Scanning Electron Microscopy Images of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) kohlsi* from a Wild Goat in Northeastern Anatolia, Turkey
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Abstract

Tick samples were collected from a wild goat (*Capra aegagrus*) found in Uzundere province of Erzurum, Turkey. Species identification performed based on morphological criteria, and 3 males and 1 female tick were identified as *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) kohlsi*. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) used to view the characteristic morphologic features of the ticks. Although previous studies report the presence of *R. kohlsi* in Turkey and in the world, this study represents the first SEM images of male and female *R. kohlsi*.
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**Case Report**

Türkiye’nin Kuzeydoğusunda Bir Yaban Keçisinden Elde Edilen *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) kohlsi*’nin Taramalı Elektron Mikroskopu Görüntüleri

Öz

Erzurum’un Uzundere ilçesinde bulunan bir yaban keçisinden (*Capra aegagrus*) kene örnekleri toplandı. Morfolojik kriterlere göre yapılan tür identifikasyonunda, toplanan 3 erkek ve 1 dişi kene *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) kohlsi* olarak tanımlandı. Kenelerin karakteristik morfolojik özelliklerini incelemek için taramalı elektron mikroskopu (SEM) kullanıldı. Önceki çalışmalarda *R. kohlsi*’nin Türkiye ve dünyadaki varlığını bildirilmüş olmakla beraber bu çalışma erkek ve dişi *R. kohlsi*’nin ilk SEM görüntülerini sunmaktadır.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Wild goat* (*Capra aegagrus*) exists intermittently at southwestern Turkey, southwest and central Asia and southern Russia [1-6]. In Turkey, wild goats inhabit rugged, mountainous area with altitude between 1500 and 3500 meters in southern, southeastern, eastern and northeastern Anatolia especially in arid habitats.

*Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) kohlsi* was first recorded and described by Hoogstraal and Kaiser [7] from sheep and goats in Jordan, in 1970. In the following years, this species reported from Israel [8,9], Western Saudi Arabia [10], Iraq [11], West Africa [12], Iran [13], Uzbekistan [14], and Turkey [15,16]. This tick is primarily defined as a parasite of goats and sheep but also reported from cattle, horse, mule, pig and camel [8,14]. The preferred body sites by the tick are especially neck and ears in goats, but it also attaches to the tail in fat-tailed sheep [8].

In this case report, we provide morphological data for the male and female *R. kohlsi* collected from a wild goat by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

**CASE HISTORY**

An injured wild goat was found in Uzundere, Erzurum (40° 32’ 11” N and 41° 32’ 54” E, 1140 m above sea level, northeastern of Turkey) in April, 2017 and taken to the Animal Hospital of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine for treatment. The female and young (<1-year-old) wild goat was checked for ectoparasites during inspection. Four tick samples were taken from neck and ear, and kept in tubes containing 70% ethanol until identification. The ticks were
identified based on their morphological criteria using
taxonomic keys described by Hoogstraal and Kaiser [7]
under the stereomicroscope (Nikon SMZ 745T, Japan).
Body dimensions of ticks are given as millimeter (mm). In
the cleaning process of the ticks, an ultrasonic cleaner was
used for 5 min while they were immersed in 5% potassium
hydroxide solution in water. Also, three samples (one female
and 2 males) were prepared for SEM imagining. Ticks were
dehydrated through a graded series of ethanol (50, 70,
80 and 100%), sputtered with gold in the sputter coater
(Quorum Q150R S, Germany) and examined with SEM
(Zeiss Sigma 300, Germany).

Body length of male ticks vary between 3.1 and 3.3 (from
apex of palpi to apex of caudal appendage), width vary
between 1.7 and 1.9 (Fig. 1a,b). The color is yellowish brown.

Basis capituli subrectangular, more than twice as wide as
long (average width/length: 0.5/0.2). Lateral margins slightly
convex, posterior margin slightly concave. Triangle-shaped
cornua with rounded margin. The base of hypostome
deprieved of setae. Setae on lateral and anterolateral parts
but probably due to breakage during process exact number
uncounted (Fig. 1c). At the ventral side, setae on posterior
of palpi and posterolateral corners. Also, a pair of setae on
the base level of palpal segment 1. Hypostome and palpi
equal in length, hypostome dental formula 4/4 (Fig. 1d).

Palpal article 1 visible from ventral, bearing an apparent
lobe with a large, thick setae at the inner margin (Fig. 1e).
Article 2 with narrow outer and wider inner margin visible
from dorsal (Fig. 1c), 2 thick setae at the inner margin
visible from ventral. Article 3 bearing a conspicuous inner
spur visible from ventral, with 2 narrow setae at the inner
margin. Article 4 located on the apex of article 3and bearing
thick setae (Fig. 1e).

The length of scutum vary between 2.5 and 2.7 (from apex
of scapulae to apex of caudal appendage), and the width
between 1.7 and 1.9. Caudal appendage short but wide, and
truncated cone like in shape (Fig. 1a). Eyes inconspicuous.
Cervical grooves deep, extending to level of between coxa
2 and coxa 3. Posteromedian and paramedian grooves
apparent, paramedian grooves longer than posteromedian
groove (Fig. 1a). Spiracular plate nearly circular with very
small pores (Fig.1f). Genital aperture wide; located on the
anterior margin of coxa 2; and small, irregular serrations
on the posterior margin (Fig. 1g). Adanal plates extending
to the body margin but not passing over. Posterior margin
of adanal plate concave thoroughly with a longer inner
spur and a short outer spur. Accessory adanal plate nearly
triangular, extending to level of outer spur of adanal plates.
A spur like bulge at the terminal part of the accessory
plates (Fig. 1h). Anus nearly circular, with 2 setae on each
Legs strong and long. Coxa 1 elongate a large anterior spur visible from dorsal view (Fig. 1c). From ventral view coxa 1 bearing a wider and round shaped inner spur and a narrow, a bit longer and sharper outer spur (Fig. 1d). A large, triangular shield on trochanter 1 visible from dorsal view (Fig. 1c). Coxa 2 with a bulge like outer spur, and a spur located at the junction point of inner and posterior margins. The structure of coxa 3 similar with coxa 2 but inconspicuous. Coxa 4 with a small, triangular shaped and median located spur (Fig. 1b).

Body length of partially fed female tick 7.3, and width 4.1 (Fig. 2a).

Basis capituli hexagonal, with sharp lateral angles; the length 0.7 and the width 0.3. Posterior margin slightly convex. Cornua mildly subtriangle in shape but small, with rounded margin. Porose areas pear shaped, medium size, located separately (Fig. 2b). Setae on the ventral side could not be completely counted because of occurrence of setae breakage in these parts. A pair of setae visible at the post hypostomal region. Hypostome dental formula 4/4 (Fig. 2c). Palpal article 1 visible from ventral, with a lobe with a thick seta at the inner margin. Article 2 straight, with 2 or 3 setae at inner margin; article 3 bearing a conspicuous inner spur visible from dorsal, with 2 narrow setae at the inner margin. Article 4 located on the apex of article 3 and bearing thick setae (Fig. 2c).

The length of scutum 1.3 (from apex of scapulae to posterior margin), and the width 1 (at widest point). Posterior margin slightly sinuous with a convex curve towards eyes. Eyes large, flat. Cervical grooves extending to posterolateral margin. At the proximal side of scutum, 13-14 setae on lateral parts (Fig. 2d). Spiracular plate nearly circular with very small pores (Fig. 2e). Genital aperture located on the posterior of coxa 1, posterior lips in a broad U shape and lateral margins diverging (Fig. 2f). Anus nearly circular, with 2 setae on each valve (Fig. 2g). Idiosoma covered with setae (Fig. 2h).

Legs strong and long (Fig. 2a). Coxa 1 spur near equal, coxa 2-4 spurs indistinct (Fig. 2i). Trochanter 1 with a large, triangular shield visible from dorsal view (Fig. 2d).

**DISCUSSION**

Distribution area of *R. kohlsi* is known to be restricted in Middle East, Central Asia and West Africa [9-14]. In Turkey, reports were from southeastern Anatolia region [15,16] but with our study from northeastern Anatolia, it is understood that *R. kohlsi* possibly has a wider geographical distribution in our country than considered before.

Worldwide, records of this species are rare maybe because
of having a restricted distribution. Also, it is an unregarded tick species due to not having a known vectorial capacity until now [11]. One of the outcomes of global warming is the spread of tick species and tick-borne diseases to new areas. Although there is not a known vectorial role of *R. kohlsi* yet, but we cannot be sure from forthcoming years. Nonetheless, knowledge about the presence of tick species in a region is beneficial to consider possible emerging or re-emerging tick-borne diseases.

The ticks are confirmed to be *R. kohlsi* by using taxonomic keys of Hoogstraal and Kaiser [7]. The keys for the differential diagnosis of *R. kohlsi* are as follows: setae-bearing lobe on the inner margin of palpal article 1 visible from ventral, and dental formula 4/4 for both sexes. Male tick with caudal appendage, adanal plates not passing over the body margin and with a concave posterior margin. The size of accessory plates’ inner spur is intermediate, between adanal plates’ size. The genital aperture is wide in males and shield-shaped in female. Coxa 1 has a deep cleft in both sexes. Porose areas are pear shaped. Although Hoogstraal and Kaiser [7] stated that porose areas fairly small, our sample has medium sized porose areas.

This case report represents the presence and morphological data of *R. kohlsi* obtained from a wild goat. Also, the first SEM records of male and female *R. kohlsi* were presented. This species may have a wider distribution than expected so it has to be taken into consideration in tick identification studies.
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