$. This calculated value is explained with the exp $\left( \tilde{W}_1(0.395) \right) = 0.121$ possibilistic that the number one cow is the normal milk producing cow. And for the cow no 90, it is calculated as $\exp[\tilde{W}_90]$;

\[
expt{\tilde{W}_90} = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{-5.03 - \ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right)}{4.40}, & -5.03 - 4.40 \leq \ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right) \leq -5.03 \left[ e^{-5.03 - 4.40} \leq x \leq e^{-5.03} \right] \\ 1 - \frac{\ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right) + (-5.03)}{4.40}, & -5.03 < \ln \left( \frac{x}{1-x} \right) \leq 5.03 + 4.40 \left[ e^{-5.03} \leq x \leq e^{-5.03 + 4.40} \right] \end{cases} \tag{19} \]

\[
expt{\tilde{W}_91} = \exp \left( \tilde{W}_91(0.03) \right) \geq h = 0.5 \text{ is indicated by the value of tolerance coefficient (h). This calculated value is the predicted value of the possibilistic odds of the cow numbered ninety to be a high milk producing cow. Another important feature of the fuzzy logistic regression analysis approach is that the probability of probability (possibilistic odds) value can be predicted when a new cow other than the cows used is added to the study or business. For example, suppose that the 91st low milk yield cow with an average lactation milk yield of 2850 kg and $x_2 = 630$, $x_3 = 0$, $x_4 = 41$, $x_5 = 2$, $x_6 = 0$ will be included in the enterprise. By applying these values at equation (16), possibilistic odds ratio of average milk yield in a lactation period (Possibilistic odds) is calculated as:} \]

\[
\tilde{W}_91 = \log_e \left( \frac{\tilde{\mu}_91}{1 - \tilde{\mu}_91} \right); (3.6932; 0.0) + (0.0005; 0.0) \times 2850 + (0.0050; 0.00) \times 630 + (-1.2546; 0.523) \times 0 + (-0.0228; 0.0693) \times 41 + (-2.6493; 0.0) \times 2 + (0.3736; 0.0) \times 0 \\
= (2.143; 2.866) \equiv (2.14; 2.87) \]

According to the fuzzy observation values of the newly added cow, the value showing the possibilistic odds of a low milk yield cow can be calculated as follows: $\exp[\tilde{W}_91]$. 


In order to calculate the "Average Degree of Membership (MDM)" value of the fuzzy logistic regression analysis model in Equation (16), the average milk yield value for each cow in a lactation period and the estimated turbid output (mean milk yield) value are used in equations (11) and (12). Membership degrees of the predicted possibilistic odds of average milk yield values in a lactation period for each cow are calculated as:

\[
\frac{\text{exp}\left[\bar{W}_{91}\right]}{1} = \begin{cases} 
-1.98 - \ln \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) 
\end{cases} 
\frac{2.14 - \ln \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)}{2.87}, -0.73 \leq \ln \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \leq 2.14 \ [0.482 \leq x \leq 8.49] 
\]

\[
\frac{\text{exp}\left[\bar{W}_{91}\right]}{1} = \begin{cases} 
\ln \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) + (2.14) 
\end{cases} 
\frac{2.14 < \ln \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \leq 5.01 \ [8.49 \leq x \leq 149.90] 
\]

It is seen that the possibilistic odds value of this newly added cow is calculated as 8.49. This value is the value that indicates the ratio of the probability of cow 91 being a low-yielding animal to the probability of not being low milk yield. With the possibility of 0.894, it can be said that the newly added cow no 91 has low milk yield after performing the relevant reverse conversions. Calculations for each other cow were made in this way and the values in Table 4 were obtained.

### Table 4. Observed and predicted fuzzy statistical values of sample data set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>( \bar{\mu} )</th>
<th>( \bar{\nu} ) = ( \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{1 - \bar{\mu}} \right) )</th>
<th>Estimated Values with Fuzzy Approach: ( \bar{W}(x) )</th>
<th>The Predicted Values of Probability of Average Milk Yield Values According to the Expansion Principle: ( \text{exp} \left[ \bar{W} \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{1 - \bar{\mu}} \right) \right] ) = ( \bar{W}(\bar{\nu}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>-0.928</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>-1.794</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>-3.511</td>
<td>-5.03</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 9.** In order to calculate the "Average Degree of Membership (MDM)" value of the fuzzy logistic regression analysis model in Equation (16), the average milk yield value for each cow in a lactation period and the estimated turbid output (mean milk yield) value are used in equations (11) and (12). Membership degrees of the predicted possibilistic odds of average milk yield values in a lactation period for each cow are calculated as:

\[
\bar{W}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{-1.98 - \ln \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)}{5.38} 
\end{cases} 
\frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{\bar{\mu}}{1 - \bar{\mu}}\right)} 
\]

\[
\bar{W}(x) = 1 - \frac{\ln \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) + (-1.98)}{5.38} = \bar{W}(x) = 1 - \frac{(-0.93) - (-1.98)}{5.38} = \bar{W}(x) = 0.80
\]

And the values in Table 5 are obtained.

### Table 5. Membership degrees of observed and predicted turbid output values of lactation milk yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>( \bar{\mu} )</th>
<th>( \bar{\nu} ) = ( \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{1 - \bar{\mu}} \right) )</th>
<th>Estimated Values with Fuzzy Approach: ( \bar{W}(x) )</th>
<th>( \text{exp} \left[ \bar{W} \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{1 - \bar{\mu}} \right) \right] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>-0.928</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>-1.794</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>3.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>-3.511</td>
<td>-5.03</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The \( \text{exp} \left[ \bar{W} \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{1 - \bar{\mu}} \right) \right] \) value calculated in Table 5 is the estimated membership degree values of fuzzy numbers in the symmetrical triangular property structure. That is, \( \bar{\mu} \) are the clarified absolute values of observed odds values. In Table 5, by substituting the calculated membership degrees of the estimated possibilistic odds values for each cow in \( \text{exp} \left[ \bar{W} \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{1 - \bar{\mu}} \right) \right] \) equation 23:
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\[
MDM = \frac{1}{90} \sum_{i=1}^{90} (0.80 + 1.0 + \cdots + 0.76 + 0.65)
\]

(23)

Average membership degree value was calculated as MDM = 0.896. It is seen that this calculated value is greater than the value of the tolerance coefficient (h) of exp \(\tilde{W}_i (\frac{\tilde{\mu}_i}{1 - \tilde{\mu}_i})\) \(\geq h = 0.5\), which means that the assumptions required for valid and reliable results are met \([12,27]\). At the same time, this calculated value states that the fuzzy logistic regression model in equation 16 is a good model for the analyzed data set. According to this test criterion, the average milk yield values in a lactation period such as milk yield of cows in lactation \(X_i\), weight \(X_i\), breeds \(X_i\), age in lactation \(X_i\), number of milkings per day \(X_i\) and milking season \(X_i\) shows that it can be explained by independent variables with a ratio value of 0.896.

**Step 10.** Another criterion used to evaluate the goodness of fit of the model is the Mean of Squares Errors (MSE). In order to calculate the MSE value, the estimated fuzzy output values calculated in Table 5 were converted to exact values by clarifying the center of gravity with defuzzification defCoG \(\exp (\tilde{W}_i (\frac{\tilde{\mu}_i}{1 - \tilde{\mu}_i}))\) clarification method in Equation (13).

Thus, the distances between the probability values estimated by clarification and the observed values were calculated. When we want to calculate the defCoG[exp(\(\tilde{W}_i\))] value for cow no 1;

\[
defCoG[\exp(\tilde{W}_1)] = \int \frac{\exp(-1.98)}{\exp(-1.98 - 5.38)} x \left(1 - \frac{-1.98 - \ln (x)}{5.38}\right) dx + \int \frac{\exp(-1.98 + 5.38)}{\exp(-1.98)} x \left(1 - \frac{-\ln (x) - (-1.98)}{5.38}\right) dx
\]

\[
= 0.0086
\]

The values calculated as this are substituted in equation (13), it is calculated as: defCoG[\(\exp(\tilde{W}_i)\)] \(= \frac{0.0086 + 41.7754}{-0.1112 + 27.3669}\) \(= 1.533\). The defCoG[\(\exp(\tilde{W}_i)\)] values in Table 6 were obtained by calculating the clarified (certain) values of the output values for each other cow in this way.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>(\tilde{\mu}_i (1 - \tilde{\mu}_i))</th>
<th>defCoG[exp((\tilde{W}_i))]</th>
<th>([\text{defCoG[exp((\tilde{W}_i))] - (\tilde{\mu}_i (1 - \tilde{\mu}_i))]^2])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>1.533</td>
<td>1.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>5.137</td>
<td>4.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>1.404</td>
<td>3.270</td>
<td>1.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From each defCoG value calculated in Table 6, the observed likelihood (Possibilistic odds) value was subtracted and squared \(\left[\text{defCoG[exp(\(\tilde{W}_i\))] - (\tilde{\mu}_i (1 - \tilde{\mu}_i))]^2\right]\). Each squared value was placed in the equation (25) and the MSE value was calculated;

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{90} \sum_{i=1}^{90} [1.533 - 0.395]^2 + [5.137 - 0.166]^2 + \cdots + [0.022 - 0.030]^2
\]

(25)

The MSE value calculated by Equation (25) was obtained as 4.871 and \(\sqrt{MSE} = 2.207\). This calculated value is the test criterion value that shows the average distance of the fuzzy values estimated with the fuzzy logistic regression analysis model in Equation (16) from the real observation values.

**Discussion**

Classical logistic regression analysis method is used to model situations where the values of the dependent variable are categorical. The method can generally be used in educational sciences, social studies, and research related to the diagnosis
and treatment of diseases \cite{15,28,29}. However, the use of the method depends on some assumptions. In these studies, reasons such as the expressions of human thoughts and the data sets obtained related to the diagnosis of yield values and animal diseases in livestock, the history of the disease, the unknown environmental factors in the formation of the diseases, and the uncertainty in the symptoms of the disease may cause uncertainties in the diagnostic processes. These assumptions cannot be fulfilled due to the uncertainties arising due to the aforementioned reasons. In these cases, the application of classical statistical methods is not correct \cite{15}. It is a more valid and reliable choice for researchers to use the fuzzy logistic regression analysis method, which is a combination of fuzzy sets and classical statistical theories. It is also a method used in modeling the natural uncertainties in the observation values of the dependent variable or in the relationships. Possibilistic logistic regression analysis method, which is created with the fuzzy logic approach used in different scientific fields, has almost no applications in animal breeding in the literature. The application for the estimation of milk yield values of animals belonging to different breeds was discussed for the first time in this study. For fuzzy situations, in the parameter estimations of the fuzzy logistics model, it is thought that the Diamond's Possibilistic method may be a more correct choice to apply to the data obtained for the estimation of yield values in livestock. Doing these and similar studies will gain importance in the solution of all kinds of uncertainties regarding animal husbandry. In the field of veterinary medicine, fuzzy methods were used in various subjects such as estrus detection \cite{16}, evaluation of raw milk quality \cite{15}, disease diagnosis and determination of risk factors \cite{15}. In their method, fuzzy relation between crisp inputs-crisp output observations is modeled by the proposed model and then compared to the results with a fuzzy neural network method. For some possibilistic methods, one can see the studies done by Taheri and Mirzaei Yeganeh \cite{15}, Pourahmad et al.\cite{15}, and Atalik and Senturk \cite{15}. Besides, some recent works on distance-based fuzzy logistic regression models are presented by Pourahmad et al.\cite{11}, Namdari et al.\cite{28}, Salmani et al.\cite{16}, and Gao and Lu \cite{17}. Mustafa et al.\cite{10} proposed the fuzzy least square method (FLSM) to determine fuzzy parameters, in that analogue of the conventional normal equations are derived with a suitable metric. Fuzzy logistic regression analysis approach that are presented in these studies are different from other works in the assumptions and optimization method. Pourahmad et al.\cite{11} and Gao and Lu \cite{17} introduced certain least squared fuzzy logistic regression model and evaluated their proposed models by using a capability index for goodness of fit of the model. Namdari et al.\cite{28} used least absolute deviation method to estimate coefficients of a fuzzy logistic regression model and applied measure of performance based on fuzzy distance and a sensitivity index to evaluate the proposed model. Some discussions have been presented offering some modifications on the solution of the above-mentioned exponential possibility regression problems, especially on determining the center of the possibility distribution \cite{3}.

To our knowledge, this is the first study of subject “animal husbandry”, therefore it can not be compared or discussed with other studies on possibilistic logistic regression in the literature.

In conclusion, it is thought that the approach can be used widely in a short time in studies on animal husbandry and this study can be the basis for similar studies in the future. It can be suggested that researchers who work on this field should consider the possibilistic logistic regression where there is an uncertainty in the dependent variable or in the relationships. It can be concluded that the model can provide the businesses on milk production an efficient and accurate prediction results with minimum deviation by MDM and MSE indices.
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