THERMOPHILIC CAMPYLOBACTER SPP. ON FROZEN POULTRY CARCASSES

Mehmet ELMALI*

Hilmi YAMAN*

Yayın Kodu: 2004/23-A

Summary: A total of 50 frozen poultry samples were collected from the markets in Kars district and analyzed for the presence of thermophilic Campylobacter spp., Campylobacter jejuni (C. jejuni), Campylobacter coli (C. coli) and Campylobacter lari (C. lari). Campylobacter spp. were isolated and identified according to the method of Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Thermophilic Campylobacter spp. were isolated at the level of (29 samples) 58.0 % from 50 frozen chicken carcasses. 21 (42.0 %) of these isolates were identified as C. jejuni, 7 (14.0 %) isolates were C. coli and 1 (2.0 %) isolate was identified as C. lari.

It is concluded that poultry carcasses consumed in their area pose a risk for human health.

Keywords: Thermophilic Campylobacter spp., poultry, frozen carcass.

Donmuş Piliç Karkaslarında Termofilik Campylobacter Türleri

Özet: Kars bölgesinde Campylobacter türlerinin halk sağlığı açısından oluşturduğu riski belirlemek amacıyla marketlerden toplanan toplam 50 donmuş tavuk örneği termofilik Campylobacter türleri, Campylobacter jejuni (C. jejuni), Campylobacter coli (C. coli) ve Campylobacter lari (C. lari) yönünden analiz edildi. Campylobacter'lerin izolasyon ve identifikasyonu Gıda ve İlaç Dairesinin (FDA) önerdiği metoda göre yapılmıştır. 50 donmuş tavuk karkasının % 58.0'inden (29 örnek) termofilik Campylobacter spp. izole edildi. Bu izolatların 21'i (% 42.0) C. jejuni, 7'si (% 14.0) C. coli ve 1'i C. lari olarak identifiye edildi.

Bu yörede satışa sunulan kanatlı karkaslarının insan sağlığı açısından bir risk taşıdığı sonucuna varılmıştır.

Anahtar sözcükler: Termofilik Campylobacter spp., piliç, donmuş karkas.

INTRODUCTION

Campylobacters affect human health in a negative manner¹⁻⁴ and cause big economic losses^{5,6}. They are found in the microflora of feaces, intestine, ceacal, internal organs and carcass7-10. Thermophilic Campylobacter are microaerophilic and capnophilic microorganisms11 requiring about 5.0 % O₂, 10.0 % CO₂ and 85.0 % N₂ for their growth12 and cause many diseases such as food poisoning⁵, Guillain-Bare Sendrom (GBS), Miller Fisher Sendrom (MFS)13,14, hemolitic uremic sendrom15, obstructive hepatite¹⁶, reactive arthritis, pancreatitis, peritonitis, meningitis⁵. Although campylobacters are microaerophilic, capnophilic and have low aerotolerance activity12 C. jejuni is considered to be one of the microorganism in the risk group for poultry 17-20 and red meat^{7,9,21-24}. In recent years, studies regarding the prevalence of Campylobacter spp. have been focused on epidemiology and application of rapid methods in practise19,25 and studies to reduce the numbers of campylobacters in poultry carcasses before consumption is also being carried out26.

In this study, the isolation and identification of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. in chicken carcasses sold in the markets of Kars district were aimed to determine the potential risk of campylobacters in respect of human health.

MATERIAL and METHODS

A total of 50 frozen chicken carcasses in their packages were examined in this study. The samples were collected aseptically and brought up to the laboratory maintaining cold chain and analysed immediately. Isolation and identification of thermophilic campylobacters were performed based on the method of Food and Drug Administration (FDA)27. Samples taken from the surface and deeper tissues of frozen carcasses were used in the microbiological analysis. 25 g of samples from chicken carcasses were transfered into sterile polyethylene bags and 100 ml Campylobacter enrichment broth base (AM7526, Acumedia) was added for each bag. They were initially incubated at 37°C for 2-4 hrs in a microaerobic environment (Campygen CN025A, Oxoid) and subsequently incubated at 42°C for microaerobically 20-44 hrs. Then, 0.1 ml broth samples were inoculated onto mCCDA (Modified Campylobacter Blood-Free Selective Agar Base, CM 739, Oxoid) medium and incubated at 42°C for microaerobically 24-48 hrs in a microaerobic atmosphere. Suspected small and weak

^{*} Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Besin Hijyeni ve Teknolojisi Anabilim Dalı, Kars-TÜRKİYE

Kafkas Univ. Vet. Med. J. 2004, 10(1): 27-30

grown colonies were inoculated into Campylobacter enrichment broth base (AM7526, Acumedia) and incubated at 37°C for 2-4 hrs in a microaerobic environment (Campygen CN025A, Oxoid), they were inoculated onto mCCDA (Modified Campylobacter Blood-Free Selective Agar Base, CM 739, Oxoid) medium and incubated at 42°C for microaerobically 24-48 hrs in a microaerobic atmosphere. At the end of incubation, grey colonies were Gram stained. Hippurate hydrolyse test was performed on Gram (-), suspicious colonies. Further biochemical tests were performed on hippurate positive and negative colonies and Gram (-) colonies with spiral shaped bacteria, using cephalothin and nalidixic acid antibiotic disc susceptibility test, growth on the media containing 1.0 % glycine and 3.5 % NaCl, H₂S production in TSIA (Triple Sugar Iron Agar), nitrate reduction, growth microaerobically at 25°C, 35- 37°C and 42°C27. The tests used for the identification of Campylobacter spp. are summarised in Table 1. The results were istatically analysed using Chi-square test28.

Table 1. The tests used for the characterisation and identification of *Campylobacter* spp.

Tablo 1. Campylobacter türlerinin karekterizasyon ve identifikasyonunda kullanılan testler.

Tests	C. jejuni	C. coli	C. lari
Hippurate hydrolyse	, + +		- 1
Nitrate reduction	+	+	+
H ₂ S/TSIA	45-	Di	-
Growth:			
25°C			
35-37℃	+	+	+
42℃	+	+	+
Resistance:			
Cephalothin	R	R	R
Nalidixic acid	S = S	S	R
Growth:			
% 1.0 Glycine	+	4	+
% 3.5 NaCI		#2.F	

Se: Sensitive, Re: Resistance, Di: Different

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

In this study, 50 packed frozen chicken carcasses were analysed microbiologically for the prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. Thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. were isolated from 29 (58.0 %) frozen chicken carcasses out of 50 samples examined. 21 (42.0 %) of these isolates were identified as *C. jejuni*, 7 (14.0 %) of them were *C. coli* and 1 (2.0 %) isolate was identified as *C. lari*. The rates and the levels of thermophilic

campylobacters on frozen chicken carcass samples are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. The tests used for the characterisation and identification of *Campylobacter* spp.

Tablo 2. Campylobacter türlerinin karekterizasyon ve identifikasyonunda kullanılan testler.

Carcass No	Thermophilic campylobacters			
	C. jejuni (%)	C. coli (%)	C. lari (%)	
50	21 (42.0%)	7 (14.0 %)	1 (2.0 %)	

There have been many studies regarding isolation of thermophilic campylobacters from frozen chicken carcasses. The results obtained in our study showed similarity with the results obtained in some studies and also differences with the results obtained in other studies. Boer and Hahne 18 reported the isolation of C. jejuni at the level of 61.0 % in 170 chicken carcasses out of 279 samples. Kazwala et al.29 isolated thermophilic campylobacters from 80 chicken carcasses out of 100 samples examined and 82.2 % of these isolates were identified as C. jejuni biotype 1 and 7.6 % of the isolates were identified as C. jejuni biotype 2 whereas C. lari and C. coli were found at the levels of 7.6 % and 2.6 % respectively. Kwiatek et al.21 analysed 203 fresh chicken carcasses and isolated thermophilic campylobacters from 163 carcasses at the level of 80.3 % 88 (54.0 %) of these isolates were identified as C. jejuni, 65 (39.9 %) of isolates were C. coli and 10 (6.1 %) of isolates were C. lari. Nielsen and Nielsen30 reported the isolation of thermophilic Campylobacter spp. on all of 156 chicken samples analysed. 85.0 % and 15.0 % of their isolates were identified as C. jejuni and C. coli respectively. Ozer and Ergun31 examined 50 frozen chicken carcasses and isolated C. jejuni from 48 samples at the level of 96.0 % whereas Steinhauserova and Fojtivola25 isolated thermophilic campylobacters from frozen chicken carcasses at the level of 89.0 % and identified them as C. jejuni using PCR. Futhermore, Zhao et al.23 examined frozen chicken carcasses sold in the markets in Washington. They isolated Campylobacter spp. at the levels of 70.7 % in 184 samples. The results obtained in our study are lower than those reported by Kwiatek et al.21 Nielsen and Nielsen30 Steinhauserova and Fojtivola25, Zhao et al.23 in respect of total thermophilic Campylobacter numbers. Comparing the numbers of samples for C. jejuni and C. lari, our results are also lower than those found by Boer and Hahne¹⁸, Kazwala et al.²⁹, Kwiatek et al.²¹, Nielsen and Nielsen²⁹, Ozer and Ergun³¹. Regarding of C. coli, our Kafkas Üniv. Vet. Fak. Derg. 2004, 10(1): 27-30

results are higher than those reported by Kazwala et al.29 and show similarity with the results of Nielsen and Nielsen30. Our results indicated that C. jejuni is the predominant species and this is in agreement with the results of other researchers. Stern et al.32 could not isolate any C. jejuni in 40 frozen chicken pieces but, isolated C. jejuni from 5 fresh chicken samples out of 40 at the level of 12.5 %. Some authors monitored 360 chicken samples during June, September, December and March in 1985 and isolated C. jejuni at the levels of 25.6 %, 34.4 %, 32.2 % and 26.7 % respectively. Atanassova and Ring33 examined a flock of broiler (509) before and after slaughter and found thermophilic Campylobacter spp. at the level of 41.1 % before slaughter and at the level of 45.9 % after slaughter. These results show that our results are higher than those mentioned above. These variations in Campylobacter populations may be due to the differences in hygienic conditions during breeding, cross contaminations that may occur during defeathering, eviscerating and cuting of carcasses in portions, and some other environmental factors such as, the temperature of water in the scalding tank, the low aerotolerance of Campylobacter spp., the methods and the media used in the isolation of campylobacters as it is known that some media are more selective than others21. Epidemiological studies29,34-37 show that contamination of water by the wild birds in the poultry processing plants, cross contaminations during defeathering and eviscerating of internals organs play important role in the occurence of campylobacteriosis. Particularly, internal organs and carcasses can be contaminated due to the rupture of intestines36,38. Also, consumption of under cooked poultry meat and other foods such as salads and bread that have become cross contamined from raw meats in the kitchen are the important risk resources of Campylobacter contamination^{5,18,39}. Although some methods are being developed26 and the cooling process applied during the processing of carcasses causes a reduction in the microbial numbers of carcasses¹⁷, poultry meat is still among the risk group of food in respect of Campylobacter infections^{23,40} because the organism survives on chicken held at refrigeration temperatures41 and has also been isolated from chicken carcasses held at -15°C for 30 weeks42 and at -18°C for 243 and 12 months44 and chicken livers held at -20°C for 84 d38. Furthermore, C. jejuni remained viable at -20 and -70°C and quickly replicated after the sample thawed45. Some researchers 17,46 suggested that cross contamination in the processing plant is virtually imposible to

eliminate. Thus, the production of poultry products that are free of campylobacters depends upon whether or not live birds contain the organisms prior to processing.

The results of this study confirmed that C. jejuni is the predominant species (P< 0.05)²⁸ found on frozen chicken carcasses. Since C. jejuni survives after freezing it may be concluded that the increasing use of frozen meat may have contributed to an increase in its incidence. Thus, the presence of thermophilic Campylobacter spp. poses a risk for public health and therefore risk factors should be taken into consideration to minimize Campylobacter infections in humans. In order to achieve this, prevention measures should be implemented at the flock level and in the kitchen to avoid infection with this bacteria. In addition cross contamination during slaughter and processing should be reduced. As the number of samples analysed in this study is small, the true incidence of C. jejuni in poultry meat consumed in this area requires more comprehensive studies.

REFERENCES

- 1 Griffiths PL, Park RWA: Campylobacters associated with human diarrhoeal disease. J Appl Bacteriol, 69: 281-301, 1990.
- 2 Hascelik G, Akyon Y, Hayran M, Yurdakok K, Berkman E: Campylobacter as a cause of acute enteritis in Turkey. Therapy Infect Dis, 6: 288-292, 1991.
- 3 **Anonymous:** Campylobacter spp. laboratory reports. Public Health Laboratory Service. Arriving: http://www.phls.uk. Date of arriving: 19.01.2001.
- 4 Alterkuse SF, Stern NJ, Fields PI, Swerdlow DL: Campylobacter jejuni-An emerging foodborne pathogen. Emerging Infect Dis, 5 (1): 1-12, 1999.
- 5 Skirrow MB: Campylobacter. Lancet, 336: 921, 1990.
- 6 Tauxe RV, Hargrett NB, Patton CM: Campylobacter isolates in the United States, 1982-1986. Arriving: http://www. usda.gov. Date of arriving: 19.01.2001.
- 7 Kotula A, Stern N: The importance of Campylobacter jejuni to the meat industry. J Anim Sci, 58 (6): 1561-1566, 1984.
- 8 Bolton FJ, Dawkins HC, Hutchinson D: Biotypes and serotypes of thermophilic campylobacters isolated from cattle, sheep, and pig offal and other red meats. *J Hyg Camb*, 95: 1-6, 1985.
- Skirrow MB: Diseases due to Campylobacter, Helicobacter and releated bacteria. J Comp Pathol, 111: 113-149, 1994.
- 10 Elmali M: Isolation and Identification Thermophilic Campylobacter Species in the Bacteriological Meat Inspection Samples of Cattle. PhD. Theises, Institute of Health Science, University of Ankara, Ankara, 2001.
- 11 Arda M, Minbay A, Aydın N, Akay O, Izgur M, Leloglu N, Kahraman M, Ilgaz A, Diker KS: Özel Mikrobiyoloji. 4th ed, Ankara, 1997.
- 12 Bolton FJ, Coates D: A study of the oxygen and carbon dioxide requirements of thermophilic campylobacters. J Clin Pathol, 36: 829, 1983.
- 13 Mishu B, Blaser MJU: Role of infection due to Campylobacter jejuni in the initation of Guillain-Barre

- syndrome. J Infect Dis, 17 (1): 104-108, 1993. -
- 14 Nishimura M, Nukina M, Kuroki S, Obayashi H, Ohta M, Ma J, Saida T, Uchiyama T: Characterization of Campylobacter jejuni isolates from patients with Guillain-Barre syndrome. J Neurol Sci, 153 (1): 91-99, 1997.
- 15 Sillero M, Almirall J: Campylobacter jejuni and hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Hyg Camb, 82: 363-364, 1999.
- 16 Kita E, Oku D, Hamuro A, Nishikawa F, Emoto M, Yagyu Y, Katsui N, Kashiba S: Hepatotoxic activity of Campylobacter jejuni. J Med Microbiol, 33: 171-182, 1990.
- 17 Izat AL, Gardner FA, Denton, JH, Golan FA: Incidence and level of *Campylobacter jejuni* in broiler processing. *Poultry Sci*, 67: 1568-1572, 1988.
- 18 Boer DE, Hahne M: Cross-contamination with Campylobacter jejuni and Salmonella spp. from raw chicken products during food preparation. J Food Prot, 53 (12): 1067-1068, 1990.
- 19 Atabay HI, Corry JEL: The prevalence of campylobacters and arcobacters in broiler chickens. *J Appl Microbiol*, 83: 619-626, 1997.
- 20 Berrang ME, Dickens JA: Presence and level of Campylobacter spp. on broiler carcasses throughout the processing plant. Appl Poultry Sci, 9: 43-47, 2000.
- 21 Kwiatek K, Wojton B, Stern N: Prevalence and distribution of Campylobacter spp. on poultry and selected red meat carcasses in Poland. J Food Prot, 53 (2):127-130, 1990.
- 22 Kramer JM, Frost JA, Bolton FJ, Wareing DR: Campylobacter contamination of raw meat poultry at retail sale:identification of multiple types and comparasion with isolates from human infection. J Food Prot, 63 (12): 1654-9, 2000
- 23 Zhao C, Ge B, Villena JD, Sudler R, Yeh E, Zhao S, White DG, Wagner D, Meng J: Prevalence of Campylobacter spp., Escherichia coli, and Salmonella serovars in retail chicken, turkey, pork, and beef from Greater Washington. D.C. area. Appl Environ Microbiol, 67(12): 5431-5436, 2001.
- 24 Elmalı M: Presence of C. jejuni in carcass and ground meat marketed in Bitlis. İstanbul Üniv Vet Fak Derg, 30(2): 2004 (in Press).
- 25 Steinhauserova I, Fojtivola K: Serotyping and identification of Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli strains of human and animal origin using the PCR method. Acta Vet Brno, 68: 149-154, 1999.
- 26 Corry JE, James C, O'neil D, Yaman H, Kendall A, Howell M: Physical methods, reaidly adapted to existing commercial processing plants, for reducing numbers of campylobacters, on raw poultry. (Abst.), *Int J Medical Microbiol*, (publishing). 2003.
- 27 Anonymous: Campylobacter jejuni. F.D.A., Arriving: http://www.vm.cfsan.fda.gov., 2000; Date of arriving: 12.02.2000.
- 28 Sümbüloğlu K, Sümbüloğlu V: Biyoistatistik, 4th ed. Özdemir Yayın. Ankara. 2000.
- 29 Kazwala RR, Collins DJ, Hannan RA, Crinion PAR, Mahony HO: Factors responsible for the introduction and spread of *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in commercial poultry production. *Vet Rec*, 126: 305-306, 1990.
- 30 Nielsen EM, Nielsen N: Serotypes and typability of Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli isolated from poultry products. Int J Food Microbiol, 46 (3): 199-205, 1999.
- 31 Özer D, Ergün O: Investigation on the presence of *Campylobacter jejuni* in the various poultry meat and product marketing in İstanbul. *İstanbul Üniv Vet Fak Derg*, 25 (1): 81-88, 1999.

- 32 Stern NJ, Green SS, Thaker N, Krout DJ, Chiy J: Recovery of Campylobacter jejuni from fresh and frozen meat and poultry collected at slaughter. J Food Prot, 47 (5): 372-374, 1984.
- 33 Atanassova V, Ring C: Prevalence of Campylobacter spp. In poultry and poultry meat in Germany. Int J Food Microbiol, 51 (2-3): 187-90, 1999.
- 34 Genigeorgis C, Hassuneh M, Collins P: Campylobacter jejuni infection on poultry farms and its effect on poultry meat contamination during slaughtering. J Food Prot, 49 (11): 895-903, 1986.
- 35 Fronco D: Campylobacter species: Consideration for controlling a foodborne pathogen., J Food Prot, 51 (2): 145-153, 1988.
- 36 Berrang ME, Buhr RJ, Cason JA: Campylobacter recovery from external and internal organs of commercial broiler carcass prior to sacalding. Poultry Sci, 79: 286-290, 2000.
- 37 Stern NJ, Robach MC: Enumeration of Campylobacter spp. in broiler feces and in corresponding processed carcasses. J Food Prot, 66 (9): 1557-63, 2003.
- 38 Oosterom J, Notermans S, Karman H, Negels GB: Origin and prevalence of *Campylobacter jejuni* in poultry processing., *J Food Prot*, 46 (4): 339-344, 1983.
- 39 Allerberger F, Al-Jazrawi N, Kreidl P, Dierich MP, Feierl G, Hein I, Wagner M: Barbecued chicken causing a multistate outbreak of *Campylobacter jejuni* enteritis. *Infect*, 31 (1): 19-23, 2003.
- 40 Solow BT, Cloak OM, Fratamico PM: Effect of temperature on viability of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* on raw chicken or pork skin. *J Food Prot*, 66 (11): 2023-31, 2003.
- 41 Blankenship LC, Craven SE: Campylobacter jejuni survival in chicken meat as a function temperature. Applied and Environ Microbiol, 44: 88-92, 1982.
- 42 Tarjan V: Investigation into the radiosensitivity of Campylobacter fetus subsp. jejuni in ground chicken meat. Int J Food Microbiol, 1: 321-326, 1985.
- 43 Bostan K, Aksu H, Özgen O, Uğur M: Effects of refregerated and frozen storage on the survival of Campylobacter jejuni in ground and cubed beef. İstanbul Üniv Vet Fak Derg, 27 (2): 623-630, 2001.
- 44 **Beuchat LR:** Efficacy of some methods and media for detecting and enumerating *Campylobacter jejuni* in frozen chicken meat. *J Appl Bacteriol*, 62: 217-221, 1987.
- 45 Lee A, Smith SC, Coloe PJ: Survival and growth of Campylobacter jejuni after artificial inoculation onto chicken skin as a function of temperature and packaging conditions. J Food Prot, 61: 1609-1641, 1998.
- 46 Baker RC, Paredes MDC, Qureshi RQ: Prevalence of Campylobacter jejuni in eggs poultry meat in New York State. Poultry Sci, 66: 1766-1770, 1987.

Yazışma adresi (Correspondence address):

Dr. Mehmet ELMALI Kafkas Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Besin Hijyeni ve Teknolojisi Anabilim Dalı 36100 KARS, TÜRKİYE Tel: +90 474 2426801 (1124) Fax: +90 474 2426853 e-mail: melmali25@hotmail.com